UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

I/P ENGINE, INC.,))
v.	Plaintiff,))
AOL, INC. et al.,))
	Defendants.)))

Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE, INC.'S REPLY IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO EXCLUDE OPINIONS AND TESTIMONY OF KEITH R. UGONE ALONG WITH EXHIBITS 1-3

In support of its Motion to Seal pursuant to Local Rule 5, Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc. ("I/P Engine") states the following:

I/P Engine moves the Court for leave to file under seal its Reply in Further
Support of its Motion to Exclude Opinions and Testimony of Keith R. Ugone along with
Exhibits 1-3. The afore-mentioned contain information that is marked as confidential by
Defendants under the Protective Order entered in this matter on January 23, 2012 (D.I. No. 85)
("Protective Order").

2. There are three requirements for sealing court findings: (1) public notice with an opportunity to object; (2) consideration of less drastic alternatives; and (3) a statement of specific findings in support of a decision to seal and rejecting alternatives to sealing. *See, e.g., Flexible Benefits Council v. Feldman,* No. 1:08-CV-371, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93039 (E.D. Va. Nov 13, 2008) (citing *Ashcroft v. Conoco, Inc.,* 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000)). I/P Engine's Reply in Further Support of its Motion to Exclude Opinions and Testimony of Keith R. Ugone

DSMDB-3102124

along with Exhibits 1-3 contains information that is marked by Defendants as confidential. An in camera copy of the afore-mentioned is being provided to the Court. In light of Defendant's representation that this is confidential material under the Protective Order, there appears to be no alternative that appropriately serves Defendants' confidentiality concerns.

3. The information contained in the Reply and Exhibits 1-3 contains Google's proprietary and confidential information.

4. For the sake of consistency with practices governing the case as a whole, I/P Engine believes its Reply and Exhibits 1-3 should remain sealed and be treated in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Protective Order.

5. Accordingly, and in satisfaction of the requirements of Local Rule 5, I/P Engine respectfully asks the Court to enter the Proposed Agreed Order sealing its Reply in Further Support of its Motion to Exclude Opinions and Testimony of Keith R. Ugone along with Exhibits 1-3.

Dated: October 2, 2012

By: <u>/s/ Jeffrey K. Sherwood</u> Donald C. Schultz (Virginia Bar No. 30531) W. Ryan Snow (Virginia Bar No. 47423) CRENSHAW, WARE & MARTIN PLC 150 West Main Street Norfolk, VA 23510 Telephone: (757) 623-3000 Facsimile: (757) 623-5735

Jeffrey K. Sherwood (Virginia Bar No. 19222) Frank C. Cimino, Jr. Kenneth W. Brothers DeAnna Allen Charles J. Monterio, Jr. DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1825 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

2

Telephone: (202) 420-2200 Facsimile: (202) 420-2201

Counsel for Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 2nd day of October, 2012, the foregoing

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE,

INC.'S REPLY IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO EXCLUDE OPINIONS

AND TESTIMONY OF KEITH R. UGONE ALONG WITH EXHIBITS 1-3, was served via

the Court's CM/ECF system, on the following:

Stephen Edward Noona Kaufman & Canoles, P.C. 150 W Main St Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 <u>senoona@kaufcan.com</u>

David Bilsker David Perlson Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 <u>davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com</u> davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Robert L. Burns Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP Two Freedom Square 11955 Freedom Drive Reston, VA 20190 <u>robert.burns@finnegan.com</u>

Cortney S. Alexander Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza 303 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 94111 cortney.alexander@finnegan.com

/s/ Jeffrey K. Sherwood