

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
NORFOLK DIVISION

<hr/>		)	
I/P ENGINE, INC.,		)	
		)	
	Plaintiff,	)	
v.		)	Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512
		)	
AOL, INC. et al.,		)	
		)	
	Defendants.	)	
<hr/>		)	

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE, INC.’S  
SECOND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY SANCTIONS  
REGARDING UNTIMELY DISCOVERY RESPONSES**

This Court, having considered Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.’s Second Motion For Discovery Sanctions Regarding Untimely Discovery Responses and accompanying Memorandum in Support thereof, and finding good cause exists, hereby ORDERS that the motion is GRANTED as follows:

Defendants are hereby precluded from using in any form (including any reliance thereon by their experts), for any purpose in this litigation:

1. Google’s First Supplemental and Second Supplemental Responses to I/P Engine’s Interrogatory No. 15, served on August 29, and September 13, respectively, which is directed to Google’s revenues for its U.S. based properties.
2. Emails and the information provided therein produced as Bates Nos. G-IPE-0888897, G-IPE-0888898-99, G-IPE-0888900 and G-IPE-888901-02.
3. Google’s Supplemental Response to I/P Engine’s Interrogatory No. 9, served on August 30, which is directed to Google’s non-infringing alternatives contentions.

So Ordered:

Dated: September \_\_, 2012

---

United States District Court  
Eastern District of Virginia