

Exhibit 3

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
NORFOLK DIVISION**

I/P ENGINE, INC.,)	
)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512
)	
AOL, INC. et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

**PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE, INC.’S
FOURTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT GOOGLE, INC.**

Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc. (“I/P Engine”) directs the following Interrogatories to Defendant Google, Inc. (“Google”) to be answered in accordance with Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 26 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. Google is required to answer these Interrogatories separately and fully in writing, under oath, and to serve a copy of its answers upon counsel for I/P Engine, Dickstein Shapiro LLP, 1825 Eye Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. These Interrogatories are to be interpreted and answered in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the Court, the Judge’s procedures, and the Instructions and Definitions below.

INSTRUCTIONS

1. In answering these Interrogatories, Google (as defined below) is required to furnish under oath all information that is in its possession, custody or control, or otherwise available to Google (as defined below), including information in the possession of its present and former attorneys.

2. Each Interrogatory shall be accorded a separate answer.

3. Estimates or approximations should be given when, but only when, precise data cannot be supplied.

4. The source, sources or derivation of each answer should be separately set forth and identified with a description sufficient for use in a subpoena duces tecum, unless the person signing the answers to the Interrogatories under oath knows of his or her personal and direct knowledge of the facts or information forming the basis of all answers given.

5. For each document and thing produced in response to these Interrogatories, identify the individual from whose files the document was produced or, if the identity of the person is not known, identify the unit, group or department from whose files the document was produced.

6. If any interrogatory cannot be answered in full, it should be answered to the extent possible, and accompanied with an explanation as to (a) the nature of the information or knowledge that cannot be furnished; and (b) why the remainder cannot be answered.

7. These Interrogatories shall be deemed continuing so as to require supplemental answers pursuant to Rule 26(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

8. Google (as defined below) must comply with all other requirements contained in Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

9. In answering the following Interrogatories, if privilege or immunity is alleged as to information or documents, or if an interrogatory is otherwise not answered in full, Google (as defined below) shall state the specific grounds for not answering in full, identify, as that term is defined herein, all information or documents for which privilege or immunity is claimed, and shall answer the interrogatory to the extent to which it is not objected to.

DEFINITIONS

A. “Defendant Google, Inc.” means the Defendant in this lawsuit, Google, Inc. and includes its respective predecessors, subsidiaries, divisions, parents or otherwise related entities and/or divisions thereof, and includes directors, officers, present and former employees, agents, representatives and attorneys of such entities and/or divisions thereof.

B. “I/P Engine” means the Plaintiff in this lawsuit, I/P Engine, Inc.

C. “Person” is defined as any natural person or any business, legal, or governmental entity or association.

D. “Director,” “officer,” “employee,” “agent,” and “representative” means any individual serving as such and any individual serving at any relevant time in such capacity, even though no longer serving in such capacity. Google’s “representatives” refers to and includes Google’s officers, directors, agents, employees, attorneys, and consultants.

E. “Date” means the exact day, month and year, if ascertainable, or, if not, the best approximation (including relationship to other events).

F. The terms “relating to” and “referring to” shall be interpreted so as to encompass the scope of discovery set forth in Rule 26(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

G. “Document” is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 26 of the Court. A draft, non-identical copy, or version bearing any annotation or marking is a separate document within the meaning of this term.

H. “Identify,” “identification,” “describe,” or “description” mean:

(i) “Identify” (with respect to person) means to give, to the extent known, the person’s full name, present or last known address, and when referring to a natural person,

additionally, the present or last known place of employment. Once a person has been identified in accordance with this subparagraph, only the name of that person need be listed in response to subsequent discovery requesting the identification of that person.

(ii) "Identify" (with respect to a document) means to give, to the extent known,

(a) type of document;

(b) general subject matter;

(c) date of document; and

(d) author(s), addressee(s), and recipient(s),

(e) for documents that have existed but are no longer existing, include the type of document, the identity of its last known custodian, and the date on and circumstances under which the document was lost, destroyed, or otherwise became unavailable;

(f) for documents no longer in your possession, custody or control, or the possession, custody or control of your agents (including, but not limited to, attorneys) include the date on and circumstances under which the document was disposed of, destroyed, surrendered from or otherwise left your possession, custody or control, the identity of its present (or last known) custodian and the location of such document, if known; and

(g) in lieu of identification of a document, you may, simultaneously with the filing of your answers to these Interrogatories, produce such document for inspection and copying by Plaintiff, at the office of Plaintiff's counsel, Dickstein Shapiro LLP, 1825 Eye Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006, provided that such

document is segregated in such a way as to indicate the particular Interrogatory to which it is responsive.

(iii) “Identify” (with respect to communications) means to give the date of such communication, the identification of each party to the communication, the place at which each party was located, the substance thereof and the method of such communications (e.g., in person, by telephone, by electronic mail or otherwise).

(iv) “Identify” (with respect to an oral statement (including a conversation, conference, or other oral contact)) means to identify all persons making the statement, all persons to whom such statement was made, and all other persons present at the time of such statement; state the date of such statement; state the place where such statement was made, or if by telephone, the person participating in the telephone call, the person making the call, and the places where the persons participating in the call were located; and state the substance of such statement.

I. “Describe” and/or “state” means to set forth fully and unambiguously every fact relevant to the subject of the Interrogatory, of which you (including your agents and representatives) have knowledge or information.

J. “Concerning” means referring to, describing, evidencing, or constituting.

K. “Communication” means the transmittal of information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or otherwise).

L. Any word written in the singular herein shall be construed as plural or vice versa when necessary to facilitate the response to any Interrogatory.

M. The use of a verb in any tense shall be construed as the use of the verb in all other tenses.

N. “And” as well as “or” shall be construed disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary in order to bring within the scope of the Interrogatory all responses which otherwise might be construed to be outside its scope.

O. The “‘420 Patent” means U.S. Patent No. 6,314,420.

P. The “‘664 Patent” means U.S. Patent No. 6,775,664.

Q. “Accused Products” means Google AdWords, Google AdSense for Search and Google AdSense for Mobile Search.

INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 15

For Google’s U.S. Based Properties, from January 1, 2002 to the present, provide a chart identifying (in U.S. dollars) actual gross and net profits and losses and Google’s costs on a monthly or quarterly basis, associated with the Accused Products, identifying all documents and other evidence Google will rely upon to support its response and each person who will testify as a witness at trial in support of Google’s contention.

INTERROGATORY NO. 16

For Google’s U.S. Based Properties, from January 1, 2002 to the present, provide a chart identifying (in U.S. dollars) operating profit margin, incremental profit margin, actual and anticipated profit margins on a monthly or quarterly basis, associated with the Accused Products, identifying all documents and other evidence Google will rely upon to support its response and each person who will testify as a witness at trial in support of Google’s contention.

INTERROGATORY NO. 17

Assuming Google is held liable for infringement of the ‘420 or ‘664 Patent, identify each and every license or other agreement that Google intends to rely upon in support of its contention concerning the proper measure of damages for infringement of the ‘420 or ‘664 Patent, explaining with specificity each and every factual and legal basis supporting Google’s contention, including the underlying comparability of the license or other agreement to the ‘420 or ‘664 Patent,

identifying all documents and other evidence Google will rely upon to support its contention and each person who will testify as a witness at trial in support of Google's contention.

Dated: June 14, 2012

By: /s/ Charles J. Monterio, Jr.
Jeffrey K. Sherwood
Frank C. Cimino, Jr.
Kenneth W. Brothers
Dawn Rudenko Albert
Charles J. Monterio, Jr.
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 420-2200
Facsimile: (202) 420-2201

Donald C. Schultz
W. Ryan Snow
CRENSHAW, WARE & MARTIN PLC
150 West Main Street
Norfolk, VA 23510
Telephone: (757) 623-3000
Facsimile: (757) 623-5735

Counsel for Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 14th day of June, 2012, the foregoing **PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE, INC.'S FOURTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT GOOGLE, INC.**, was served via email, on the following:

Stephen Edward Noona
Kaufman & Canoles, P.C.
150 W Main St
Suite 2100
Norfolk, VA 23510
senoona@kaufcan.com

David Bilsker
David Perlson
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com
davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Robert L. Burns
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
Two Freedom Square
11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190
robert.burns@finnegan.com

Cortney S. Alexander
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
3500 SunTrust Plaza
303 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, GA 94111
cortney.alexander@finnegan.com

/s/ Armands Chagnon
Senior Paralegal