

# Exhibit 10

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
NORFOLK DIVISION**

I/P ENGINE, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512
	)	
AOL, INC. et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

**PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE, INC.’S  
FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT GOOGLE, INC.**

Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc. (“I/P Engine”) directs the following Interrogatories to Defendant Google, Inc. (“Google”) to be answered in accordance with Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 26 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. Google is required to answer these Interrogatories separately and fully in writing, under oath, and to serve a copy of its answers upon counsel for I/P Engine, Dickstein Shapiro LLP, 1825 Eye Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. These Interrogatories are to be interpreted and answered in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the Court, the Judge’s procedures, the Instructions and Definitions below, and the Stipulation entered into by the parties on November 4, 2011.

**INSTRUCTIONS**

1. In answering these Interrogatories, Google (as defined below) is required to furnish under oath all information that is in its possession, custody or control, or otherwise available to

**INTERROGATORY NO. 9**

Identify any system, and when it was developed, that Google intends to rely upon in this litigation as a non-infringing alternative to each Google system identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2 including, but not limited to, all facts, documents, communications and/or events which Google contends are pertinent thereto, and identify the persons having the most knowledge of such facts, documents, communications and/or events.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 10**

Identify when and under what circumstances Google first became aware of the existence of the '420 or '664 Patents, and describe what action was taken by Google, including describing any subsequent reviews, studies, analyses or examinations of the '420 or '664 Patents, their scope, or their claims, including the date, author and recipients of such reviews, studies, analyses or examinations.

Dated: November 7, 2011

By: /s/ Charles J. Monterio, Jr.  
Jeffrey K. Sherwood  
Frank C. Cimino, Jr.  
Kenneth W. Brothers  
DeAnna Allen  
Charles J. Monterio, Jr.  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP  
1825 Eye Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 420-2200  
Facsimile: (202) 420-2201

Richard H. Ottinger  
VANDEVENTER BLACK LLP  
500 World Trade Center  
Norfolk, VA 23510  
Telephone: (757) 446-8600  
Facsimile: (757) 446-8670

Counsel for Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of November, 2011, the foregoing Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant Google, Inc. was served via email, on the following:

Stephen Edward Noona  
Kaufman & Canoles, P.C.  
150 W Main St  
Suite 2100  
Norfolk, VA 23510  
[senoona@kaufcan.com](mailto:senoona@kaufcan.com)

David Bilsker  
David Perlson  
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP  
50 California Street, 22nd Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com)  
[davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com)

Robert L. Burns  
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP  
Two Freedom Square  
11955 Freedom Drive  
Reston, VA 20190  
[robert.burns@finnegan.com](mailto:robert.burns@finnegan.com)

Cortney S. Alexander  
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP  
3500 SunTrust Plaza  
303 Peachtree Street, NE  
Atlanta, GA 94111  
[cortney.alexander@finnegan.com](mailto:cortney.alexander@finnegan.com)

/s/ Armands Chagnon  
Senior Paralegal

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
NORFOLK DIVISION**

I/P ENGINE, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512
	)	
AOL, INC. et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

**PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE, INC.’S  
FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC.**

Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc. (“I/P Engine”) directs the following Interrogatories to Defendant IAC Search & Media, Inc. (“IAC”) to be answered in accordance with Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 26 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. IAC is required to answer these Interrogatories separately and fully in writing, under oath, and to serve a copy of its answers upon counsel for I/P Engine, Dickstein Shapiro LLP, 1825 Eye Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. These Interrogatories are to be interpreted and answered in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the Court, the Judge’s procedures, the Instructions and Definitions below, and the Stipulation entered into by the parties on November 4, 2011.

**INSTRUCTIONS**

1. In answering these Interrogatories, IAC (as defined below) is required to furnish under oath all information that is in its possession, custody or control, or otherwise available to

**INTERROGATORY NO. 7**

Identify and describe each basis for IAC's contention that the claims of the '420 and '664 Patents are invalid including, but not limited to, all facts, dates, documents, communications and/or events, including prior art, which IAC contends are pertinent thereto, and identify the persons having the most knowledge of such facts, dates, documents, communications and/or events.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 8**

Identify any system that IAC intends to rely upon in this litigation as a non-infringing alternative to each system identified in response to Interrogatory No. 1 including, but not limited to: the date such system was developed and the date such system was first put into use; all other facts, documents, communications and/or events which IAC contends are pertinent thereto; and the persons having the most knowledge of such development, commercial use, or other facts, documents, communications and/or events.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 11th day of November, 2011, the foregoing Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant IAC Search & Media, Inc., was served via email, on the following:

Stephen Edward Noona  
Kaufman & Canoles, P.C.  
150 W Main St  
Suite 2100  
Norfolk, VA 23510  
[senoona@kaufcan.com](mailto:senoona@kaufcan.com)

David Bilsker  
David Perlson  
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP  
50 California Street, 22nd Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com)  
[davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com)

Robert L. Burns  
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP  
Two Freedom Square  
11955 Freedom Drive  
Reston, VA 20190  
[robert.burns@finnegan.com](mailto:robert.burns@finnegan.com)

Cortney S. Alexander  
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP  
3500 SunTrust Plaza  
303 Peachtree Street, NE  
Atlanta, GA 94111  
[cortney.alexander@finnegan.com](mailto:cortney.alexander@finnegan.com)

/s/ Armands Chagnon \_\_\_\_\_  
Senior Paralegal