UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

I/P ENGINE, INC.,)
v.	Plaintiff,)) Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512
AOL, INC. et al.,)
	Defendants.))

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL EXHIBITS 15, 16, 17, 18, AND 21 OF I/P ENGINE'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANT GOOGLE, INC.'S CUSTODIAL DOCUMENT PRODUCTION

In support of its Motion to Seal pursuant to Local Rule 5, Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc. ("I/P Engine") states the following:

- 1. I/P Engine moves the Court for leave to file under seal exhibits 15, 16, 17, 18, and 21 to its Memorandum in Support of its Motion to Compel Defendant Google Inc.'s Custodial Document Production. Exhibits 15, 16, 17, 18, and 21 contain information that is marked as confidential by Defendants under the Protective Order entered in this matter on January 23, 2012 (D.I. No. 85) ("Protective Order").
- 2. There are three requirements for sealing court findings: (1) public notice with an opportunity to object; (2) consideration of less drastic alternatives; and (3) a statement of specific findings in support of a decision to seal and rejecting alternatives to sealing. *See, e.g., Flexible Benefits Council v. Feldman,* No. 1:08-CV-371, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93039 (E.D. Va. Nov 13, 2008) (citing *Ashcroft v. Conoco, Inc.,* 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000)). Exhibits 15, 16,

17, 18, and 21 contain information that is marked by Defendants as confidential. An in camera

copy of exhibits 15, 16, 17, 18, and 21 is being provided to the Court. In light of Defendant's

representation that this is confidential material and the Protective Order, there appears to be no

alternative that appropriately serves Defendants' confidentiality concerns.

3. The information contained in these exhibits contain Google's proprietary and

confidential information.

4. For the sake of consistency with practices governing the case as a whole, I/P

Engine believes exhibits 15, 16, 17, 18, and 21 should remain sealed and be treated in

accordance with the terms and conditions of the Protective Order.

5. Accordingly, and in satisfaction of the requirements of Local Rule 5, I/P Engine

respectfully asks the Court to enter the Proposed Agreed Order sealing exhibits 15, 16, 17, 18,

and 21 to its Memorandum in Support of its Motion to Compel Defendant Google Inc.'s

Custodial Document Production.

Dated: April 11, 2012

By: /s/ Jeffrey K. Sherwood

Donald C. Schultz (Virginia Bar No. 30531)

W. Ryan Snow (Virginia Bar No. 47423)

CRENSHAW, WARE & MARTIN PLC

150 West Main Street

Norfolk, VA 23510

Telephone: (757) 623-3000

Facsimile: (757) 623-5735

Jeffrey K. Sherwood (Virginia Bar No. 19222)

Frank C. Cimino, Jr.

Kenneth W. Brothers

DeAnna Allen

Charles J. Monterio, Jr.

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006

2

Telephone: (202) 420-2200 Facsimile: (202) 420-2201

Counsel for Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 11th day of April, 2012, the foregoing MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL EXHIBITS 15, 16, 17, 18, AND 21 OF I/P ENGINE'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANT GOOGLE, INC.'S CUSTODIAL DOCUMENT PRODUCTION, was served via the Court's

CM/ECF system, on the following:

Stephen Edward Noona Kaufman & Canoles, P.C. 150 W Main St Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 senoona@kaufcan.com

David Bilsker
David Perlson
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com
davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Robert L. Burns
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
Two Freedom Square
11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190
robert.burns@finnegan.com

Cortney S. Alexander
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
3500 SunTrust Plaza
303 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, GA 94111
cortney.alexander@finnegan.com

/s/ Jeffrey K. Sherwood