

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
NORFOLK DIVISION

<hr/>		)	
I/P ENGINE, INC.,		)	
	Plaintiff,	)	
	v.	)	Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512
AOL, INC. et al.,		)	
	Defendants.	)	
<hr/>		)	

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE’S MOTION  
AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO SHORTEN  
DEFENDANTS’ TIME TO RESPOND TO  
(1) PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE INC.’S SECOND MOTION FOR  
DISCOVERY SANCTIONS REGARDING UNTIMELY DISCOVERY  
RESPONSES AND  
(2) PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE INC.’S THIRD MOTION FOR DISCOVERY  
SANCTIONS REGARDING UNTIMELY DISCOVERY RESPONSES**

This Court, having considered Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.’s Motion and Memorandum in Support of Its Motion to Shorten Defendants’ Time To Respond to (1) Plaintiff I/P Engine Inc.’s Second Motion for Discovery Sanctions Regarding Untimely Discovery Responses and (2) Plaintiff I/P Engine Inc.’s Third Motion for Discovery Sanctions Regarding Untimely Discovery Responses, and finding good cause exists, hereby ORDERS that the motion is GRANTED as follows:

Defendants shall file any responsive briefs to I/P Engine’s Second and Third Motions for Discovery Sanctions on or before September 25, 2012, with I/P Engine waiving its right to reply to Defendants’ responsive briefs. An oral hearing before this Court will be scheduled on both

motions to be heard either on September 27 or September 28, 2012, or at another time convenient for this Court.

In the alternative, Defendants shall file any responsive brief to I/P Engine's Second and Third Motions for Discovery Sanctions on or before September 27, 2012 and Plaintiff shall file any reply brief to Defendants' responsive brief on or before October 1, 2012.

So Ordered:

Dated: September \_\_, 2012

---

United States District Court  
Eastern District of Virginia