UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

I/P ENGINE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No. 2:11cv512

AOL, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

Before the Court is a motion to expedite briefing (ECF No. 120) filed by plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc., on April 11, 2012. The plaintiff requests an expedited briefing schedule with respect to a separately filed motion to compel defendant Google Inc. to produce certain documents (ECF No. 117), also filed on April 11, 2012.

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(F)(1) and Rule 6(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, any response to the underlying motion to compel is due to be filed on April 26, 2012. The instant motion to expedite requests that the Court shorten this time period and direct the defendant to respond on or before April 18, 2012.

For good cause shown, the motion to expedite briefing (ECF No. 120) is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. The Court ORDERS the following:

1. Defendant Google Inc. shall file its responsive brief and any supporting documents no later than April 20, 2012. Any related materials to be filed under seal shall be delivered to the Clerk's

Office for filing prior to 5:00 p.m. that same day, with service on the other parties and delivery of a courtesy copy to Chambers to follow promptly thereafter.

2. The plaintiff shall file its rebuttal brief, if any, no later than April 23, 2012. Any related materials to be filed under seal shall be delivered to the Clerk's Office for filing prior to 5:00 p.m. that same day, with service on the other parties and delivery of a courtesy copy to Chambers to follow promptly thereafter.

- 3. The parties are DIRECTED to promptly contact the Magistrate Courtroom Deputies at 757-222-7222 to schedule a hearing on this motion at a mutually convenient time on or before May 7, 2012. The parties should be prepared to argue all procedural and discovery motions that are pending and ripe at that time.
- 4. In the interim, the parties are DIRECTED to continue to meet and confer with respect to this discovery dispute, and to advise the Court promptly if a resolution is reached by agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Douglas E. Miller United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Norfolk, Virginia

April | 3 , 2012