## **EXHIBIT 17**

	Page 1
1	Volume I Pages 1 - 157
2	Exhibits 1 - 20
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
5	NORFOLK DIVISION
6	********
7	I/P ENGINE, INC., *
8	Plaintiff, * Civil Action No.
9	Vs. * 2:11-cv-512
10	AOL, INC., et al., *
11	Defendants. *
12	*********
13	
14	AUDIO/VISUAL DEPOSITION of LYCOS, INC.,
15	by and through its designee MARK BLAIS
16	Tuesday, July 31, 2012 at 9:00 a.m.
17	Goulston & Storrs
18	50 Rowes Wharf, 7th Floor
19	Boston, Massachusetts
20	
21	Jacqueline P. Shields, RPR, CSR
22	
23	
24	Job No. CS409539

- 1
- I don't recall what they were. Α.
- 2
- Why did Lycos offer a lump sum amount to Ο. license the '799 and '214 patents?
- 4

3

- Α. If I remember correctly -- sorry.
- 5

MS. ALBERT: Objection. Misconstrues.

If I remember, we may have offered them two

- 6
- scenarios, one a lump sum and part for the past and 7
- 8
- running royalty going forward. I know we did two
- 9
- models, I just don't recall specifically whether we
- 10
- At the time did Lycos have a preference for 11
- one of the two models? 12

offered both or not.

13

MS. ALBERT: Objection. Vague.

14

15

royalty to create a revenue stream, but it wasn't

There were some preferences for a running

How about in 2006, do you know if Lycos had

- 16
- strong.
- 17
- any preferences in licensing out its patents for a 18
- 19
- lump sum versus a running royalty?
- 20

MS. ALBERT: Objection. Vague.

I wouldn't say there was really any

21

22

preferences.

Α.

- 23
- And similarly, do you know if Lycos had any

preference in licensing out its patents in 2005 as

- 1 to a lump sum versus a running royalty?
- MS. ALBERT: Same objection.
  - A. No. We didn't attempt to license any patents then.
  - Q. Did TiVo respond in early 2007 to Lycos's initial offer?
    - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. What was that response?
- A. They offered to meet in person.
- Q. Did Lycos meet in person with TiVo in response to that offer?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. When was that?
- A. That would have been in the spring of 2007.
- Q. To the extent that you can remember, what was discussed in that in-person meeting in the spring of 2007?
- A. I attended that meeting with our outside counsel and met with TiVo's in-house counsel and their outside counsel out in California, and I know we made a presentation to them about why we believed there was infringement, the market potential damages related to the case, and made some type of offer in person at the time. So that's what was discussed.

- Lycos would have been willing to license the '420 patent to Google for in 2005?
  - MS. ALBERT: Objection. Vague, speculation.
  - A. No, I do not.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- Q. Do you know if Lycos would have been willing to license the '420 and '664 patent for \$1 million in 2005?
  - MS. ALBERT: Objection. Speculation.
  - A. I have no idea.
- Q. In talking earlier about the purchase of Lycos by Daum in, is it 2004?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And can you remind me how much Lycos was purchased for in 2004?
  - A. 95 million.
- Q. Do you know what all was included in that purchase of Lycos?
  - MS. ALBERT: Objection. Vague.
- A. Well, it was a, it was a stock purchase agreement, so 100 percent of our stock was sold and transferred. And that, you know, so our business itself remained separate and independent, as it was with all of its properties and Websites, products and services. There are only a few retained assets

- in the deal that Terra retained, and those were 1 investments we had in our companies, including the 2 stock that we owned in Lycos Europe. So they 3 retained all interest in the Lycos Europe at the 4 So we ceased as a -- we were no longer a 5 time. 6 sister company after the deal to Lycos Europe, there was no other company operating under a license 7 agreement with us to use our name. 8
  - Q. Was the '420 patent included in the sale of Lycos in 2004?
    - MS. ALBERT: Objection. Vague.
  - A. Because it wasn't an asset purchase, I wouldn't say it's included necessarily. We transferred the stock. Lycos didn't sell its patents. Lycos maintained ownership of its patents in the deal. So the patents weren't retained by Terra, but.
  - Q. So Lycos maintained ownership of the '420 patent?
  - A. Yes. I wouldn't say they were included in the sale at all. It wasn't an asset sale.
    - Q. Who currently owns Lycos?
    - A. Ybrant Digital Limited. Y-B-R-A-N-T.
  - Q. When did Ybrant acquire Lycos?

973-410-4040

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23