EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

I/P ENGINE, INC.,	Plaintiff,)))	Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512
AOL, INC. et al.,	Defendants.))))	

[PROPOSED] AGREED ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.'s ("I/P Engine") Motion to Seal its

Opposition to Google and IAC's Motion to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement its Infringement

Contentions along with Exhibits 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 21, and 22 in support. After considering the

Motion to Seal, Order and related filings, the Court is of the opinion that the Motion to Seal
should be granted. It is therefore ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Opposition to Google and IAC's Motion to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement its Infringement Contentions along with Exhibits 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 21, and 22 in support.
- 2. There are three requirements for sealing court filings: (1) public notice with an opportunity to object; (2) consideration of less drastic alternatives; and (3) a statement of specific findings in support of a decision to seal and rejecting alternatives to sealing. *See, e.g., Flexible Benefits Council v. Feldman,* No. 1:08-CV-371, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93039 (E.D. Va. Nov. 13, 2008) (citing *Ashcroft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000)). This Court finds

that I/P Engine's Opposition to Google and IAC's Motion to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement its Infringement Contentions along with Exhibits 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 21, and 22 in support may contain data that is confidential under the Protective Order entered in this matter on January 23, 2012; that public notice has been given, that no objections have been filed; that the public's interest in access is outweighed by the interests in preserving such confidentiality; and that there are no alternatives that appropriately serve these interests.

3. For the sake of consistency with practices governing the case as a whole, I/P Engine's Opposition to Google and IAC's Motion to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement its Infringement Contentions along with Exhibits 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 21, and 22 in support shall remain sealed and be treated in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Protective Order.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Seal is granted and I/P Engine is permitted to file under seal its Opposition to Google and IAC's Motion to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement its Infringement Contentions along with Exhibits 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 21, and 22 in support. The Court shall retain sealed materials until forty-five (45) days after entry of a final order. If the case is not appealed, any sealed materials should then be returned to counsel for the filing party.

Dated: April, 2012	Entered:	/
	United State	es District Court
		trict of Virginia