EXHIBIT R

	Page 1
1	Volume I Pages 1 - 157
2	Exhibits 1 - 20
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
5	NORFOLK DIVISION
6	*********
7	I/P ENGINE, INC., *
8	Plaintiff, * Civil Action No.
9	Vs. * 2:11-cv-512
10	AOL, INC., et al., *
11	Defendants. *
12	*********
13	
14	AUDIO/VISUAL DEPOSITION of LYCOS, INC.,
15	by and through its designee MARK BLAIS
16	Tuesday, July 31, 2012 at 9:00 a.m.
17	Goulston & Storrs
18	50 Rowes Wharf, 7th Floor
19	Boston, Massachusetts
20	
21	Jacqueline P. Shields, RPR, CSR
22	
23	
24	Job No. CS409539

- Q. And looking at the left-hand side, on behalf of Lycos, Inc. it looks like it was signed by counsel at Dickstein Shapiro?
 - A. Yes.

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- Q. Did Dickstein Shapiro represent Lycos in its litigation with Blockbuster?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did Dickstein Shapiro also represent Lycos in its litigation with TiVo?
 - A. Yes. Not at the beginning. They came in late. Later in the case.
 - Q. When did they come in?
 - A. I think during the time that the summary judgment motions were being drafted and filed, but at that time we were still being represented principally by McDermott, Will & Emery.
 - Q. Did that change at some point?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - O. When was that?
- A. After the Court's ruling in the case we changed to Dickstein representing us.
- Q. Does Dickstein still representing Lycos?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. When did Dickstein stop representing Lycos?

Veritext Corporate Services 973-410-4040

- 1 A. As of the end of this case.
 - Q. Was Lycos ever offered to license the '420 patent to anyone other than -- anyone other than TiVo?
 - A. I don't think we ever made a specific offer to license it to TiVo. I think we included it as a, just as part of the family. It was part of their terms, but other than its inclusion in there, no.
 - Q. Similarly, has Lycos ever made an offer to anyone to license the '664 patent?
 - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Has anyone ever contacted Lycos to request the license to the '420 patent?
 - A. No.
- Q. Similarly, has anyone ever contacted Lycos to request the license to the '664 patent?
- A. No.
 - Q. Has Lycos ever contacted Google regarding licensing the '420 patent?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
 - Q. Similarly, has Lycos ever contacted Google regarding licensing of the '664 patent?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. You can set that one aside.

- A. At a certain point we entered an LOI, letter of intent to purchase that portfolio.
 - Q. When you say the patent portfolio, you mean the entire portfolio?
 - A. The entire portfolio. Against my better advice.
 - Q. Do you recall what the terms of the LOI were?
 - A. Generally. They changed because we, at first we entered an LOI subject to, I believe, 90 days of due diligence. We, I believe, initially we were going to sell them the patent portfolio in whole for around 4 or 4 and a half million.
 - Q. Do you know who conducted -- well, first, do you know if any due diligence occurred subject to that letter of intent?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

- Q. Do you know who conducted the due diligence?
 - A. Altitude itself did. They had an in-house counsel.
- Q. Do you know if they had any outside counsel involved?
- A. They did.

1 Q. Who was that?

2.4

- A. It was Dickstein Shapiro.
- Q. At the time that it occurred was Dickstein Shapiro still representing Lycos?
- A. They had not started to represent Lycos yet. I did not have any direct communications with Dickstein at that time.
- Q. Does Lycos have any knowledge as to the results of that due diligence?
 - A. Only what I was told by Warren.
 - Q. And that is?
- A. And that is -- well, one of the issues was with the patents in suit at the time, we wanted out of litigation, and so we either needed them to step in after the fact. If we sold them and assigned them, we no longer have standing to prosecute the litigation, and we didn't want to remain in it in name or any capacity. Or we had to finish up the litigation, so we could do the deal. And to sell them outright, it came to a point where they also didn't like the jurisdiction. I don't know if they liked the patents differently or not, they are pretty careful of not sharing that type of information with me. It came to a point where they

- anything. So when it got to that point, our hands
 were tied. And I think Ybrant wanted to look at
 this after the fact if they were buying our company.
 They wanted to buy it in place. So we stopped all
 negotiations at that time.
 - Q. Did Lycos ever begin negotiations regarding the sale of its patents after it entered -- after its acquisition by Ybrant?

MS. ALBERT: Okay. Vague.

- A. Say that one more time. Sorry.
- Q. It's a terrible question. Let's try again.
- A. I didn't say that.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- Q. That's fair. I will, I will own that. Did Lycos and Altitude Capital ever have any other negotiations regarding the sale of patents after the spring of 2010?
 - A. After the --
 - MS. ALBERT: Objection. Vague.
- 19 A. After the fall of 2010, yes.
 - Q. And when was that?
- A. That would have been sometime late spring or summer 2011.
- Q. What patents were involved in that negotiation?

- A. Well, I was contacted by another -- at that time we were not, we did not -- let me step back.

 We had received an offer from a third party, another party related to the patents in suit here.
 - Q. And who is that third party?
 - A. Stayko Staykov. And that is S-T-A-Y-K-O S-T-A-Y-K-O-V.
 - Q. Who is Stayko Staykov?
 - A. He's from that Borat movie, I think. No, he owns an intellectual property investment type of company. I believe it was called Eidos, E-I-D-O-S, if I remember correctly. And a smaller company. And he's invested in intellectual portfolio s before, and he contacted me.
 - Q. And when did he contact you?
 - A. Sometimes in the spring of 2011. And he came up and met with me.
 - Q. When you said the patent at issue in this case, which patents specifically did he indicate that he was interested in?
 - A. The '664 patent and the '420 patent.
- 0. Any others?

A. Well, I mean, I think the discussion
necessarily included the whole patent family. So if

I remember correctly, he -- I don't know if he actually cited those two specifically, but he wanted the family of patents.

- Q. When did you first meet with him?
- A. Spring of 2011, I believe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

- Q. Did he make an offer to purchase the patent of families at the time? The family of patents at that time?
- A. After our meeting he did some brief due diligence and sent me some information about himself, and then made an offer.
 - Q. And what was that offer?
- A. It's hard for me to remember right now, but it was in the 1 million range. And, again, at this point we're only talking about this one patent family, not the whole portfolio.
- Q. Did he say why he was interested in this patent family?
- A. I think he just said so that he could monetize it in some fashion.
- Q. Did he tell you how he identified this patent family?
 - A. I know that Dickstein had him contact me.
 - Q. How did you respond to the offer from Mr.

Veritext Corporate Services 973-410-4040

Staykov?

2.4

- A. I told him it was too low.
- Q. Did you provide a counter offer?
- A. It took a while for me to get any response from Israel, which is where our parent company's kind of business is operated. It's not where our parent company is operated. Our parent company is located in Indiana, but the business operations of Ybrant is in Israel. It took me a while to get a response back.

In the meantime I spoke with our CEO, who told me to see if I could get other parties involved, and that's when I reached out to Altitude again and said, hey, you know, the previous LOI's have no effect anymore, but I wanted to give you a courtesy call, give you a heads-up that we're in discussion to sell this one patent family, not our portfolio anymore, and that we have an offer on the table. And if you want to throw in your hat, let me know. So they were a little perturbed by that whole thing, but it's business. And then Dickstein also put me in contact with another company, which was Hudson Bay Capital, and Alex Burger.

Q. Is Dickstein representing Lycos at the