UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

I/P ENGINE, INC.,)	
v.	Plaintiff,)	Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512
AOL, INC. et al.,)	
	Defendants.))	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL I/P ENGINE'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM SETTING FORTH ADDITIONAL NEW FACTS JUSTIFYING ITS REQUEST FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT IN I/P ENGINE'S MOTION FOR DEFENDANTS TO SHOW CAUSE UNDER RULE 37 FOR NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE AUGUST 13, 2013 ORDER AND THE DECLARATION OF CHARLES J. MONTERIO, JR. IN SUPPORT OF I/P ENGINE'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF ADDITIONAL FACTS

In support of its Motion to Seal pursuant to Local Rule 5, Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc. ("I/P Engine") states the following:

1. I/P Engine moves the Court for leave to file under seal its Supplemental Memorandum Setting Forth Additional New Facts Justifying Its Request For Default Judgment In I/P Engine's Motion For Defendants To Show Cause Under Rule 37 For Noncompliance with the August 13, 2013 Order and the Declaration of Charles J. Monterio, Jr. in Support of I/P Engine's Supplemental Memorandum of Additional Facts (collectively, "Supplemental Memorandum"). The afore-mentioned contains information that is marked as confidential by Defendants under the Protective Order entered in this matter on January 23, 2012 (D.I. No. 85) ("Protective Order").

2. There are three requirements for sealing court findings: (1) public notice with an

opportunity to object; (2) consideration of less drastic alternatives; and (3) a statement of specific

findings in support of a decision to seal and rejecting alternatives to sealing. See, e.g., Flexible

Benefits Council v. Feldman, No. 1:08-CV-371, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93039 (E.D. Va. Nov

13, 2008) (citing Ashcroft v. Conoco, Inc., 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000)). I/P Engine's

Supplemental Memorandum contains information that is marked by Defendants as confidential.

An in camera copy of the afore-mentioned is being provided to the Court. In light of

Defendant's representation that this is confidential material under the Protective Order, there

appears to be no alternative that appropriately serves Defendants' confidentiality concerns.

3. The information contained in the Supplemental Memorandum contains Google's

proprietary and confidential information.

4. For the sake of consistency with practices governing the case as a whole, I/P

Engine believes its Supplemental Memorandum should remain sealed and be treated in

accordance with the terms and conditions of the Protective Order.

5. Accordingly, and in satisfaction of the requirements of Local Rule 5, I/P Engine

respectfully asks the Court to enter the Proposed Agreed Order sealing its Supplemental

Memorandum.

Dated: October 31, 2013

By: /s/ Jeffrey K. Sherwood

Donald C. Schultz (Virginia Bar No. 30531)

W. Ryan Snow (Virginia Bar No. 47423)

CRENSHAW, WARE & MARTIN PLC

150 West Main Street

Norfolk, VA 23510

Telephone: (757) 623-3000

Facsimile: (757) 623-5735

2

DSMDB-3202988

Jeffrey K. Sherwood (Virginia Bar No. 19222) Frank C. Cimino, Jr. Kenneth W. Brothers Charles J. Monterio, Jr. DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1825 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 420-2200 Facsimile: (202) 420-2201

Counsel for Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 31st day of October, 2013, the foregoing was served via the

Court's CM/ECF system, on the following:

Stephen Edward Noona Kaufman & Canoles, P.C. 150 W Main St Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 senoona@kaufcan.com

David Bilsker
David Perlson
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com
davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Robert L. Burns
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
Two Freedom Square
11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190
robert.burns@finnegan.com

Cortney S. Alexander Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza 303 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 94111 cortney.alexander@finnegan.com

/s/ Jeffrey K. Sherwood