

# EXHIBIT 1

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report


**IP Engine v Google**

Saturday, October 18, 2012

## IP Engine v Google

 **Alferness, Jonathan (Vol. 01) - 06/21/2012**

**2 CLIPS (RUNNING 01:03:50.270)**

 Mr. Alferness, can you state your full name for ...

**ALFERNESS1** **31 SEGMENTS (RUNNING 00:35:59.671)**



**1. PAGE 8:05 TO 8:08 (RUNNING 00:00:06.727)**

05 Q Mr. Alferness, can you state your full name for  
06 the record, please?  
07 A Sure. My full name is Jonathan Glenn  
08 Alferness.

**2. PAGE 8:13 TO 8:18 (RUNNING 00:00:10.862)**

13 Q And what is your position at Google?  
14 A I'm a director of product management.  
15 Q And you understand that you're here to testify  
16 on behalf of Google and not in your personal capacity  
17 today?  
18 A I do, yes.

**3. PAGE 8:22 TO 9:07 (RUNNING 00:00:45.202)**

22 Q Are you familiar with the term Quality Score as  
23 it relates to AdWords or AdSense research?  
24 A I am, yes.  
25 Q And what is Quality Score?  
00009:01 A Quality Score is a bit of an overloaded term.  
02 At the highest level, we use Quality Score as a way to  
03 explain how our search ads auction works to our  
04 advertisers, to our partners. We also use the term  
05 Quality Score as a way to represent to AdWords  
06 advertisers the aggregate or sort of overall quality of a  
07 particular ad.

**4. PAGE 10:05 TO 10:17 (RUNNING 00:00:52.613)**

05 Q Why was the Quality Score introduced at Google?  
06 A So again I think Quality Score was introduced  
07 as a way to talk to advertisers about the auction, to  
08 talk about some of the intricacies of what happens behind  
09 the scenes; and more primarily, as a way to represent  
10 back to the advertisers the performance of their key  
11 words, the performance of their ads in the way in which  
12 they were used in the auction. So we talk to advertisers  
13 about the Quality Score of a particular keyword or of a  
14 particular ad so they can understand whether or not that  
15 creative, that keyword, is of high quality with respect  
16 to our users, our system, or if it is, I don't know -- I  
17 guess I would say considered lower quality.

**5. PAGE 10:21 TO 12:14 (RUNNING 00:02:46.284)**

21 A It just becomes a proxy -- a mechanism that we  
22 can use to communicate back and forth with advertisers  
23 about, you know, again the overall quality of the ad.  
24 The way that I would describe it to advertisers is if we  
25 go back in time, Quality Score used to just be  
00011:01 essentially three separate values. You would have, you  
02 know, okay -- poor, okay and good or great. So the way  
03 that I would talk to advertisers about this is, I would  
04 say, "What you should do is you should focus on those  
05 keywords, those ads that are of lower Quality Score,  
06 maybe some of the poor or the okay ads. The ads that  
07 came back with the Quality Score of good or great, those  
08 are working fairly well for you. You can ignore those  
09 and focus on optimizing or improving the lower quality  
10 ones."  
11 Q And underlying that, what was the goal,

## IP Engine v Google

---

12 Google's goal, in providing that information to the  
13 advertisers?  
14 A At a high level, the AdWords system, the search  
15 ads that we show on our search results page, we want them  
16 to be of high quality for our users. We've, you know,  
17 for many, many years served users with high quality  
18 search results as well as high quality ads; and to the  
19 extent that the ad experience is one that doesn't  
20 match -- that is not, I don't know, of sufficiently good  
21 quality, it detracts from the overall search experience.  
22 So the quality -- or the goal of the broader ads quality  
23 team, the search ads team, is to work to A, improve  
24 monetization for Google overall but also B, insure that  
25 the user experience on Google.com from an ads perspective  
00012:01 is a good one as well. So Quality Score became a  
02 mechanism that we could communicate back to advertisers  
03 about essentially Google's point of view or the system's  
04 point of view of the perceived quality of those keywords,  
05 those ads, such that, you know, for the lower quality  
06 ads, advertisers could work to improve them.  
07 Q Okay. When you say improve monetization, what  
08 do you mean by that?  
09 A Search ads is one of the primary revenue  
10 engines for Google, and as such, you know, as a growing  
11 company, it's important to keep an eye on how our search  
12 ads revenue is growing, how the system overall is  
13 performing, as it again provides essentially the lion's  
14 share of revenue to a large and growing company.

### 6. PAGE 14:01 TO 15:24 (RUNNING 00:03:29.783)

00014:01 Q Now, are there different Quality Scores used in  
02 connection with AdWords or AdSense research?  
03 A So again I think what we're getting at -- so I  
04 think of Quality Score as a bit of an overloaded term, so  
05 Quality Score as an umbrella. The way that -- the way  
06 that we tend to think about this on the technology side  
07 at Google -- so product management, engineering -- is  
08 that Quality Score is primarily this thing that shows up  
09 in the AdWords front end, the interface that advertisers  
10 come to to interact with AdWords; and again, primarily a  
11 communication vehicle back to advertisers, right? And  
12 we've progressed so now Quality Scores are a numeric  
13 range from 1 to 10. I would say also in that  
14 communication bundle there are things where we talk to  
15 advertisers about more actionable metrics, like first  
16 page bid, for example.  
17 What I think you're getting at is from the  
18 perspective of how we communicate to advertisers in the  
19 Help Center documentation in some of our marketing  
20 material. We also use Quality Score there as, I would  
21 say, a proxy or a -- an abstraction of what's actually  
22 happening under the covers. So in the Help Center  
23 documentation, you'll see things like Quality Score 1,  
24 Quality Score 2, for example; and these essentially are  
25 referring to metrics that are used behind the scenes  
00015:01 under the covers for purposes of running the auction.  
02 Q What do you mean by Quality Score 1?  
03 A So I think the way that we talk about this in  
04 the Help Center documentation is Quality Score 1 refers  
05 to essentially the QBB PCTR. So that is the value that  
06 comes out of our quality-based-bidding Smart Ass models  
07 that represents again the predicted click through-rate or  
08 in our -- you know, in broader terms, the quality of a  
09 particular keyword. And in the sense of QBB or Quality  
10 Score 1, the quality of that keyword is done independent  
11 of query.  
12 Q And what is QS2?  
13 A So Quality Score 2 then represents the auction  
14 time predicted click-through rate. So again, a Smart Ads  
15 style predicted click-through rate that is used for  
16 purposes of actually running the auction.

## IP Engine v Google

---

17 Q When was QS1 introduced?  
18 A So QS1 as a term to advertisers, you know, when  
19 we started talking and segmenting Quality Score in the  
20 Help Center to Quality Score 1, Quality Score 2, that I  
21 can't answer as well. But Quality Score -- if the  
22 question is more around Quality Score 1, "When did you  
23 guys introduce QBB as a mechanism into the overall search  
24 ad system," that happened in the 2004 time frame.

### 7. PAGE 17:04 TO 18:11 (RUNNING 00:02:32.384)

04 Q What is QBB?  
05 A So QBB stands for quality based bidding. It is  
06 again a somewhat umbrella topic. On one hand, QBB on the  
07 more engineering technical side represents the actual  
08 systems that are used. So QBB is again a Smart Ads  
09 model. These are machine learning systems that are  
10 employed to essentially predict the future. In many  
11 cases, we haven't seen how ads in our system have  
12 performed in the past and we need to be able to predict  
13 how they will perform agnostic of any real performance  
14 data and so we use machine learning systems to be able to  
15 do that. So QBB is a particular instance of a machine  
16 learning algorithm and system at Google. It is based on  
17 a piece of technology at Google that's referred to as  
18 Smart Ads and, you know, is one of a number of different  
19 learning machine systems that we'd have at Google.  
20 The goal of QBB is again independent of things  
21 like query. We wanted to be able to assess the broad  
22 quality of a keyword and creative so that we could  
23 express that back to the advertiser. And QBB as a  
24 broader concept was introduced as a way to essentially --  
25 we found -- the idea was to set minimum bids on ads such  
00018:01 that the minimum bid was inversely proportional to the  
02 quality of the ad; I got that right; such that the higher  
03 quality the ad was, the lower the minimum bid that we'd  
04 specify for the advertiser. And only -- this is now back  
05 in the 2005 time frame -- only if that bid was higher  
06 than the minimum bid that we were providing to the  
07 advertiser would the ad get run in the auction to  
08 potentially show on the results page. So it was a means  
09 of improving the overall quality of the user experience  
10 by enforcing higher quality ads through this inverse  
11 relationship in minimum bid.

### 8. PAGE 18:12 TO 19:17 (RUNNING 00:01:49.526)

12 Q And was that referred to as a disabling -- part  
13 of a disabling process?  
14 A So QBB at the time would have been thought of,  
15 and still is to some degree, yes, as a disabling process.  
16 So those ads -- those keywords for which advertisers had  
17 not provided bids above the minimum would have been  
18 disabled, in a sense, in that we would not have brought  
19 them into the auction to potentially show on a search  
20 results page. It's important to know that at that time,  
21 QBB acted mainly and only on exact match keywords, which  
22 meant that again, QBB being agnostic of query, was  
23 looking at just the keyword and the creative and  
24 reflecting those back to the advertiser, also using it as  
25 a minimum bid. And essentially what we were saying was  
00019:01 that as long as you, the advertiser, were bidding above  
02 this minimum bid for exact match variance of the  
03 keyword -- that is, where the keyword matches the query  
04 exactly -- we would guarantee to show the advertiser's ad  
05 for that query.  
06 For non-exact match variance of that keyword,  
07 so certainly we can spend more time on this, but you can  
08 imagine the query is for flowers and the advertiser's  
09 keyword is for roses. There is, you know, some might  
10 argue, a match between flowers and roses even though the  
11 strings are not a one for one match. Those are what we

## IP Engine v Google

---

12 call non-exact matches. Those are our broad or expanded  
13 match keywords. And at the time that we're talking  
14 about, QBB did not disable for non-exact match variance.  
15 So those keywords would have still been brought into the  
16 auction and we would have, you know, dealt with them  
17 there.

### 9. PAGE 20:20 TO 21:02 (RUNNING 00:00:22.963)

20 Q Now, you talked about Smart Ads. I've also  
21 heard something called Smart Ass. Have you heard of that  
22 term Smart Ass?

23 A So absolutely. And I will -- I don't know. We  
24 will probably move back and forth somewhat  
25 interchangeably in this conversation, so to be frank,  
00021:01 Smart Ass, A-S-S, is the official technical internal term  
02 for the system. For purposes of --

### 10. PAGE 21:23 TO 24:06 (RUNNING 00:04:09.008)

23 A I'm happy to use either term. I will try to  
24 Smart Ads. For purposes of just additional  
25 clarification, ASS was actually an acronym. It stood for  
00022:01 Smart Ads Selection System. And we thought we were cute,  
02 I'm sure.

03 Q Okay. Now, I've also heard reference to  
04 something called Dumb A-s-s. Can you tell me what that  
05 is?

06 A So at a fairly high level, this is a bit of a  
07 history lesson. So Dumb A-s-s was a system that was in  
08 place before my time at Google and before we introduced  
09 and launched Smart Ads, and it was a much more  
10 rudimentary system that was used for, you know, again,  
11 purposes of running -- running a search style auction.  
12 The values that came out of -- out of Dumb Ass I believe  
13 were much more dumb. So how do I want to talk about  
14 this?

15 One of the -- one of the strong points of Smart  
16 Ads is its ability to generalize, its ability to make  
17 predictions when it hasn't seen an exact instance of  
18 something in our system before. On the flip side,  
19 Dumb Ass was much more of a memorization system such that  
20 it would essentially record performance of individual ads  
21 and be able to relay that performance back to the auction  
22 system. This became a problem as AdWords grew in scale  
23 and scope, as we grew number of advertisers, number of  
24 accounts, campaigns, ads, keywords that were in the  
00023:01 system. It became such that many, many of the ads that  
02 we wanted to be able to run in the auction were ads that  
03 the system had never seen before. So we couldn't rely on  
04 a memorization technique any more. We had to be able to  
05 predict for cases where we hadn't seen this particular ad  
06 in context before how it would perform.

07 So that was the underpinnings of why we needed  
08 to leave this -- this more rote memorization system and  
09 evolve to Smart Ads.

10 Q Now, when we've talked about QS1 as being QBB  
11 PCTR, has that -- was that determined by Smart Ads?

12 A So the -- so yes, I think it's important to  
13 understand that Smart Ads again is an umbrella term. It  
14 is -- you know, on a technical or more engineering level,  
15 it's a set of infrastructure really. So if you think  
16 about machine learning systems, today it's a gradient  
17 descent algorithm. So Smart Ads as a whole has a number  
18 of infrastructure components. It needs to be able to,  
19 you know, read data from logs. It needs to -- it's a --  
20 you know, it's a distributed system so it needs to be  
21 able to work, you know, across distributed machines. It  
22 needs sort of the core algorithm itself, this gradient  
23 descent algorithm. But how the model itself is set up  
24 and what data is fed into the model are agnostic of all  
of that infrastructure. So at Google, we have again a

## IP Engine v Google

---

25 number of high level machine learning type systems,  
00024:01 packages almost, that our engineers can use. Smart Ads  
02 is one of those. And again, the underlying model and  
03 data that's fed in can be different per application of  
04 Smart Ads. In AdWords, in the search ad system, we have  
05 two instances of Smart Ads, one for QBB and again one for  
06 the actual auction time predicted click-through rate.

### 11. PAGE 24:18 TO 25:22 (RUNNING 00:02:15.202)

18 Q Okay. For the Smart Ads that relate to QBB, I  
19 think of that as one of the Smart Ads. And then there's  
20 another one for run time; is that correct?  
21 A That's the way I think about it, yes.  
22 Q What are the -- and this is in the 2004-2005  
23 time frame up until what you said may have been in the  
24 2006 time frame. What were the inputs to the Smart Ass  
25 system as it relates to developing Quality Score?  
00025:01 A So if I can clarify your question, the way that  
02 I would think about this -- and you can correct me -- is  
03 what were the inputs to Smart Ads for QBB that were then  
04 reflected in Quality Score to the advertiser?  
05 Q Well, QS1, I would say.  
06 A Okay. So the exact templates, the exact data  
07 that goes into the individual Smart Ads models is I'd say  
08 something that we change somewhat frequently so I won't  
09 off the top of my head be able to list out all of the  
10 inputs or all of the features. Again, at the QBB level,  
11 these models are being run independent of query. We  
12 don't know what the query is. This is all being done in  
13 the abstract. The model itself certainly has keyword as  
14 an item in it. It certainly has some components or  
15 features of the creative itself. So you can imagine a  
16 visible URL landing page -- you know, a click-through URL  
17 or landing page advertiser. And again, the exact  
18 features and templates, sort of how those features are  
19 arranged in the model, are something that change with  
20 enough frequency that, you know, I can talk about this at  
21 a high level but the actual specifics at a point in time  
22 I wouldn't be able to go into.

### 12. PAGE 25:23 TO 26:12 (RUNNING 00:00:49.998)

23 Q Okay. How about the run time Smart Ads system?  
24 Does that run off of different input?  
25 A So again, the model itself -- the features, the  
00026:01 templates, the data that's fed in, is, yes, different.  
02 Part of the reason is that the run time model does  
03 include query, right, because we are doing this at the  
04 point of running the auction so we understand what the  
05 user is searching for. So that would be one difference  
06 in the two models.  
07 The run time model has had more development,  
08 more experimentation, more iteration, so it tends to be a  
09 slightly more sophisticated model in terms of again the  
10 components of the creative that are fed into it that it  
11 looks at as well as how these components are arranged in  
12 the actual model itself.

### 13. PAGE 27:14 TO 28:01 (RUNNING 00:00:50.815)

14 Q Now, you mentioned keywords in creative. What  
15 do you mean by creative?  
16 A So we talk about creatives as essentially the  
17 ad itself. I try to be a little bit specific as ad again  
18 can be an overloaded term. The system itself, like if I  
19 think of AdWords, there's -- there's no atomic sort of  
20 unit of an ad in AdWords. The atomic units that we think  
21 of are more the creatives -- are the key words themselves  
22 that the advertiser's bidding against that we're using to  
23 match against the query. And then the advertiser for any  
24 specific -- for any particular keyword has the ability to  
25 enter one or many creatives that are associated with that

## IP Engine v Google

---

00028:01 keyword.

### 14. PAGE 30:15 TO 31:08 (RUNNING 00:01:12.686)

15 Q Now, you mentioned that Quality Score was used  
16 early on as an early pass with respect to QBB, and then  
17 later on when it was implemented at run time, it was used  
18 for a later pass. What do you mean by pass?

19 A So I -- I mean I guess what I mean by pass is  
20 first pass disabling, second pass disabling, right? And  
21 again, if we think about it, QBB was and to some extent  
22 still is a first pass disabling. And at time of actually  
23 running the auction, you know, we need to figure out  
24 which ads are eligible for top or for right-hand side so  
25 that we can rank and then figure out which ads to show on  
00031:01 the page. That eligibility, top versus right-hand side,  
02 can be thought of as run time disabling, right? So a  
03 second pass of disabling.

04 Q And does that use the Quality Score to  
05 implement that pass or disabling?

06 A At the run time step, we use a -- again we use  
07 the PCTR as is computed from the run time Smart Ads  
08 model.

### 15. PAGE 31:09 TO 32:05 (RUNNING 00:01:26.423)

09 Q Okay. But do you use Quality Score, as we've  
10 been talking about it, in the -- when it was moved to run  
11 time, the use of Quality Score, do you use that for  
12 disabling?

13 A Again we need to be careful with the term  
14 Quality Score, right? Under -- at a technical or  
15 engineering level, we do not use Quality Score at all  
16 within the systems, right? We use this notion of  
17 predicted click-through rate either computed from a QBB  
18 Smart Ads model for purposes of the, you know, QBB first  
19 path disabling step, or we use predicted click-through  
20 rate PCTR as computed from the run time Smart Ads model  
21 for purposes of, you know, running the query time option  
22 as well as doing disabling at query time. Both of these  
23 are explained to advertisers in our Help Center  
24 documentation as Quality Score 1 and Quality Score 2.  
25 And as an umbrella term again, Quality Score appears in  
00032:01 the front end as a reflection back to advertisers; some  
02 notion of an aggregate value or really representation of  
03 the quality of their keywords, right? It is not the  
04 numeric value that we use underneath the covers for  
05 purposes of computing anything. Does that make sense?

### 16. PAGE 37:21 TO 37:23 (RUNNING 00:00:08.292)

21 Q Do you know what the purpose of this type of  
22 document is that would discuss ads quality or provide an  
23 overview of ads quality?

### 17. PAGE 37:25 TO 38:09 (RUNNING 00:00:30.139)

25 THE WITNESS: I mean I guess I would hope, I  
00038:01 would believe, that it means, you know, this is a  
02 document for folks who joined the team, who are  
03 joining -- who are new to the team or new to Google but  
04 on the team -- who would need an overview, a primer, of  
05 how the ads quality system worked, at least to some  
06 extent, at the time in which the document was written.  
07 BY MR. JACOBS:

08 Q And is it meant to be accurate?

09 A I think that's the hope.

### 18. PAGE 39:05 TO 39:07 (RUNNING 00:00:06.313)

05 Q Do you see at the head of the -- at the top of  
06 the document it says Quality Score?

07 A I do.



## IP Engine v Google

---

**19. PAGE 39:11 TO 39:13 (RUNNING 00:00:07.097)**

11 Q Is the Quality Score referred to here what  
12 we've been referring to as QS1 in our discussion?  
13 A Largely, yes.

**20. PAGE 39:14 TO 39:24 (RUNNING 00:00:46.960)**

14 Q Why do you say largely?  
15 A Again we're -- let's see.  
16 The Quality Score that we are describing here  
17 is the Quality Score again that's computed largely from  
18 the QBB Smart Ass model that is the Quality Score that is  
19 shown to advertisers in the front end. And it is my  
20 belief -- so I don't know all of, you know, our Help  
21 Center documentation that well, but it's my belief that  
22 this covers, you know, largely the concept that's  
23 referred to as Quality Score 1 in that Help Center  
24 documentation.

**21. PAGE 40:02 TO 40:05 (RUNNING 00:00:15.886)**

02 Is this Quality Score that's referred to on the  
03 page bearing Bates stamp G-IPE-0223571 referring to a  
04 Quality Score other than what we've referred to in our  
05 conversation as QS1 and QS2?

**22. PAGE 40:07 TO 40:21 (RUNNING 00:00:59.623)**

07 THE WITNESS: Quality Score is more of a  
08 concept, and the struggle I'm having here is that you're  
09 describing it as if it were an atomic element. So that  
10 in here, you know, again I'm not the author of the  
11 document, I wasn't involved in the creating of the  
12 document. What the author is referring to here is  
13 broadly Quality Score. I believe what he meant by this  
14 is Quality Score as is represented to advertisers in the  
15 front end. And so for that reason, I say it largely maps  
16 to the notion of Quality Score 1. I'm not sure whether  
17 the author of the document gets into more specifics about  
18 the actual predicted click-through rate, et cetera,  
19 that's used for purposes of disabling at the QBB pass so  
20 I can't say anything more, I guess, than the word  
21 largely. Yeah.

**23. PAGE 53:15 TO 53:20 (RUNNING 00:00:22.780)**

15 Q I would like to refer you to --  
16 A Actually, I would like to make just one quick  
17 clarification before we move forward if that's okay.  
18 Quality Score was -- I indicated Quality Score came to  
19 being in the 2003-2004 time frame. To be more specific,  
20 Quality Score came to being in 2004 specifically.

**24. PAGE 54:01 TO 54:17 (RUNNING 00:01:21.846)**

00054:01 Q I would like you to look at Alferness Exhibit 1  
02 and in particular the page bearing Bates stamp  
03 G-IPE-0223570.  
04 A Okay. I'm there.  
05 Q Okay. Do you see the heading "Disabling (QBB,  
06 LPQ, and Min CPC)"?  
07 A I do.  
08 Q Is disabling -- what is disabling?  
09 A Disabling, as we talk about somewhat broadly in  
10 AdWords, is the process or the mechanisms that we use to  
11 select which ads we do not want to show or produce on our  
12 search results pages for our end users.  
13 Q It says: The first round of disabling,  
14 sometimes called shard disabling, takes away bad ads  
15 before they reach the ad mixer.  
16 What does "takes away bad ads" mean, if you  
17 know?

## IP Engine v Google

### 25. PAGE 54:19 TO 56:10 (RUNNING 00:03:03.961)

19 THE WITNESS: In this case, I would say "takes  
20 away bad ads" is a synonym for disabling, right. We  
21 are -- for a number of reasons at this time, we wanted to  
22 make sure the ad mixer itself could only handle so many  
23 creatives, keywords, ads, if you want to think of it like  
24 that, in the auction. Various just latency and overall I  
25 would say overhead constraints meant that we couldn't  
00055:01 take all of the eligible or candidate ads for an auction  
02 and bring them all into the mixer at once. So in this  
03 case, what we're saying is there is some amount of  
04 disabling -- i.e., removal of lower quality ads, to help  
05 with the overhead of when things reach the ad mixer.  
06 BY MR. JACOBS:  
07 Q What's a bad ad?  
08 A So in this case, the author is describing a bad  
09 ad I think as, you know, a fairly broad term, but we're  
10 referring to lower quality ads that we believe either  
11 users wouldn't respond to, would be harmful to users, or,  
12 you know, the Google brand or the user experience. These  
13 might also just be lower quality ads for which we think  
14 that we have higher quality alternatives to show.  
15 Q What does shard disabling mean?  
16 A Yeah, so this is an older term. At Google we  
17 use the term shard to represent an individual -- if you  
18 think of distributed computing, you often have multiple  
19 machines, computers, working in tandem to calculate, to  
20 compute something. An individual computer in this  
21 broader distributed sense we call a shard. So the notion  
22 here was that there's -- some amount of the ads at this  
23 time were stored in a more straightforward -- the  
24 creatives, the keywords, were stored in a more  
25 straightforward manner in shards, so in individual  
00056:01 machines, and some amount of disabling -- this is  
02 removing these lower quality ads -- happened at each  
03 shard before we brought them back into the mixer. So  
04 that's shard disabling.  
05 Q What is an ad shard?  
06 A Again an ad shard is, you know, likely  
07 referring to one of these pieces of distributed computing  
08 machinery that works in tandem with others to essentially  
09 hold on to be a data store for the creatives, the  
10 keywords themselves.

### 26. PAGE 83:06 TO 83:10 (RUNNING 00:00:17.216)

06 Q How does Google describe its customers for  
07 AdSense for search?  
08 A How do we describe our customers for AdSense  
09 for search? I think you're getting at potentially the  
10 term partner or publisher?

### 27. PAGE 83:11 TO 83:14 (RUNNING 00:00:10.092)

11 Q We'll take partners. Does Google share  
12 advertising revenue with its AdSense for search of  
13 partners?  
14 A Yes, we do.

### 28. PAGE 101:04 TO 101:07 (RUNNING 00:00:21.762)

04 Q Okay. I would like you to turn your attention  
05 to what's been marked as Alferness Exhibit 4 bearing  
06 Bates stamp G-IPE-0241639 through G-IPE-0241642. Do you  
07 recognize this document?

### 29. PAGE 101:08 TO 102:04 (RUNNING 00:01:32.987)

08 A I don't, no.  
09 Q Okay. Do you know what a product communication  
10 plan is?  
11 A So I know roughly what the language is  
12 referring to. It is, as it says. This is a plan for how

## IP Engine v Google

---

13 folks planned to communicate externally the changes that  
14 are encompassed or -- yeah, the changes that would happen  
15 as a result of a launch or a change to one of our  
16 products or systems.

17 Q When you say externally, to whom externally  
18 would this be communicated?

19 A Primarily to advertisers.

20 Q Okay. I want to turn your attention to the  
21 first question under Landing Page Quality Facts. Do you  
22 see that?

23 A Yes.

24 Q It says: Quality Score equals keywords CTR  
25 plus relevance of your ad text plus historical keyword  
00102:01 performance plus landing page quality plus other  
02 relevancy factors. I think we've talked about the  
03 keyword CTR. Would that be QBB PCTR or would that be  
04 something else?

### 30. PAGE 102:06 TO 102:18 (RUNNING 00:00:53.138)

06 THE WITNESS: So we need to keep in mind that  
07 this is not a technical document at all. This is a  
08 marketing document. So what's being described here is  
09 not a true mathematical formula as it would relate to how  
10 the ad system operates. This is meant to give  
11 advertisers, yeah, a high level feel for how the system  
12 works. So it's meant to give advertisers, in the way  
13 that we can best describe and explain to, you know, many,  
14 many non-technical folks out there, you know, at a high  
15 level what Quality Score is. So I would describe this  
16 more as Quality Score. Yeah, this is not a formula in  
17 and of itself. This is merely a means of communicating  
18 to advertisers.

### 31. PAGE 102:20 TO 103:16 (RUNNING 00:01:21.103)

20 Q Well, what does keyword CTR mean?

21 A So I think in this document they meant it to  
22 mean the actual or current or past click-through rate for  
23 the keyword.

24 Q Not a predicted click-through rate; is that --

25 A Again, if you think about having to communicate  
00103:01 this to thousands -- hundreds of thousands of lay people,  
02 the notion of trying to communicate a predicted  
03 click-through rate was thought, at least at the time, to  
04 be too challenging so folks used the proxy of talking  
05 about click-through rate broadly rather than trying to go  
06 deeper and talk about predicted click-through rates.


07 Q When you say at the time, it shows a launch  
08 date of December 5th, 2005. Is that what you mean,  
09 around that time?

10 A Yeah. Yeah. And I'm trying to make the  
11 distinction because to some extent, in more recent terms,  
12 we have started to talk more about, you know, predicted  
13 click-through rate externally with advertisers. It still  
14 doesn't become -- it's still not a very commonly used  
15 term in our external documentation, but we are shifting  
16 it to some degree.

## IP Engine v Google

 **Alferness, Jonathan (Vol. 01) - 06/21/2012**

**2 CLIPS (RUNNING 01:03:50.270)**

 What about relevance of your ad tax? What does ...

**ALFERNESS3**

**23 SEGMENTS (RUNNING 00:27:50.599)**



**1. PAGE 129:25 TO 131:17 (RUNNING 00:02:45.187)**

25 Q For run time disabling -- is included within  
00130:01 run time disabling the idea of the top ads? You know,  
02 what you're going to include in the top ad auction?  
03 A Yeah. I mean I think the way to think about  
04 disabling as it occurs at run time is you can almost look  
05 at it as an eligibility sort of requirements. So if we  
06 think about how the auction works itself, we look at the  
07 set of candidate keywords, creatives that we have, and  
08 for each of those we figure out whether or not they are  
09 eligible first for the top portion of the page. Once we  
10 have figured out all of the ads that are eligible for the  
11 top portion of the page, we run the auction with those --  
12 again, with those ads, we rank those and then we can show  
13 up to three. The remainder, the ads that were not shown  
14 at the top as well as the ads that were not eligible for  
15 the top, are brought into a second auction, which is  
16 utilized for the ads that show up on the right-hand side.  
17 So again we rank those to show up to eight on the  
18 right-hand side and then the remainder can be used for  
19 showing in subsequent pages.  
20 Q What metric do you use to determine whether  
21 someone -- an ad will be eligible for the top spot on  
22 the --  
23 A Sure. So we don't use a single method. Again  
24 we have this notion of thresholds, right? And to the  
25 extent that the factors that go into computing the score  
00131:01 for the ad are greater than this threshold, then that ad  
02 is eligible for the top.  
03 Q And what are those thresholds?  
04 A The thresholds are again sort of numeric  
05 values, surfaces, curves that live in space. I believe  
06 you're asking for the factors that go into computing the  
07 score to compare against the threshold?  
08 Q Yes.  
09 A Okay. So again, at run time we are using the  
10 Smart Ass predicted click-through rate; and that's the --  
11 this is the run time auction level Smart Ass model.  
12 That's the primary signal that goes into thresholds. In  
13 addition, we use this good click signal, which is  
14 mentioned in this e-mail here, and good click in  
15 shorthand is now referred to as LQ. And in addition to  
16 LQ, we use CQ, which is this notion of creative quality  
17 that I've been talking about.

**2. PAGE 131:18 TO 131:21 (RUNNING 00:00:20.498)**

18 Q Do all of those signals that you talk about,  
19 predicted click-through rate, GC signal I think you  
20 mentioned, and CQ signals -- do those determine what the  
21 threshold is going to be?

**3. PAGE 131:24 TO 132:07 (RUNNING 00:00:36.292)**

24 THE WITNESS: No. The threshold is --  
25 threshold is static. Those signals are used to  
00132:01 compute -- imagine that we have a function which takes as  
02 input a predicted click-through rate, the good click  
03 signal, the creative quality signal, and that function --  
04 the value of that function, the output of that function  
05 has to be, you know, essentially greater than or equal to  
06 the static set thresholds in order for that ad to be

## IP Engine v Google

---

07 eligible for either the top or the right.

### 4. PAGE 132:11 TO 132:14 (RUNNING 00:00:10.757)

11 Q How about for the right-hand side eligibility  
12 for that?

13 A It's the same process. It's just different  
14 thresholds. Different threshold values.

### 5. PAGE 188:09 TO 188:14 (RUNNING 00:00:19.815)

09 Q Okay. We've been talking a lot about Quality  
10 Score but I'd actually like to step back and look at the  
11 broader AdWords and AdSense for search systems and sort  
12 of walk through those. So let's talk about what happens  
13 after someone clicks on "Search." Where does the query  
14 go and what happens to it at each step? And if it's

### 6. PAGE 188:23 TO 195:14 (RUNNING 00:10:19.700)

23 THE WITNESS: So I'm going to assume that we're  
24 starting with a user, an individual having entered a  
25 query into the search box.

00189:01 BY MR. JACOBS:

02 Q Yes.

03 A And the user has either pressed "Enter" or  
04 clicked on "Search."

05 Q Correct.

06 A So we have a query.

07 Q What happens next?

08 A At a high level, the first thing that  
09 happens -- why don't we start high level and then we can  
10 work our way down. At the highest of levels, that query  
11 comes into a system called GWS, which is -- the best way  
12 to describe GWS is it is our Web server. You know, it  
13 serves the HTML for Google Web pages and it also becomes  
14 the main gateway through which communication flows in and  
15 out. So when the query is sent to Google, the first  
16 thing it does is it comes into GWS. GWS then makes two  
17 separate requests with the query, one to the ad  
18 systems -- to the search ad system, the other to Web  
19 search, to essentially the organic Web search. Both of  
20 those happen independently. And GWS waits for their  
21 responses. The Web search engines and algorithms return  
22 back a set of snippets of Web search results. The ads'  
23 back ends do something similar. They return a set of ads  
24 for both the top and the right-hand side. GWS's job is  
25 then to lay out the page, to essentially render the HTML  
00190:01 with both components and send it back to the user's  
02 browser. So that's the highest of levels.

03 So now we can go in and we can dissect that  
04 ad's path. So again, query goes into GWS. GWS sends the  
05 query to the ad system. Let's start there. Actually  
06 ahead of even the ad system seeing the query, GWS does  
07 some high level kind of cleanup and management of the  
08 query, so simple things like insuring that spaces or  
09 funky characters are dealt with so that we can deal with  
10 it in the various systems. It is responsible for passing  
11 to the ad system things like the user's location if we're  
12 going to use that later. We talked about some of this  
13 property code stuff that will be passed from GWS to the  
14 ad system as well. And to some extent, GWS does some  
15 amount of rewriting or thinking about this query in  
16 different contexts. One of the most straightforward  
17 rewrites that GWS can provide is a spelling rewrite. So,  
18 for example, if you misspell flowers, GWS can inform the  
19 ad system that: The original query was this misspelling  
20 of flowers, but we believe that the query "flower" is  
21 correctly spelled and is also accurate or is also useful.

22 So the ad system essentially has a number of  
23 inputs coming in: a query, sometimes variations of that  
24 query pertaining to GWS, and a bunch of other signals.  
25 The ad system has a few steps to perform and then we can

## IP Engine v Google

00191:01 go through each of them in more detail. The first is in  
02 these sets of expansions; so understanding that the query  
03 might not just be the query but that it might also be,  
04 you know, similar queries, similar terms, essentially  
05 expansions. And there's a number of ways that we can  
06 look at that. We can look at the query as being a part  
07 of a broader keyword or vice versa. We can look at the  
08 query as, you know, containing bits of the keyword. And  
09 all of these things become relevant. So essentially at  
10 this point, you can think of this as the broad match or  
11 the expanded match phase. And we have generated out now  
12 a list of, you know, many variations on a query. Given  
13 those many variations on a query, the system then needs  
14 to look up and find all of the key words that match, you  
15 know, the query or variations on the query.  
16 You can imagine that we generate a set of --  
17 that once we've done that, we now have a set of keywords.  
18 And these aren't just strings. These are pointers to  
19 keywords as entered by the advertisers. So associated  
20 with these key words, we understand things like the  
21 advertiser's bid and the creative associated with the  
22 keyword.  
23 So again, given all the keywords, we now go  
24 through a set of initial steps. I guess the best step to  
25 think about next is really the first phase of disabling,  
00192:01 which we've talked about an awful lot, which is this QBB  
02 disabling pass. So for each of the keywords that we --  
03 that we have found in the system, we need to make sure  
04 that each keyword's minimum bid or actual bid is greater  
05 than their minimum bid such that they would pass through  
06 QBB. And if so, then we can keep that keyword in our  
07 list of keywords. Once we've passed this, you know,  
08 initial disabling step, we now have what I think of as  
09 our candidate ads that we would pass to the mixer.  
10 Again it's the mixer's job to do or the  
11 auction's job to do a series of things. It needs to  
12 determine eligibility for top versus right-hand side. It  
13 also needs to -- before I can even get to that, it needs  
14 to compute the click-through rate for each of these ads.  
15 It needs to bring in -- you know, we've talked about  
16 associated CQ and LQ scores for the ads -- for the  
17 keywords and the creatives that together form an ad.  
18 So for each of the keywords that we have, we'll pull in  
19 all of that data such that we can then do the math on a  
20 per keyword basis to figure out if this keyword is say  
21 eligible for the top. We will find all of the keywords,  
22 creatives associated with them that are eligible for the  
23 top and then we run a top auction, right?  
24 So using PCTR, advertiser's bid, we rank the  
25 ads and essentially we can show at most three top ads, so  
00193:01 we will choose the top three of that rank, we will show  
02 them on the top, and then we have a remainder set of ads  
03 potentially left from that top auction. That remainder  
04 set of ads gets combined with the remaining set of  
05 non-top eligible ads that we have left over. Now we look  
06 at that larger set to ensure that those ads are eligible  
07 for the right-hand side. As long as they are, they all  
08 get stuck in a pool and we run an auction for those  
09 right-hand side ads. Again we can show at most eight, so  
10 we'll show one through eight, and then the remainder are  
11 stored for potentially being used in next pages.  
12 So now we have found the keywords associated  
13 with the query, we have gotten through -- or we've done  
14 our expansions, we've found keywords associated with the  
15 query, we've gotten through the QBB disabling step, we  
16 have identified and run auctions for top and right-hand  
17 side. That gives us our ads to actually show on the  
18 results page that can be handed back to GWS for these  
19 purposes of rendering and showing to the end user.  
20 Q Internally we dealt with the ad system. What  
21 are the constituent components within the ad system that

## IP Engine v Google

22 are relevant to serving the ads?  
23 A Yeah, so it doesn't break down super cleanly  
24 but I highlighted them in my overview just now. So I  
25 would talk about, you know, a set of themes. We have  
00194:01 this notion of expansion so this is the expanded match  
02 systems and there's an expanded match team that goes  
03 along with that. We talked about QBB and QBB disabling,  
04 again a set of systems and a team around that. We talked  
05 about bringing in -- once we actually get to the mixer,  
06 we talked about bringing in additional signals like, you  
07 know, the good click or the LQ signal and the CQ signal.  
08 Those also come from systems into the mixer. We talked  
09 about inside the mixer itself doing this eligibility  
10 disabling for top and right-hand side. To some extent,  
11 we think about those as separate systems even though  
12 they're kind of part of the same. The auction itself can  
13 be thought of as again a slightly separate system even  
14 though it's part of the same. And then the one piece  
15 that we didn't get to is around pricing, right? So once  
16 you have identified your ads and you've ranked your ads,  
17 we need to compute what each of the ad's actual cost per  
18 click will be in case the user clicks on that so that we  
19 know how to actually go and register that cost.  
20 Those are the -- I've talked about it -- those  
21 are the highest level systems involved in selecting and  
22 ranking and showing ads. From an infrastructure  
23 perspective, around the sides there can be thought of as  
24 a couple of additional systems. There's an ads database,  
25 which becomes the bulk of the data store for essentially  
00195:01 the data that advertisers enter into the system, right?  
02 So this has the key words, this has creatives. The ads  
03 database also has roll-ups for performance metrics for  
04 advertisers: how these keywords perform; how the  
05 creatives perform, how much -- how many clicks this  
06 particular creative has gotten, for example. So that's  
07 the ads DB. It's a piece of infrastructure also that  
08 feeds into all of this.  
09 And the other side of things is broadly I would  
10 say the logs infrastructure, stats loops, et cetera, such  
11 that when ads get served, we can count the fact that they  
12 were served, that we showed impressions. When ads get  
13 clicked, we can register that. We can count those clicks  
14 and eventually feed them back into the ads database.

### 7. PAGE 196:22 TO 197:16 (RUNNING 00:01:03.500)

22 Q Okay. We talked about Smart Ads before or  
23 Smart A-s-s. Where would this fit --  
24 A My apologies. Yeah.  
25 Q -- in the pantheon of AdWords components?  
00197:01 A So Smart Ads is another very large component of  
02 the overall systems. It is ancillary. It sits off to  
03 the side of the rest of these systems but its data, its  
04 output, essentially these predicted click-through rates,  
05 right, are used both for -- right, again there's more  
06 than one, but if I think of the primary one, the options  
07 Smart Ads system is generating predicted click-through  
08 rates for use in the auction. So I talked about it  
09 briefly when I talked about going through the steps of  
10 the auction. Once we have figured out eligibility, for  
11 example, for the top or the right-hand side and we have a  
12 set of candidates, for each of those candidates we need  
13 to be able to -- actually even before this, once we have  
14 our set of candidates, each keyword needs a predicted  
15 click-through rate. So that's fetched from the Smart Ads  
16 servers.

### 8. PAGE 198:05 TO 198:18 (RUNNING 00:00:48.808)

05 Q What kinds of information does the Ad Mixer  
06 pass to the Smart Ads system?  
07 A It needs to be able to -- well, the Smart Ads

## IP Engine v Google

---

08 system needs to be able to respond with a predicted  
09 click-through rate, so the system requesting that needs  
10 to be able to uniquely identify a number of signals.  
11 Essentially the keyword. You know, the various  
12 components of the creative: the headline, the visible  
13 URL, the click-through URL. In addition, because this  
14 run time Smart Ads system understands the query, it's  
15 likely that the mixer would also pass it, the query. To  
16 the extent that Smart Ads takes into account other  
17 factors -- for example, location -- that would need to be  
18 passed from the mixer as well.

### 9. PAGE 211:22 TO 213:10 (RUNNING 00:02:30.074)

22 Q Okay. So I wanted to talk about the AdSense  
23 for search system. I think you've described sort of the  
24 ad system and we talked about certain components of that:  
25 the ad mixer, the Smart Ads system, DSUs, back end  
00212:01 servers. How does that -- is that augmented or changed  
02 through AdSense for search?  
03 A It's largely the same. So the architecture,  
04 the logic and the flow as I described, you know, for  
05 Google.com is much the same as it is for AdSense for  
06 search. I'd say the easiest things to talk through are  
07 the key differences. And so first of all, this doesn't  
08 happen with a end user in a query on Google.com. This  
09 happens somewhere else, right? So this will happen on an  
10 AOL property. So a query is issued on an AOL property.  
11 It is then the partner's responsibility to take that  
12 query and to send it via HTTP requests to Google servers.  
13 This is the highest level again. Google servers respond  
14 back to the partner with a pay load of essentially XML,  
15 right? Here are the web search results and here are the  
16 ads associated with this query. And if you recall, this  
17 is similar to the work that GWS was doing on the search  
18 ad side of the world. It's then the partner's job to  
19 package up that XML and actually render out the response.  
20 So to render the ads as well as the search results.  
21 If we think about it, on Google's side of the  
22 world, the Google systems, the key differences are really  
23 around the run time Smart Ads models that we've  
24 mentioned, the fact that these are trained not on the  
25 performance of the ad on Google.com but the performance  
00213:01 of the ad on the partner property. So that's a major  
02 difference. I would say, you know, more subtle  
03 differences. The set of ads that we have to utilize for  
04 showing on partner properties is different than the set  
05 of all ads available to Google because advertisers have  
06 the ability to opt out of showing their ads on AdSense  
07 for search. And I'd say the last difference is the  
08 thresholds and essentially where the thresholds are set,  
09 right? So they are set differently for partners than  
10 they are for Google.com.

### 10. PAGE 213:20 TO 214:14 (RUNNING 00:01:12.118)

20 Q Okay. I wanted to talk next about AdSense for  
21 mobile search or what you called I think or referred to  
22 as mobile AdSense for search. Can you describe generally  
23 how that would interface with Google's systems?  
24 A It can --  
25 Q How it's different than the AdWords or AdSense  
00214:01 for search?  
02 A The closes analogy is really the AdSense for  
03 search product that we just talked about. So in general,  
04 things operate very much the same way except again we are  
05 using a different and separate Smart Ads model such that  
06 they're trained for these properties in this mobile  
07 context. We have some additional ad inventory that we  
08 can show so we've talked about things like ads that have  
09 click to call or we have other sets of ad inventory that  
10 allow mobile users to, for example, download apps from



## IP Engine v Google

---

11 the ad itself. So the inventory is slightly different as  
12 well. We talked about the Smart Ads model, the  
13 inventory, and the third thing is again the thresholds  
14 are somewhat different in the mobile context as well.

**11. PAGE 217:11 TO 217:13 (RUNNING 00:00:12.515)**

11 Q When you use expanded match or broad match,  
12 would the AdWords or AdSense for search system pull  
13 keywords that are not relevant to the query?

**12. PAGE 217:15 TO 217:15 (RUNNING 00:00:01.377)**

15 THE WITNESS: It's certainly possible.

**13. PAGE 217:20 TO 218:10 (RUNNING 00:00:57.907)**

20 THE WITNESS: -- I guess it depends on how one  
21 defines relevance. It's certainly -- how to think about  
22 this. The expanded -- we have quite a number of  
23 expansions so you can think of -- again if we go back to  
24 the keyword or the query flowers and you think of the  
25 many ways in -- the many items that could be related to  
00218:01 flowers, it may or may not be relevant. While they may  
02 be related to each other, they may or may not be relevant  
03 to the query at hand. Oftentimes, there's ambiguity in  
04 the queries or the terms entered, and while an expansion  
05 may be pertinent to one meaning or, yeah, one use of the  
06 term, it may not be for others.  
07 BY MR. JACOBS:  
08 Q But generally the goal is to get keywords that  
09 are relevant to the query. Isn't that generally the  
10 goal?

**14. PAGE 218:13 TO 218:25 (RUNNING 00:00:59.494)**

13 Q Of selecting key words for a particular query?  
14 A The goal I'd say of the broader search ad  
15 system, ads quality systems and the team, is to show high  
16 quality ads on the search results page and it's primarily  
17 that. There are numerous mechanisms in the system that  
18 will work against cases in which expansions of key words  
19 or expanded match variance, so to speak, might -- how do  
20 I want to say this? The set of expansions that we look  
21 at, the universe of expansions that we look at, can be  
22 larger than the set of most pertinent expansions because  
23 there are other pieces of the system that either  
24 immediately or over time will deal with those lower  
25 quality variances in those cases.

**15. PAGE 219:01 TO 219:04 (RUNNING 00:00:10.990)**

00219:01 Q Okay. When you say high quality, though, that  
02 the goal is ultimately to get high quality ads, doesn't  
03 that high quality also encompass the idea of getting  
04 relevant ads?

**16. PAGE 219:06 TO 219:12 (RUNNING 00:00:17.870)**

06 THE WITNESS: I mean, you know, certainly in  
07 order for users to engage with and interact with the ads  
08 that are shown on a search results page, they have to  
09 find that those ads are useful in context to the query  
10 that they're doing.  
11 BY MR. JACOBS:  
12 Q Meaning relevant to the query, right?

**17. PAGE 219:14 TO 220:02 (RUNNING 00:00:52.876)**

14 THE WITNESS: I believe I used the word useful.  
15 BY MR. JACOBS:  
16 Q What's the difference in your mind between  
17 relevant and useful?  
18 A You know, there are plenty of instances in  
19 which ads which we might consider relevant based on the

## IP Engine v Google

20 user's query -- there's plenty of instances where those  
21 ads may or may not be -- or may be deemed relevant by say  
22 humans and yet users, for whatever reason, never interact  
23 with them. So I would say while those ads are relevant,  
24 the users have not found them useful. So while relevance  
25 may be a component of overall some of the things that we  
00220:01 look for in producing ads on Google.com, it is -- it  
02 can't be the only thing that we do.

### 18. PAGE 220:03 TO 220:04 (RUNNING 00:00:03.925)

03 Q But all useful ads would be relevant ads,  
04 correct?

### 19. PAGE 220:06 TO 220:14 (RUNNING 00:00:20.153)

06 THE WITNESS: No, not necessarily.  
07 BY MR. JACOBS:  
08 Q Why isn't that the case?  
09 A If you came to Google and you searched for  
10 flowers and the top ad was "A hundred dollars for free,  
11 click here now," and when you clicked, you got through to  
12 a landing page that wrote you a check for a hundred  
13 dollars," that to me sounds like a useful ad, but it's  
14 not relevant.

### 20. PAGE 279:21 TO 279:22 (RUNNING 00:00:05.090)

21 Q Is the relevancy of an ad important in  
22 determining if it is a high quality ad?

### 21. PAGE 279:24 TO 280:01 (RUNNING 00:00:09.208)

24 THE WITNESS: The ads relation to the user's  
25 intent is one of a number of factors that's important to  
00280:01 determining the quality of the ad.

### 22. PAGE 293:19 TO 294:06 (RUNNING 00:00:55.192)

19 Q So what is the primary goal of Google's ad  
20 quality initiative?  
21 A Well, it's primarily a search ad system. The  
22 goal of the ads quality and the broader search ads team  
23 is to essentially show search ads to users with respect  
24 to the queries that we receive on Google.com, you know,  
25 through a variety of means, and, you know -- let's see --  
00294:01 the team clearly cares about making sure that the  
02 experience broadly works well and is, you know, a good  
03 experience. And, you know, certainly revenue is  
04 something that the team cares about as well. Interesting  
05 complex software engineering problems would be another, I  
06 don't know, core passion of the team.

### 23. PAGE 305:05 TO 306:07 (RUNNING 00:02:37.253)

05 Q Do you recognize this document?  
06 A It looks similar to the document that we just  
07 looked at. It's not a document that I recall.  
08 MR. JACOBS: I'll hand you what the court  
09 reporter will be marking as Alferness Exhibit No. 25.  
10 It's a document bearing Bates stamp IPE-0009778 through  
11 0010032.  
12 (Deposition Exhibit 25 was marked for  
13 identification by the court reporter.)  
14 BY MR. JACOBS:  
15 Q Do you recognize this document?  
16 A Not necessarily. I can read the cover. This  
17 looks to be from Morningstar. This is a Form 10K filed  
18 by Google Inc. on February 11, 2011 for the period ending  
19 December 21st, 2010.  
20 Q Okay. I would like you to review page 25 of  
21 the document bearing Bates stamp ending in 9805 under the  
22 header How We Generate Revenue. Is advertising revenue  
23 made up of -- strike that.  
24 Does advertising revenue make up 97 percent of

## IP Engine v Google


---

25 all Google revenue in 2008 and 2009?  
00306:01 A So again we are looking at a document which at  
02 least purports to be a Google Inc. 10K filed document.  
03 So while not having authored the document myself, I would  
04 sure hope that the contents of the document are accurate.  
05 So one would believe that advertising revenues made up  
06 97 percent of revenue in 2008-2009 and 96 percent in  
07 2010.

## IP Engine v Google

 **Diorio, Jonathan (Vol. 01) - 09/18/2012**

1 CLIP (RUNNING 00:16:12.795)

 Good morning, Mr. Diorio. ...

**DIORIO** **59 SEGMENTS (RUNNING 00:16:12.795)**



**1. PAGE 6:07 TO 6:10 (RUNNING 00:00:04.354)**

07 Q. Good morning, Mr. Diorio.  
08 A. Good morning.  
09 Q. Can you state your full name for the record.  
10 A. Jonathan Diorio.

**2. PAGE 6:13 TO 6:16 (RUNNING 00:00:08.724)**

13 Q. And how long have you worked at Google?  
14 A. Just over seven years.  
15 Q. And what is your current title?  
16 A. Senior business product manager.

**3. PAGE 21:04 TO 21:07 (RUNNING 00:00:11.692)**

04 Q. Is it correct to say that you served as a  
05 product marketing manager from 2005 to the beginning of  
06 2011?  
07 A. Yes.

**4. PAGE 29:08 TO 29:11 (RUNNING 00:00:20.490)**

08 Q. So, as a product marketing manager, what were  
09 your responsibilities?  
10 A. To promote the features and functions that were  
11 exiting development and soon to launch.

**5. PAGE 36:02 TO 36:05 (RUNNING 00:00:14.570)**

02 Q. In your experience in product marketing, did  
03 Google use the AdWords Help Center to educate  
04 advertisers about AdWords?  
05 A. Yes.

**6. PAGE 36:12 TO 36:15 (RUNNING 00:00:12.840)**

12 Q. We've talked about a number of different kinds  
13 of materials that Google used to educate advertisers  
14 about AdWords. Why does Google put out these kinds of  
15 materials?

**7. PAGE 36:17 TO 36:19 (RUNNING 00:00:08.123)**

17 THE WITNESS: I can't speak for Google, but I  
18 believe it's to give our advertisers as much useful  
19 information as we can.

**8. PAGE 44:24 TO 44:25 (RUNNING 00:00:09.779)**

24 Q. Is the relevance of ads an important part of the  
25 AdWords system?

**9. PAGE 45:02 TO 45:08 (RUNNING 00:00:16.610)**

02 THE WITNESS: I would say that showing ads that  
03 don't appear to have any connection to what the person  
04 is searching for is not something we would want to do.  
05 BY MS. SCOTT:  
06 Q. So returning relevant ads is --  
07 A. If you define --  
08 Q. -- is a benefit?

**10. PAGE 45:10 TO 45:12 (RUNNING 00:00:08.568)**

10 THE WITNESS: If you define relevancy as being  
11 the ad has some thematic connection to what the person

## IP Engine v Google

---

12 is looking for at that time, then yes.

**11. PAGE 51:25 TO 52:02 (RUNNING 00:00:09.493)**

25 Q. In your time at Google, have you had any  
00052:01 responsibility with respect to communicating changes in  
02 the AdWords system to advertisers?

**12. PAGE 52:04 TO 52:04 (RUNNING 00:00:01.405)**

04 THE WITNESS: As I stated before, yes.

**13. PAGE 52:06 TO 52:11 (RUNNING 00:00:11.887)**

06 Q. You've had both direct involvement in  
07 communication using AdWords to advertisers, correct?  
08 A. You said both.  
09 Q. You had both direct involvement and a  
10 supervisory role?  
11 A. Correct.

**14. PAGE 55:02 TO 55:05 (RUNNING 00:00:10.490)**

02 Q. In your time at Google, have you had any  
03 responsibilities with respect to addressing  
04 advertisers' questions regarding AdWords?  
05 A. Yes.

**15. PAGE 56:10 TO 56:12 (RUNNING 00:00:06.529)**

10 Q. For example, have you been involved in the  
11 preparation of frequently asked questions?  
12 A. Oh, yes.

**16. PAGE 60:09 TO 60:12 (RUNNING 00:00:14.321)**

09 Q. What is the AdWords Help Center?  
10 A. It is a web destination that contains articles  
11 about AdWords, and it's intended for advertisers and  
12 agencies.

**17. PAGE 60:20 TO 61:06 (RUNNING 00:00:55.430)**

20 Q. Have you had any responsibilities with respect  
21 to the AdWords Help Center?  
22 A. I have contributed content to the AdWords Help  
23 Center.  
24 Q. What content have you contributed to the AdWords  
25 Help Center?  
00061:01 A. When we create new features, we typically amend  
02 existing Help Center articles or create new ones. In  
03 my position as someone who currently creates features,  
04 I'll often guide our writers in what are the important  
05 issues along with other people in the organization who  
06 kind of serve the same function. It takes a village.

**18. PAGE 61:07 TO 61:07 (RUNNING 00:00:03.172)**

07 Q. Are you familiar with the quality score?

**19. PAGE 61:09 TO 61:12 (RUNNING 00:00:07.582)**

09 THE WITNESS: I know of a concept that is called  
10 quality score.  
11 BY MS. SCOTT:  
12 Q. What is the quality score?

**20. PAGE 61:14 TO 61:17 (RUNNING 00:00:15.648)**

14 THE WITNESS: I lack any technical understanding  
15 of quality score. And as I understand it, there are  
16 several interpretations of quality score within Google,  
17 so you'd have to clarify.

**21. PAGE 61:24 TO 62:09 (RUNNING 00:00:54.573)**

24 Q. In your understanding, what are the different  
25 understandings of quality score at Google?

## IP Engine v Google

---

00062:01 A. Well, one is a number that we expose in our  
02 reports.  
03 Q. Reports to whom?  
04 A. Advertisers.  
05 Q. And what is the other understanding of quality  
06 score?  
07 A. I know that there are several in what I'll call  
08 kind of the back end, on the server side, that are used  
09 to measure different things.

**22. PAGE 64:16 TO 64:19 (RUNNING 00:00:11.245)**

16 Q. In your experience at Google, does Google  
17 describe what the quality score is to advertisers?  
18 A. I'm sure there are articles in the Help Center  
19 that discuss the concept of quality score.

**23. PAGE 64:20 TO 64:22 (RUNNING 00:00:06.047)**

20 Q. Have you had any involvement with the  
21 preparation of those articles?  
22 A. As answered earlier, I don't recall.

**24. PAGE 98:24 TO 98:25 (RUNNING 00:00:08.707)**

24 Q. Do any of the groups that are reviewing the comm  
25 docs, are they reviewing it for accuracy?

**25. PAGE 99:02 TO 99:03 (RUNNING 00:00:08.530)**

02 THE WITNESS: I think they're reviewing it to  
03 make sure there are no erroneous statements.

**26. PAGE 99:21 TO 100:04 (RUNNING 00:00:27.415)**

21 Q. And what is the time frame for editing a comm  
22 doc?  
23 A. In my experience, similar to blogs -- well,  
24 weeks.  
25 Q. Weeks.  
00100:01 A. Yes.  
02 Q. So it takes weeks to -- from a draft being  
03 circulated to a final draft being agreed upon?  
04 A. Depending on the complexity of the feature, yes.

**27. PAGE 108:16 TO 109:04 (RUNNING 00:00:54.395)**

16 Q. For the preparation of videos concerning  
17 AdWords --  
18 A. Uh-huh.  
19 Q. -- who would be involved in the decision to make  
20 a video?  
21 A. Again, it would be a broadly shared decision.  
22 There's no one person whose job it is to be the arbiter  
23 of video creation.  
24 Q. And what groups would be involved?  
25 A. Marketing would be one.  
00109:01 Q. Others?  
02 A. Sales.  
03 Q. Anything else?  
04 A. Editorial probably. Product management.

**28. PAGE 119:01 TO 119:04 (RUNNING 00:00:20.200)**

00119:01 Q. Would you -- when you were a product marketing  
02 manager and you were supervising other people, would  
03 you expect those people to make sure that the content  
04 was going to be helpful for advertisers?

**29. PAGE 119:06 TO 119:15 (RUNNING 00:00:50.732)**

06 THE WITNESS: Sure.  
07 BY MS. SCOTT:  
08 Q. Would you expect them to review for  
09 typographical errors?  
10 A. Sure.

## IP Engine v Google

---

11 Q. Would you expect them to review for the material  
12 being useful to an advertiser?  
13 A. Yes.  
14 Q. Would you expect them to review the content for  
15 accuracy?

**30. PAGE 119:17 TO 119:23 (RUNNING 00:00:15.159)**

17 THE WITNESS: Again, accuracy is vague. I would  
18 expect them to look for inaccuracy.  
19 BY MS. SCOTT:  
20 Q. But if there were inaccuracies, you would expect  
21 them to identify them?  
22 A. If there was a misstatement, yes, I would expect  
23 them to identify it.

**31. PAGE 119:24 TO 119:25 (RUNNING 00:00:01.323)**

24 Q. Okay.  
25 A. Or -- yes.

**32. PAGE 120:10 TO 120:15 (RUNNING 00:00:17.994)**

10 Q. In your experience, do you expect the  
11 advertisers to rely on the materials in the Help Center  
12 for developing their ad campaigns?  
13 A. I expect them to use those materials.  
14 Q. So you expect them to put them to use in their  
15 ad campaigns?

**33. PAGE 120:17 TO 120:18 (RUNNING 00:00:02.399)**

17 THE WITNESS: I would expect them to. That's  
18 why we make them.

**34. PAGE 121:20 TO 121:22 (RUNNING 00:00:19.216)**

20 Q. Is one of the objectives in providing messaging  
21 materials to advertisers to provide some visibility  
22 into the AdWords system?

**35. PAGE 121:24 TO 122:01 (RUNNING 00:00:08.302)**

24 THE WITNESS: I would say our goal is to provide  
25 them useful information by which they can become better  
00122:01 AdWords advertisers.

**36. PAGE 126:17 TO 126:19 (RUNNING 00:00:15.792)**

17 Q. And when you supervised other people in  
18 marketing, did you encourage them to identify  
19 inaccuracies in the materials that they were reviewing?

**37. PAGE 126:21 TO 127:01 (RUNNING 00:00:13.539)**

21 THE WITNESS: I don't think I ever explicitly  
22 said that. It was a given.  
23 BY MS. SCOTT:  
24 Q. Why do you think it was a given?  
25 A. Why would you write something that isn't true?  
00127:01 It doesn't make sense.

**38. PAGE 129:20 TO 129:21 (RUNNING 00:00:08.145)**

20 Q. In your experience, has there been blog posts  
21 describing what the quality score is?

**39. PAGE 129:23 TO 130:01 (RUNNING 00:00:09.836)**

23 THE WITNESS: I do recall there was a video by  
24 Hal Varian that explained the basics of the auction. I  
25 do not recall whether or not -- how quality score was  
00130:01 discussed within that.

**40. PAGE 149:18 TO 149:19 (RUNNING 00:00:06.445)**

18 Q. In your experience, does Google try to be honest  
19 in its messaging to advertisers regarding AdWords?

## IP Engine v Google

---

**41. PAGE 149:21 TO 150:02 (RUNNING 00:00:18.788)**

21 THE WITNESS: In my experience, my team and I  
22 have always tried to be honest with advertisers.  
23 BY MS. SCOTT:  
24 Q. Does -- I'm sorry.  
25 In your experience at Google, does Google try to  
00150:01 be accurate in its communications about AdWords with  
02 its advertisers?

**42. PAGE 150:04 TO 150:08 (RUNNING 00:00:23.019)**

04 THE WITNESS: Again, accuracy is an overloaded  
05 term in my mind. We strive to provide our advertisers  
06 with useful information that is error free.  
07 BY MS. SCOTT:  
08 Q. So do you strive to provide correct information?

**43. PAGE 150:10 TO 150:11 (RUNNING 00:00:04.354)**

10 THE WITNESS: Same answer. We strive to provide  
11 error-free information.

**44. PAGE 150:20 TO 150:21 (RUNNING 00:00:13.021)**

20 Q. Is there a difference in your mind between there  
21 not being inaccuracies and something being accurate?

**45. PAGE 150:23 TO 151:13 (RUNNING 00:02:12.325)**

23 THE WITNESS: Not being inaccuracies and  
24 something being accurate.  
25 Yes.  
00151:01 BY MS. SCOTT:  
02 Q. What is the difference?  
03 A. Inaccuracy means nothing false or wrong.  
04 Accurate means -- accurate is all inclusive of detail.  
05 Q. So, in your mind, for something to be accurate  
06 it must include every detail?  
07 A. It could. Which is why I feel it's an  
08 overloaded term. Or ambiguous. To not use a computer  
09 science term.  
10 Q. In Exhibit 2, when Jonathan Alferness said that  
11 this frequently asked question and answer, he thinks  
12 it's fine and accurate, did you take that to mean that  
13 it included every detail?

**46. PAGE 151:15 TO 151:19 (RUNNING 00:00:08.461)**

15 THE WITNESS: I don't recall what I thought two  
16 years ago.  
17 BY MS. SCOTT:  
18 Q. Sitting here today, do you take that to mean  
19 that that question and answer includes every detail?

**47. PAGE 151:21 TO 151:21 (RUNNING 00:00:00.500)**

21 THE WITNESS: No.

**48. PAGE 154:02 TO 154:03 (RUNNING 00:00:04.665)**

02 Q. In your experience, is Google successful in  
03 putting out honest marketing materials?

**49. PAGE 154:05 TO 154:05 (RUNNING 00:00:01.381)**

05 THE WITNESS: In my experience, yes.

**50. PAGE 154:09 TO 154:14 (RUNNING 00:00:18.149)**

09 Q. Are you aware of any time that it has not been  
10 honest?  
11 A. I'm not aware of a time where we've been  
12 dishonest.  
13 Q. In your experience, has Google been honest as to  
14 materials posted on the AdWords Help Center?



## IP Engine v Google

---

**51. PAGE 154:16 TO 154:19 (RUNNING 00:00:03.897)**

16 THE WITNESS: Yes.  
17 BY MS. SCOTT:  
18 Q. And in your experience, has Google been honest  
19 in its blog posts?

**52. PAGE 154:21 TO 154:24 (RUNNING 00:00:14.628)**

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.  
22 BY MS. SCOTT:  
23 Q. In your experience, has Google been honest in  
24 its descriptions of the quality score?

**53. PAGE 155:02 TO 155:08 (RUNNING 00:00:46.196)**

02 THE WITNESS: To the extent that I've been  
03 involved in those communications, yes.  
04 BY MS. SCOTT:  
05 Q. And we talked before about trying to avoid  
06 inaccuracies. Is Google, in your experience,  
07 successful in putting out marketing materials about  
08 AdWords that are free of inaccuracies?

**54. PAGE 155:11 TO 155:21 (RUNNING 00:00:38.263)**

11 THE WITNESS: I don't recall any situations  
12 where me or my team have put out materials that have  
13 inaccuracies.  
14 BY MS. SCOTT:  
15 Q. Are you aware of any other team putting out  
16 material with inaccuracies?  
17 A. Not that I recall.  
18 Q. In your experience, if a piece of marketing  
19 material was published on the blog or the Help Center  
20 or sent by an email blast, would it have been reviewed  
21 to see whether there were any inaccuracies?

**55. PAGE 155:23 TO 156:01 (RUNNING 00:00:07.578)**

23 THE WITNESS: As we've discussed earlier, the  
24 reason for having all those people on the email list is  
25 to give everybody an opportunity to look for  
00156:01 inaccuracies.

**56. PAGE 156:16 TO 156:19 (RUNNING 00:00:12.450)**

16 If a particular marketing document is published  
17 on the Help Center or the blog or sent by an email  
18 blast, is it safe to assume that there are no  
19 inaccuracies in that document?

**57. PAGE 156:21 TO 156:23 (RUNNING 00:00:05.798)**

21 THE WITNESS: Based on my experience with my  
22 team, I would expect that there would be no  
23 inaccuracies.

**58. PAGE 164:20 TO 164:21 (RUNNING 00:00:06.055)**

20 Q. Is maintaining advertisers' trust something that  
21 is important to Google in your experience?


**59. PAGE 164:23 TO 164:23 (RUNNING 00:00:01.566)**

23 THE WITNESS: In my experience, yes.

IP Engine v Google

 **Furrow, Bartholomew (Vol. 01) - 08/03/2012**

1 CLIP (RUNNING 00:28:54.667)

 THE WITNESS: Speaking as someone without ...



**FURROW1** 40 SEGMENTS (RUNNING 00:28:54.667)

**1. PAGE 54:13 TO 54:16 (RUNNING 00:00:08.324)**

13 Q. You're familiar with the AdWords system?  
14 A. Yes.  
15 Q. Is the purpose of the AdWords system to  
16 serve relevant ads to Google's users?

**2. PAGE 54:18 TO 54:19 (RUNNING 00:00:05.321)**

18 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say that's the  
19 purpose, no.

**3. PAGE 54:21 TO 54:21 (RUNNING 00:00:02.212)**

21 Q. Would you say that's one of the purposes?

**4. PAGE 55:19 TO 56:15 (RUNNING 00:01:17.444)**

19 THE WITNESS: I would say it's a purpose  
20 to show useful ads to our users, and I think it  
21 would probably be -- again, I don't really have a  
22 solid definition of "relevant" as you discovered a  
23 moment ago when I couldn't come up with a good one  
24 at all. I would say colloquially that a relevant ad  
25 is -- that a useful ad is likely to be relevant to  
00056:01 the user's interest.  
02 But on the other hand, a user may issue a  
03 query and -- or, actually, rather than issuing a  
04 query, we talked a moment ago about showing ads on  
05 CNN, for example, or showing ads on -- showing ads  
06 on television. When you show ads on television, the  
07 audience that you're trying to reach may not find  
08 any particular relevance in that television ad to  
09 their, whatever they're doing currently, but it can  
10 still be useful to them.  
11 So actually, I guess that I would say that  
12 our purpose is to show useful ads, the ads being  
13 relevant to what the user is looking for may help to  
14 make those ads useful, but again I don't have a good  
15 definition for what exactly "relevance" means.

**5. PAGE 56:17 TO 56:20 (RUNNING 00:00:14.607)**

17 Q. Using any definition that you have used in  
18 the past for "relevance," would you agree that the  
19 AdWords system results in serving relevant ads to  
20 Google's users?

**6. PAGE 56:22 TO 57:05 (RUNNING 00:00:21.680)**

22 THE WITNESS: Speaking as someone without  
23 a particularly solid definition of the word  
24 "relevance," I would say that it is often the case  
25 as a user of AdWords that I have seen ads that are  
00057:01 relevant to the thing that I was searching for.  
02 BY MR. CIMINO:  
03 Q. So you agree that the result of the  
04 AdWords system would be to serve relevant ads to the  
05 user?

**7. PAGE 57:07 TO 57:10 (RUNNING 00:00:09.277)**

07 THE WITNESS: I would agree that the  
08 results that the output of the AdWords system can be  
09 to serve an ad that is relevant to what the user is

## IP Engine v Google

---

10 looking for.

**8. PAGE 63:02 TO 63:03 (RUNNING 00:00:03.745)**

02 Q. Do you know whether revenue actually  
03 increased after SmartASS was brought online?

**9. PAGE 63:05 TO 63:07 (RUNNING 00:00:04.487)**

05 THE WITNESS: My understanding through  
06 secondhand knowledge, because this predates my time  
07 at Google, is that it did.

**10. PAGE 67:18 TO 67:22 (RUNNING 00:00:09.150)**

18 Q. Okay. Let's walk through the flow, and as  
19 we go through this and move forward in time, just  
20 your best recollection obviously is the best we can  
21 do.  
22 A. Sure.

**11. PAGE 68:03 TO 69:19 (RUNNING 00:02:02.124)**

03 A. All right. So we talked about the auction  
04 wherein we would rank ads by their, what we called  
05 the eCPM, which is the expected cost per mille,  
06 which is this predicted click-through rate times the  
07 bid times 1,000. It's never quite been clear to me  
08 why we would always multiply things by a thousand,  
09 but we did.

10 For promotion and disabling, we would --  
11 to determine whether an ad was eligible for the  
12 auction at all, we would do what's called a  
13 disabling pass. And in disabling, we would apply a  
14 mathematical function to, essentially to the  
15 combination of the predicted click-through rate and  
16 the bid. And that mathematical function would  
17 either return true or false, and if it returned  
18 true, then this ad would be eligible to be shown;  
19 and if false, this ad would be ineligible to be  
20 shown. So the combination of pCTR and bid was used  
21 to determine whether the ad was eligible for the  
22 auction.

23 Then we would run the auction. And then  
24 for the first ad, the ad that won the auction, if  
25 there are even any ads left, which there might not  
00069:01 be, it could be that all of the ads were disabled,  
02 but --

03 Q. Understood. The ones that are left --

04 A. Of the ones that were left, we would look  
05 at the first one and we would subject it to a very  
06 similar mathematical function to the disabling pass  
07 called the promotion pass. And this will be a more  
08 strict function. Fewer ads are eligible for  
09 promotion than are eligible to participate in the  
10 auction.

11 And if the first ad met that promotion  
12 criterion, if the combination of pCTR and bid was  
13 high enough, then we would show that ad on top.

14 And if the first ad passed the promotion  
15 criterion, then we would go on to the second ad.  
16 And if the second ad passed the promotion criterion,  
17 I guess around 2004 we were only showing two top  
18 ads, so that would have been it. At some point, we  
19 started showing a third, as well.

**12. PAGE 72:16 TO 72:22 (RUNNING 00:00:19.740)**

16 So the predicted click-through rate used  
17 for disabling and promotion from 2004 to 2011 was  
18 the same signal?

19 A. By "the same signal," you mean something  
20 produced by Smart Ads?

21 Q. Correct.

## IP Engine v Google

---

22 A. Yes.

### 13. PAGE 76:08 TO 76:09 (RUNNING 00:00:07.360)

08 Q. And promotion is used to refer to  
09 placement of ads on the top of the page exclusively?

### 14. PAGE 76:11 TO 76:16 (RUNNING 00:00:15.818)

11 THE WITNESS: In the context of ads  
12 serving, it's easier to refer to the placement of  
13 ads in the top slot.  
14 BY MR. CIMINO:  
15 Q. And that's a two-step process, so to  
16 speak?

### 15. PAGE 76:18 TO 77:23 (RUNNING 00:01:16.028)

18 THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes. I'm not  
19 sure which two steps you're thinking of. The two  
20 I'm thinking of would be the promotion pass to see  
21 whether an ad is eligible to be promoted, followed  
22 by the auction.  
23 BY MR. CIMINO:  
24 Q. And the promotion pass would be a  
25 comparison with a threshold?  
00077:01 A. That's right. It's the threshold thing we  
02 talked about before.  
03 Q. And disabling would also be the same two  
04 steps, but for the right-hand side?  
05 A. That's correct.  
06 And I believe it was that -- I believe  
07 this happened with the reserve-based promotion  
08 launch, whereas before, we had run a single auction  
09 and then we would promote ads from the top of that  
10 auction. Once reserve-based promotion came along,  
11 we would first run a top auction, and then put ads  
12 on top, and then take whatever ads were left over  
13 and run a right-hand side auction.  
14 No, actually, that wasn't with reserve-  
15 based promotion. That was with something called out  
16 of order promotion, and my memory is that was  
17 launched actually at the same time as reserve-based  
18 promotion.  
19 I apologize if I'm being confusing. There  
20 are a lot of little things that --  
21 Q. Sure.  
22 A. I'm doing my best to bring them all to  
23 you.

### 16. PAGE 78:04 TO 78:08 (RUNNING 00:00:14.487)

04 Where does, in terms of the architecture  
05 for AdWords, where does disabling happen?  
06 A. In the ad mixer.  
07 Q. Where does promotion happen?  
08 A. Also in the ad mixer.

### 17. PAGE 78:25 TO 79:20 (RUNNING 00:01:20.242)

25 Q. Okay. So we talked about QBB a little bit  
00079:01 and said we would come back to that. Do you recall  
02 where QBB would fit into this process?  
03 A. Yes.  
04 Q. Can you explain it.  
05 A. QBB is a system that provides a very  
06 different pCTR from the one that the Smart Ads  
07 system provides. Whereas Smart Ads provides  
08 predicted click-through rates associated with a  
09 particular query, the QBB prediction is made without  
10 the context of the query. It's made ahead of time.  
11 The QBB prediction is made given only the  
12 keyword that the advertiser has specified. And so  
13 for each keyword that an advertiser specifies, we

## IP Engine v Google

---

14 will make a QBB prediction and say that on average  
15 people, "on average" is perhaps too -- well, the  
16 term, I guess, but it provides a, it provides a  
17 predicted click-through rate given only the keyword,  
18 not the query. Because at the time when it's making  
19 the prediction, there is no query. It makes these  
20 predictions ahead of time.

### 18. PAGE 87:02 TO 88:14 (RUNNING 00:02:28.927)

02 Q. -- occurred. Can you explain what long  
03 term value is and was?  
04 A. Yes. So LTV included a change to our  
05 auction and to the functional form of promotion and  
06 disabling.  
07 So QBB was, as I understand it, unaffected  
08 by LTV. So that part stayed as it was.  
09 Q. Makes it easy.  
10 A. Makes it easier.  
11 Smart Ads is still providing pCTRs post  
12 LTV, but the various pieces of the auction and  
13 promotion and disabling now happen in different  
14 ways.  
15 The -- whereas before we would compute  
16 this number that I referred to a eCPM, the bid times  
17 the PCTR times a thousand, and rank according to  
18 that, and we would choose which ads to promote and  
19 choose which ads to disable by the very different  
20 criterion. LTV unified the auction with these  
21 thresholds.  
22 So what we have in LTV is a single  
23 function for promotion, and a single function for  
24 disabling. And if the function's value is less than  
25 zero for promotion, then an ad is ineligible to show  
00088:01 on top. If the value is less than zero for  
02 disabling, then the ad is ineligible to show on the  
03 right-hand side. And we also rank the ads by that  
04 same value.  
05 So first we evaluate the top LTV score for  
06 every ad, and we take -- we consider all of the ads  
07 whose LTV score isn't at least zero -- excuse me, is  
08 at least zero, and we take the top three of those  
09 and promote them. Then we take all of the ads that  
10 are left, we evaluate the right-hand side LTV score  
11 on those ads, and then we essentially throw away all  
12 the ads whose score is less than zero. And then we  
13 take the first up to eight of what remains, and  
14 again, we rank those by LTV score.

### 19. PAGE 89:24 TO 90:05 (RUNNING 00:00:18.332)

24 Q. Where does the QBB disabling pass take  
25 place?  
00090:01 A. In what I've been calling the keyword  
02 servers.  
03 Q. Okay. Then the ads that survive the QBB  
04 disabling pass go to the ad mixer. Is that right?  
05 A. That's correct.

### 20. PAGE 90:06 TO 91:09 (RUNNING 00:01:26.472)

06 Q. And then --  
07 A. Sorry. There is a little bit more that  
08 can happen between that. I think there are limits  
09 to how many ads each. And this has been true since  
10 before LTV, but since we're going into this level of  
11 detail.  
12 We wouldn't, for example, return more than  
13 a few ads from the same customer per keyword. So if  
14 the same customer has a hundred ads advertising on  
15 the same keyword, then we would only select a few of  
16 those to send back to the ad mixer. Likewise, if  
17 it's a very popular keyword like "hotels," we would

## IP Engine v Google

---

18 only -- we wouldn't send back every ad for every  
19 advertiser that advertised on "hotels." We would  
20 only send back a few.  
21 Q. How do you determine for the same customer  
22 or for popular keywords which ones to exclude?  
23 A. My understanding is that it's something of  
24 a heuristic, meaning that we just sort of do our  
25 best to guess which would do the -- which of those  
00091:01 would do the best in the ad mixer.  
02 We also understand that advertisers  
03 sometimes prefer exact match ads to broad match ads,  
04 and so we have a preference for those, as well, but  
05 other than that, I believe it's just, it's  
06 essentially heuristic to try to guess what the ad  
07 mixer would pick as the ads to be shown.  
08 Q. Is it based at all on QBB pCTR?  
09 A. It might be, but I'm not sure.

### 21. PAGE 91:10 TO 91:18 (RUNNING 00:00:25.765)

10 Q. Back to the ad mixer. The QBB disabling  
11 pass determines which are eligible, which ads are  
12 eligible to go to the ad mixer for promotion and  
13 disabling?  
14 A. I wouldn't say for promotion and  
15 disabling; although these are things that happen,  
16 but, yes, the QBB disabling pass is part of what  
17 determines which ads are eligible to be sent to the  
18 ad mixer.

### 22. PAGE 91:19 TO 91:25 (RUNNING 00:00:33.360)

19 Q. And then what happens in the ad mixer?  
20 A. A number of things happen in the ad mixer,  
21 but of what we've talked about so far, the auction  
22 as well as disabling -- excuse me, the auctions.  
23 Q. Does anything else happen in the ad mixer  
24 we haven't discussed that would be relevant to ad  
25 selection?

### 23. PAGE 92:18 TO 93:19 (RUNNING 00:01:20.698)

18 And then we would do promotion by  
19 comparing to a threshold of zero. Is that right?  
20 A. Comparing the LTV score to a threshold of  
21 zero.  
22 Q. LTV score. And then we would compare the  
23 LTV score to a threshold of zero for disabling. Is  
24 that right?  
25 A. That's correct. After we had already  
00093:01 chosen the top ads, then what's left gets, the  
02 disabling pass gets applied.  
03 Q. Does the LTV score change, then, when it's  
04 being considered for promotion versus disabling?  
05 A. They are different functions. Very  
06 similar functions, but with different values in  
07 them.  
08 Q. After we do LTV compared to zero for  
09 promotion, then we run an auction for promotion?  
10 A. That's correct.  
11 Q. And then the same thing with disabling,  
12 you would take the leftover ads, compare them to the  
13 threshold, and the remaining ads you would run an  
14 auction?  
15 A. Yes, and the threshold in this case is  
16 zero.  
17 Q. And that's, that changed LTV in 2011,  
18 that's still how the system works today?  
19 A. That's how the system works today.

### 24. PAGE 92:02 TO 92:17 (RUNNING 00:01:00.506)

02 THE WITNESS: I believe that UBAQ is -- I  
03 believe UBAQ comes in in the ad mixer, and so does

## IP Engine v Google

---

04 eCTR.  
05 BY MR. CIMINO:  
06 Q. Okay.  
07 A. I'm sorry. In addition to the auction,  
08 or, perhaps, post auction, we also do things like  
09 what's called D-duping, short for D-duplication. So  
10 I mentioned before that we would try to winnow down  
11 the number of ads that a particular advertiser sends  
12 back the ad mixer. We'll only ever show one ad from  
13 one advertiser. It might not be for one advertiser,  
14 but at least one ad for one account or one visible  
15 URL domain. And so we do that winnowing step, as  
16 well.  
17 Q. Okay. Understood.

**25. PAGE 109:01 TO 109:05 (RUNNING 00:00:13.230)**

00109:01 Q. So the LTV score will be a function of  
02 SmartASS predicted click-through rate, and then as  
03 part of CQ, also the QBB predicted click-through  
04 rate?  
05 A. I think that's fair to say, yes.

**26. PAGE 113:12 TO 113:13 (RUNNING 00:00:03.200)**

12 What information does Smart Ads base its  
13 predictions on?

**27. PAGE 113:15 TO 113:20 (RUNNING 00:00:22.775)**

15 THE WITNESS: That is, that's a big  
16 question. Smart Ads bases its prediction on a  
17 machine learning model that has been constructed at  
18 Smart Ads training time. Yeah, I guess that's my  
19 answer to that part. And maybe you want to know  
20 more about that.

**28. PAGE 118:25 TO 119:19 (RUNNING 00:01:31.681)**

25 Q. How does SmartASS compute a predicted  
00119:01 click-through rate?  
02 A. So first the Smart Ads server receives a  
03 request from the keyword server containing  
04 information about the ad that it's supposed to  
05 evaluate, and information about the query. It then  
06 looks at the configuration for the Smart Ads model  
07 that it's using, and the configuration contains  
08 information about what facts about the query ad pair  
09 to combine with what other facts.  
10 Once it has made those combinations, of  
11 which there could be on the order of 50, it then  
12 looks up in a big database whether -- or what the  
13 multiplier associated with each of those facts is.  
14 Once it's looked up all 50 or so -- I  
15 mean, it could be anywhere between 20 and 100, say,  
16 so once it's looked up all 50 or so multipliers, it  
17 then multiplies them all together, and performs some  
18 math on the result, and the -- the output of that is  
19 a click-through, predicted click-through rate.

**29. PAGE 121:09 TO 122:24 (RUNNING 00:02:07.012)**

09 Q. So drilling down a little bit on the  
10 relation of some facts to other facts, what is a  
11 feature?  
12 A. So I want to start off by being a little  
13 careful about terminology here, because the  
14 terminology surrounding SmartASS is not necessarily  
15 consistent depending on whom you're talking to, and  
16 not necessarily consistent depending on the sentence  
17 the person is saying. You will discover that I use  
18 the term "attribute" and the term "key"  
19 interchangeably.  
20 Q. "Key"?

## IP Engine v Google

21 A. Yes, "attribute" and "key"  
22 interchangeably. I try to use "attribute," but I'll  
23 use "key" by mistake a few times. So I will give  
24 you my definitions, but I'll also tell you what  
25 other people might call these things.  
00122:01 Q. So you could have different people using  
02 these words different ways, and those people could  
03 also be inside the same AdWords group?  
04 A. Yes.  
05 Q. Okay.  
06 A. So my definition of the term "feature" is  
07 a single fact about the ad query pair. For example,  
08 one feature might be the visible URL domain of the  
09 ad is hotjobs.com.  
10 Q. Okay. And what would other people call  
11 that? I think you said --  
12 A. Right. So some people might call that an  
13 atomic feature.  
14 Q. Why, because it's the lowest?  
15 A. It's the lowest form of fact, I guess.  
16 The reason for the confusion here is that  
17 when we first started using the term "feature" on  
18 the Smart Ads team, nobody had yet pointed out that  
19 "feature" was actually a common machine learning  
20 term for something else.  
21 Q. That would make it confusing, huh?  
22 A. It does. It's sort of an East Coast/West  
23 Coast thing going on. Depending on which office  
24 you're in, you might call it something different.

### 30. PAGE 158:09 TO 159:03 (RUNNING 00:00:56.030)

09 Q. Can you turn to Furrow 1.  
10 A. Yes.  
11 Q. Do you recognize this document?  
12 A. I don't know that I've seen it in its  
13 present iteration, or the one that you're showing  
14 me, but I recognize what it's for.  
15 Q. Are you familiar with the content?  
16 A. Well, like I said, I haven't seen the  
17 previous version before. I mean, I'm looking --  
18 I've looked through it just now. I wouldn't call  
19 myself deeply familiar with it, but I have a  
20 reasonably good idea of what it contains.  
21 Q. Okay. Fair enough.  
22 At the top it says "Author: Gary Holt"?  
23 A. Yes.  
24 Q. Who is Gary Holt?  
25 A. Gary Holt is a software engineer working  
00159:01 on Smart Ads in the Pittsburgh office.  
02 Q. What -- how big of a group is in the  
03 Pittsburgh office?

### 31. PAGE 159:05 TO 160:05 (RUNNING 00:01:24.595)

05 THE WITNESS: The -- it's been a year  
06 since I was on the Smart Ads team, so I don't have a  
07 very good notion of whether people have moved  
08 around. At the time that I left, there were maybe  
09 approximately ten people -- oh, no -- maybe more  
10 like approximately 14 people working on Smart Ads  
11 out of Pittsburgh.  
12 BY MR. CIMINO:  
13 Q. If I remember from your earlier testimony,  
14 that is more than half the Smart Ads group?  
15 A. I think that's correct, yes.  
16 Q. Do you know why Pittsburgh?  
17 A. The, the gentleman who serves as director  
18 of the Pittsburgh office, Andrew Moore, is a  
19 professor, or was, I don't know if it's "is" or  
20 "was," a professor from Carnegie Mellon University.  
21 My understanding, but I don't have first-hand



## IP Engine v Google

---

22 knowledge of this, is that Carnegie Mellon has a  
23 great machine learning program, and Dr. Moore wanted  
24 to incorporate -- he wanted to be able to hire a lot  
25 of people from CMU's machine learning Ph.D. program,  
00160:01 and having a big team working on a machine learning  
02 project out of the Pittsburgh office would seem to  
03 be a good way of getting that. This is my  
04 speculation based on things that I've heard about.  
05 I mean, it sort of fits together, I suppose.

### 32. PAGE 161:18 TO 162:02 (RUNNING 00:00:24.801)

18 Q. Is this a file that was actually used by  
19 the AdWords system?  
20 A. I'm guessing it is file that is currently  
21 used by the AdWords system.  
22 Q. What gives you that indication?  
23 A. There's a comment saying Google 24  
24 launched 9th of May 2012. I don't believe there's  
25 been a model launch since then, which suggests to me  
00162:01 that this document contains the currently launched  
02 Smart Ads model.

### 33. PAGE 162:03 TO 162:17 (RUNNING 00:00:45.159)

03 Q. What does "Google 24" stand for?  
04 A. It stands for the 24th model that was  
05 launched on Google. It may not be exactly the 24th.  
06 I know we've skipped version numbers before,  
07 but . . .  
08 Q. Does that suggest there is that at most,  
09 23 other models?  
10 A. I would say it suggests there are  
11 approximately 23 previous models.  
12 Q. So in our earlier discussion about finding  
13 the attribute templates for SmartASS production  
14 models, we would be looking for potentially 23 other  
15 models?  
16 A. I believe it would be approximately 23  
17 previous model configurations, yes.

### 34. PAGE 163:25 TO 164:07 (RUNNING 00:00:26.791)

25 Q. Is it fair to say that this set of  
00164:01 attributes would be the model in the production  
02 SmartASS since May of 2012?  
03 A. It would be fair to say that these are the  
04 attribute templates, I believe based on the comments  
05 in the file, that were used in this Smart Ads model  
06 that was launched 9th of May 2012, and I believe  
07 persists as the production model to this date.

### 35. PAGE 202:21 TO 204:01 (RUNNING 00:01:57.046)

21 Q. So, Mr. Furrow, you looked at Furrow 2  
22 when we first pulled out the first five exhibits.  
23 Feel free to take some more time and look at Furrow  
24 Exhibit 2. My question's going to be, do you  
25 recognize this document?  
00203:01 A. It's possible that I haven't seen it in  
02 its current form. However, I do, like the previous  
03 document, I recognize its purpose and was involved  
04 in writing part of it, at least the part at the top,  
05 anyway.  
06 Q. That's you at the top where it says  
07 "Author"?  
08 A. That is me.  
09 Q. Can you describe what this document is?  
10 A. Well, this document appears to be similar  
11 to Furrow Exhibit 1, which was a record of the  
12 current Google Smart Ads model, production model as  
13 attribute templates. I believe that this is a file  
14 containing various Google QBB models -- actually  
15 maybe not even just Google. I would say various QBB

## IP Engine v Google

---

16 models attribute templates.  
17 Q. Does this document, Furrow Exhibit 2, have  
18 the current QBB attribute model?  
19 A. I think what you mean to ask is whether it  
20 has the attribute templates of the current  
21 production QBB model?  
22 Q. Yes, thank you.  
23 A. I believe that the current production QBB  
24 model is QBB 4, I am, however, not certain of that.  
25 And QBB 4 would appear to be the first model in  
00204:01 here.

### 36. PAGE 222:02 TO 222:11 (RUNNING 00:00:28.179)

02 We talked earlier about the components,  
03 the components for AdWords, and I think we talked  
04 about keyword servers, ad mixers and SmartASS,  
05 SmartASS server.  
06 A. I wouldn't have called those components.  
07 I would call those servers, but go ahead.  
08 Q. Ad mixer, you would refer to as a server?  
09 A. I would refer to all of those as servers.  
10 Q. Are there any other major servers or  
11 components in the AdWords system?

### 37. PAGE 222:16 TO 223:11 (RUNNING 00:01:25.365)

16 Q. Can you describe what they are?  
17 A. I wouldn't be able to give you an  
18 exhaustive list. One example would be the creative  
19 server which may currently be called the H creative  
20 server, I'm not sure. I think there's something  
21 called the URL server.  
22 Previously -- I mentioned that the keyword  
23 server used to go by different names in times of  
24 days gone by. Certainly other servers would have,  
25 would have changed, as well. The architecture could  
00223:01 have changed in certain ways. So there may be older  
02 versions that either I do or don't know about.  
03 But currently I think the creative server,  
04 I believe there's a URL server.  
05 There are a few other servers -- oh,  
06 what's this one called. I'm forgetting the name of  
07 the server. It's a server that does expanded match  
08 work, but the name's eluding me at the moment.  
09 Those are the ones that come to mind.  
10 Oh, and, I believe there's something  
11 called the product ad server.

### 38. PAGE 223:12 TO 224:02 (RUNNING 00:00:44.002)

12 Q. For -- let's, I guess, start with the  
13 keyword server, ad mixer server and Smart Ads  
14 server.  
15 A. Sure.  
16 Q. Is there a single server, or are they  
17 distributed?  
18 A. Any of the servers that I've just  
19 described to you, including the ad mixer and the  
20 SmartASS server and the keyword server, are all  
21 servers of which there would be more than one  
22 instance.  
23 Q. How are they connected?  
24 A. They will be connected via network  
25 cabling, so a network of some sort.  
00224:01 Q. And the creative server and the URL server  
02 also, they would be part of the network?

### 39. PAGE 224:04 TO 224:13 (RUNNING 00:00:17.923)

04 THE WITNESS: Yes.  
05 BY MR. CIMINO:  
06 Q. Would they also be more than one server  
07 that would be distributing?

## IP Engine v Google

---

08           A.    The creative server and URL server, there  
09 would be more than one of each of those, yes,  
10 assuming that I've correctly remembered what these  
11 servers are.

12           Q.    Are you aware of any patents that cover  
13 SmartASS?

**40. PAGE 224:15 TO 224:15 (RUNNING 00:00:00.772)**

15                   THE WITNESS:   No.

IP Engine v Google

 Holt, Gary (Vol. 01) - 08/23/2012

1 CLIP (RUNNING 00:39:52.470)

 Could you please state your full name, your ...

HOLT 107 SEGMENTS (RUNNING 00:39:52.470)



1. PAGE 6:12 TO 6:15 (RUNNING 00:00:09.440)

12 Q. Could you please state your full name, your  
13 current title and employer for the record.  
14 A. Gary Holt. My employer is Google, and I'm a  
15 software engineer at Google.

2. PAGE 45:15 TO 46:09 (RUNNING 00:00:56.350)

15 Q. Have you read the patents involved in this  
16 lawsuit?  
17 A. I had not read them until the deposition  
18 preparation, and I still have not read all of  
19 them.  
20 Q. Have you read the claims of the patents?  
21 A. I read one of the claims in the deposition  
22 preparation.  
00046:01 Q. Have you looked into whether Google infringes  
02 the claims of those patents?  
03 A. No.  
04 Q. Has Google made any changes to its system as a  
05 direct result of this lawsuit?  
06 A. No, not that I know of.  
07 Q. Would you expect that if Google found,  
08 determined it was infringing the claims, that  
09 it would make changes?

3. PAGE 46:14 TO 47:07 (RUNNING 00:01:11.515)

14 A. It would depend on the nature of the, of what  
15 was discovered. It would really depend a lot  
16 on what was discovered.  
17 Q. And what do you mean by the nature of what was  
18 discovered?  
19 A. Well, it depends -- it would depend on whether  
20 it was a minor detail or a major problem or  
21 a -- you know, that sort of thing. And it  
22 also depends on what specific systems are  
00047:01 determined, if any systems were determined to  
02 be a problem, it would depend on which  
03 specific systems were determined to be a  
04 problem, because there's many, many different  
05 systems involved.  
06 Q. Do you have a sense of whether the claims in  
07 this case relate to a minor detail or not?

4. PAGE 47:12 TO 47:14 (RUNNING 00:00:07.272)

12 A. I don't know.  
13 Q. In your own reviewing of the claims, did you  
14 come to any conclusions on your own?

5. PAGE 47:17 TO 48:03 (RUNNING 00:00:26.054)

17 A. I am not sure what I -- since all of my  
18 reviewing of these was entirely within the  
19 deposition preparation, and I never heard of  
20 this before then, I don't know that there's  
21 anything that I can say about that.  
22 Q. Well, aside from anything you discussed with  
00048:01 your attorneys, in your own mind, did you come  
02 up with any conclusions that you didn't  
03 discuss with your attorneys?

## IP Engine v Google

---

**6. PAGE 48:06 TO 48:11 (RUNNING 00:00:32.251)**

06 A. I don't think I did.  
07 Q. Are you aware of any times in the past that  
08 Google has changed its system as a result of  
09 making a determination that it infringed bad  
10 claims?  
11 A. No, no, I'm not aware of any.

**7. PAGE 48:22 TO 49:02 (RUNNING 00:00:07.218)**

22 Q. Does Google have any patents of its own on the  
00049:01 Smart Ad system?  
02 A. I don't know what patents we actually have.

**8. PAGE 49:03 TO 49:07 (RUNNING 00:00:09.679)**

03 Q. Have you participated in the preparation of  
04 any patents for Google Smart Ads system?  
05 A. No.  
06 Q. Are you personally aware of any patents on the  
07 Smart Ads system?

**9. PAGE 49:09 TO 49:11 (RUNNING 00:00:05.469)**

09 A. No, I am not aware.  
10 Q. Are you aware of any patents on the AdWords  
11 system?

**10. PAGE 49:13 TO 49:13 (RUNNING 00:00:03.293)**

13 A. I don't know of any.

**11. PAGE 61:16 TO 61:17 (RUNNING 00:00:04.406)**

16 Q. Are you presently aware of any inaccuracies on  
17 the help center documentation?

**12. PAGE 61:19 TO 61:22 (RUNNING 00:00:09.550)**

19 A. In the deposition -- I had never read the  
20 documentation until this case came up and we  
21 were talking about some of this in the  
22 deposition preparation.

**13. PAGE 62:05 TO 62:07 (RUNNING 00:00:08.100)**

05 Q. So, sitting here today, are you -- you can  
06 just answer yes or no, are you aware of any  
07 inaccuracies on the help center documentation?

**14. PAGE 62:09 TO 62:10 (RUNNING 00:00:03.734)**

09 A. I am -- I'm only aware of some of the things  
10 that we --

**15. PAGE 62:12 TO 62:13 (RUNNING 00:00:05.127)**

12 A. I guess I can't answer without talking about  
13 exactly what we talked about, so.

**16. PAGE 63:22 TO 64:02 (RUNNING 00:00:05.702)**

22 Sitting here today, are you aware of any  
00064:01 inaccuracies in the Google help center  
02 documentation?

**17. PAGE 64:05 TO 64:11 (RUNNING 00:00:26.766)**

05 A. I had not read the documentation up until the  
06 deposition preparation, so I was not aware of  
07 any.  
08 Q. Today are you aware of any inaccuracies in the  
09 help center documentation?  
10 A. That relates to what we talked about in the  
11 deposition preparation --

## IP Engine v Google

---

**18. PAGE 64:19 TO 65:03 (RUNNING 00:00:22.931)**

19 A. I don't have any knowledge of the marketing  
20 documents outside of the deposition  
21 preparation.  
22 Q. Have you done anything at Google to correct  
00065:01 any inaccuracies in the help center  
02 documentation?  
03 A. No, I never have.

**19. PAGE 65:04 TO 65:06 (RUNNING 00:00:05.699)**

04 Q. If you knew about inaccuracies, would you take  
05 any action to try to correct them on the help  
06 center documentation?

**20. PAGE 65:08 TO 65:12 (RUNNING 00:00:11.721)**

08 A. Well, again, it depends on the nature of the  
09 inaccuracy and the imprecision, or whatever it  
10 is.  
11 Q. Does that mean there are certain inaccuracies  
12 you would allow to stay on the web page?

**21. PAGE 65:14 TO 65:17 (RUNNING 00:00:08.888)**

14 A. Yes. As I said before, it depends on the  
15 nature of the inaccuracy, if it was an  
16 oversimplification or just an imprecise  
17 statement, that sort of thing.

**22. PAGE 68:14 TO 68:15 (RUNNING 00:00:02.744)**

14 Q. How does Google document its systems  
15 internally?

**23. PAGE 68:17 TO 69:03 (RUNNING 00:00:25.579)**

17 A. There's a variety of different ways that we  
18 document things.  
19 Q. What are the variety of ways?  
20 A. Some of them are on the -- some of them are on  
21 our internal Google docs documents, some of  
22 them are on wiki pages, some of them are on  
00069:01 various HTML pages, scattered various  
02 different places. There's a variety of  
03 different forms of documentation.

**24. PAGE 72:14 TO 72:16 (RUNNING 00:00:12.252)**

14 Q. When documents are collected for the internal  
15 search engine, does that involve use of the  
16 internal network?

**25. PAGE 72:18 TO 73:05 (RUNNING 00:00:29.536)**

18 A. Yes, it involves our internal corporate  
19 network.  
20 Q. You also mentioned wiki pages. Is there a  
21 name for the wiki pages?  
22 A. Well, we usually just call it the wiki.  
00073:01 Q. Have you seen the name Goowiki used?  
02 A. Yes, I have.  
03 Q. Is that the wiki pages you mentioned for  
04 documenting the system?  
05 A. Mostly, yes.

**26. PAGE 75:01 TO 75:17 (RUNNING 00:01:01.405)**

00075:01 Q. So, we've talked about the internal Google  
02 docs, the wiki pages and the HTML pages as  
03 ways to document the system.  
04 Are there any other ways the system  
05 is documented?  
06 A. Sometimes in the code or in little text files  
07 interspersed in the code.

## IP Engine v Google

---

08 Q. Are there any other ways?  
09 A. Not that I can think of at the moment.  
10 Q. And why does Google document its systems using  
11 these methods?  
12 A. So that engineers who come on to the project  
13 can figure out what's going on, or so their  
14 related teams can understand what's important  
15 for them to know.  
16 Q. Is it important for the system documentation  
17 that's used by engineers to be accurate?

**27. PAGE 75:19 TO 75:22 (RUNNING 00:00:09.700)**

19 A. Well, it sure helps.  
20 Q. When preparing -- have you prepared system  
21 documentation?  
22 A. Some, yes.

**28. PAGE 76:01 TO 76:06 (RUNNING 00:00:14.894)**

00076:01 Q. And when you've prepared system documentation,  
02 have you tried to be as accurate as possible?  
03 A. Yes.  
04 Q. Do engineers sometimes use the system  
05 documentation to get up to speed on how a  
06 system works?

**29. PAGE 76:08 TO 76:08 (RUNNING 00:00:00.637)**

08 A. Yes.

**30. PAGE 76:09 TO 76:14 (RUNNING 00:00:12.680)**

09 Q. What else might they use it for?  
10 A. Well, if they don't work in that area but they  
11 need to know something about it because of --  
12 well, a variety of reasons, sometimes it helps  
13 them to understand what other teams do and how  
14 they work.

**31. PAGE 76:15 TO 76:18 (RUNNING 00:00:07.000)**

15 Q. Does Google have technical writers?  
16 A. Yes.  
17 Q. What is the job of a technical writer at  
18 Google?

**32. PAGE 76:20 TO 77:01 (RUNNING 00:00:11.953)**

20 A. It is to produce some of these technical  
21 documents and sometimes to digest poorly  
22 written documents into better written ones and  
00077:01 that sort of thing.

**33. PAGE 77:02 TO 77:03 (RUNNING 00:00:02.900)**

02 Q. Do technical writers have a technical  
03 background?

**34. PAGE 77:05 TO 77:05 (RUNNING 00:00:01.585)**

05 A. I don't know actually.

**35. PAGE 77:06 TO 77:07 (RUNNING 00:00:06.614)**

06 Q. Is it important for technical writers to  
07 document the systems accurately?

**36. PAGE 77:09 TO 77:09 (RUNNING 00:00:03.256)**

09 A. As important as it is for anybody else.

**37. PAGE 77:10 TO 77:15 (RUNNING 00:00:14.782)**

10 Q. Do you know Daniel Gilly?  
11 A. I don't know him personally.  
12 Q. Are you familiar with any of his work?  
13 A. Some.

## IP Engine v Google

---

14 Q. Have you found his work to be generally  
15 accurate?

**38. PAGE 77:17 TO 77:21 (RUNNING 00:00:11.706)**

17 A. I have actually rarely used his work, because  
18 it was about stuff that -- the stuff that I  
19 was familiar with was about stuff that I  
20 already knew about. So, I haven't really  
21 referred to it.

**39. PAGE 100:07 TO 100:11 (RUNNING 00:00:12.405)**

07 Q. So, I want to try to understand the purpose of  
08 the disabling steps and why Google wouldn't  
09 just rank all of the items and show the top  
10 number of items. What would be the purpose of  
11 the disabling steps?

**40. PAGE 100:13 TO 101:11 (RUNNING 00:01:27.599)**

13 A. We want to protect ourselves -- I believe that  
14 the idea here is that we want to protect  
15 ourselves against ads blindness. So, there  
16 are many cases where we would prefer not to  
17 show any ads at all rather than to show some  
18 ads that have a low expected rate of return.  
19 As far as I understand, that is the main  
20 purpose.  
21 Q. And that wouldn't be accomplished by merely  
22 ranking the ads and showing the top ones; is  
00101:01 that right?  
02 A. Generally speaking, we have -- I mean,  
03 advertisers will often tell us to show their  
04 ad on all kinds of irrelevant things, and  
05 they're not only irrelevant, but just bad  
06 areas where we should show them. So, we can  
07 often -- not always, but we can often find  
08 more ads than we, than are -- we can often  
09 find more ads than we show. So, if we didn't  
10 have these disabling steps, we would show a  
11 lot more ads, yes.

**41. PAGE 106:02 TO 106:12 (RUNNING 00:00:45.943)**

02 Q. Are you familiar with the term quality score?  
03 A. Well, I have seen the term and it's a pretty  
04 vague term.  
05 Q. Where have you seen it?  
06 A. In, probably the main place I would have seen  
07 it is in some of our internal documentation.  
08 As I said, it's a pretty vague word, so we  
09 tend to avoid using it.  
10 Q. By internal documentation, do you mean  
11 documentation used by engineers?  
12 A. Yes.

**42. PAGE 106:13 TO 107:03 (RUNNING 00:00:47.659)**

13 Q. In what sense have you seen it used?  
14 A. Well, the quality score, there's a whole --  
15 the reason why it's vague is there's a bunch  
16 of different quality scores involved. There's  
17 the QBB score based on the QBB model.  
18 Sometimes the Smart Ads pCTR model is thought  
19 of as a quality score. Sometimes the landing  
20 page quality, sometimes we have some other  
21 things called the creative quality. There's  
22 some other quality scores involved.  
00107:01 Q. And so any of those that you just discussed  
02 might be referred to just as quality score; is  
03 that right?



## IP Engine v Google

---

### 43. PAGE 107:05 TO 107:14 (RUNNING 00:00:40.041)

05 A. Well, it depends on the context.  
06 Q. Are you aware of any usages of quality score  
07 that wouldn't involve at least a pCTR computed  
08 by Smart Ads?  
09 A. Well, the landing page quality things I do not  
10 believe have anything to do with Smart Ads.  
11 And then there's another creative quality  
12 score, which I don't know much about, which I  
13 believe has nothing to do with Smart Ads as  
14 well.

### 44. PAGE 114:05 TO 114:15 (RUNNING 00:00:38.856)

05 Q. What was used prior to the introduction of  
06 Smart Ads?  
07 A. It was something called Criteria Stats.  
08 Q. How did that work?  
09 A. Well, I'm somewhat vague on the details  
10 because I've never seen the code. But it  
11 tried to get some sort of an estimate of the  
12 click probabilities normalized for where the  
13 ad occurred, and I don't know how they did  
14 that part.  
15 Q. Is Smart Ads an improvement on Criteria Stats?

### 45. PAGE 114:17 TO 114:18 (RUNNING 00:00:04.315)

17 A. We believed that it was by the metrics we  
18 looked at.

### 46. PAGE 114:19 TO 115:03 (RUNNING 00:00:19.463)

19 Q. What metrics were you looking at?  
20 A. Well, I wasn't there.  
21 Q. What metrics was Google looking at to  
22 determine that?  
00115:01 A. I'm not entirely sure. I actually don't know,  
02 that would be discussed in the -- I don't  
03 know.

### 47. PAGE 187:15 TO 188:08 (RUNNING 00:01:53.021)

15 Q. Mr. Holt, are you familiar with this document?  
16 A. I had not seen this whole -- I don't know if I  
17 have seen the final form of this document at  
18 all until the -- I was not familiar with the  
19 final form of this document, although I think  
20 I've seen pieces of it.  
21 Q. When have you seen pieces of it?  
22 A. I think at one point I corresponded with  
00188:01 Daniel Gilly about some of this stuff, and to  
02 be honest, I don't actually remember the  
03 details of those conversations at this point,  
04 or correspondence.  
05 Q. And when have you seen the final form of this  
06 document?  
07 A. I had not seen the final form of this document  
08 until deposition preparation.

### 48. PAGE 188:14 TO 189:02 (RUNNING 00:00:27.323)

14 Q. Why would you have worked with Daniel Gilly on  
15 parts of this document?  
16 A. This was awhile ago, so I don't remember  
17 exactly. But I think he had asked me some  
18 questions while he was trying to put this  
19 together, and I don't remember what the  
20 questions were.  
21 Q. Do you recall answering his questions?  
22 A. I did answer them, yes.  
00189:01 Q. Did you answer them as accurately as you  
02 could?

## IP Engine v Google

---

**49. PAGE 189:04 TO 189:04 (RUNNING 00:00:03.307)**

04 A. Yes, I would say that I did.

**50. PAGE 189:05 TO 189:12 (RUNNING 00:00:19.472)**

05 Q. If you'll take a look at the second page of  
06 this document that's marked G-IPE-223567.  
07 There's a series of steps listed here. If we  
08 look down at step 4, it says: We apply  
09 targeting to find ads that match the use of  
10 query.  
11 Is that the targeting we discussed  
12 earlier?

**51. PAGE 189:14 TO 190:02 (RUNNING 00:00:34.903)**

14 A. He is -- well, I'm not -- these are the terms  
15 that we use to describe that, these are some  
16 of the terms that we use to describe that  
17 targeting, with expanded match.  
18 Q. In the next paragraph, it says: Once we have  
19 a set of matching ads for targeting, we apply  
20 the first round of disabling. This is  
21 sometimes called shard disabling or disabling  
22 1.  
00190:01 You understand this is the QBB  
02 disabling we discussed earlier?

**52. PAGE 190:04 TO 190:09 (RUNNING 00:00:15.371)**

04 A. That is what he says here.  
05 Q. It says: In the disabling phase, we filter  
06 out inferior ads based on quality score, a  
07 score that's calculated to and by the quality-  
08 based bidding team.  
09 Is that a fair statement?

**53. PAGE 190:11 TO 190:20 (RUNNING 00:00:32.448)**

11 A. I don't think it's actually right, for one  
12 thing, I might be wrong about this, but I  
13 don't think the ads are ever even present in  
14 the shard if they've been disabled by QBB.  
15 So, I had thought that the removal of these  
16 disabled ads was a little bit earlier. But  
17 also of course it's based on the, you know,  
18 combination of the -- QBB sets the minimum  
19 bid, and the ad is still there if the bid is  
20 high enough.

**54. PAGE 190:21 TO 190:22 (RUNNING 00:00:04.375)**

21 Q. But the filtering is at least based in part on  
22 quality score; is that right?

**55. PAGE 191:02 TO 191:08 (RUNNING 00:00:23.670)**

02 A. We remove ads based on whether they're --  
03 well, ads are eliminated from consideration if  
04 their QBB score -- if the minimum bid set by  
05 the QBB score is greater than the bid the  
06 advertiser made.  
07 Q. Is there a difference to you between removing  
08 ads and filtering ads?

**56. PAGE 191:10 TO 191:19 (RUNNING 00:00:36.055)**

10 A. They denote the same thing, but sometimes they  
11 connote something different.  
12 Q. What do you mean by that?  
13 A. Well, like, what he's saying here -- sorry.  
14 We don't just remove -- I mean, I think --  
15 this is not simply like a filter based on  
16 quality because it's all confounded with the  
17 bid. The bid can compensate for deficiencies

## IP Engine v Google

---

18 in quality by these estimates.  
19 Q. So, is it a filter based on quality and bid?

**57. PAGE 191:21 TO 191:22 (RUNNING 00:00:05.634)**

21 A. I think that would be a -- I believe that's a  
22 fair statement.

**58. PAGE 195:17 TO 196:02 (RUNNING 00:00:32.091)**

17 Q. If you'll turn to page G-IPE-223573. If  
18 you'll take a look at the bottom of the page,  
19 could you read what it says under  
20 introduction.  
21 A. Smart Ads is one of the most important systems  
22 for maintaining and improving the quality of  
00196:01 ads we serve.  
02 Q. Would you say that's a fair statement?

**59. PAGE 196:04 TO 196:05 (RUNNING 00:00:07.832)**

04 A. I think it is fair to say that Smart Ads is an  
05 important system.

**60. PAGE 209:22 TO 210:07 (RUNNING 00:00:22.538)**

22 Who is the audience for this  
00210:01 document?  
02 A. I believe that he wrote this document to help  
03 ads quality, like, newer ads quality engineers  
04 who hadn't absorbed it all, and perhaps people  
05 on the outside of ads quality who occasionally  
06 have to interact with ads quality so they know  
07 it is that we do.

**61. PAGE 210:12 TO 210:13 (RUNNING 00:00:06.747)**

12 Q. Would engineers use this to get up to speed on  
13 how the system works?

**62. PAGE 210:15 TO 210:16 (RUNNING 00:00:04.909)**

15 A. This would probably be part of what they would  
16 use to get up to speed, it might be.

**63. PAGE 210:17 TO 210:18 (RUNNING 00:00:05.718)**

17 Q. In the portions we reviewed, did you notice  
18 any inaccuracies as we reviewed it today?

**64. PAGE 210:20 TO 211:04 (RUNNING 00:00:51.674)**

20 A. Well, there's a number of things in here that  
21 are now obsolete, obsolete, and some of the  
22 stuff is slightly -- I mean, this is also a  
00211:01 fairly high -- there's a lot of details that  
02 are not in here. Let's see, what else did we  
03 talk about? Nothing else brings to mind right  
04 now.

**65. PAGE 213:15 TO 214:07 (RUNNING 00:01:10.536)**

15 Q. You can set that document aside and we'll turn  
16 to the next document. This will be marked  
17 Exhibit 7.  
18 (Deposition Exhibit No. 7 was  
19 marked for identification.)  
20 Q. Do you recognize this document?  
21 A. This appears to be slides from a machine  
22 learning class, presentation of an idea.  
00214:01 Q. Do you recall giving this presentation?  
02 A. I've given this presentation or something  
03 quite similar to it several times.  
04 Q. Who was the audience for the presentation  
05 those several times?  
06 A. People taking the machine learning class at

## IP Engine v Google

---

07 Google.

**66. PAGE 214:19 TO 215:05 (RUNNING 00:00:38.534)**

19 Q. Did you personally prepare this presentation?  
20 A. This one I pulled together the slides from  
21 another machine learning presentation, and I  
22 added some of my own. So, in that sense, I  
00215:01 personally prepared it.  
02 Q. Would you have tried to be as accurate as  
03 possible in this presentation?  
04 A. As accurately as I knew.  
05 Q. Can we trust this document to be correct?

**67. PAGE 215:07 TO 215:09 (RUNNING 00:00:10.839)**

07 A. It depends on what you're trusting it for.  
08 Q. Can we trust this document to give a correct  
09 description of the system?

**68. PAGE 215:11 TO 215:13 (RUNNING 00:00:11.276)**

11 A. Well, as much as I knew at the time and with  
12 the usual caveats about simplifying things and  
13 sometimes speaking imprecisely.

**69. PAGE 218:06 TO 218:16 (RUNNING 00:00:37.721)**

06 Q. If you would turn to page G-IPE-0426040. I  
07 believe you just mentioned this slide.  
08 A. Uh-huh.  
09 Q. This slide talks about Criteria Stats. Is  
10 that the same Criteria Stats system we  
11 discussed earlier?  
12 A. Yes.  
13 Q. Here it says: Turning Smart Ads on gave an  
14 immediate 20 percent gain in revenue and  
15 clicks. Do you understand that to be  
16 accurate?

**70. PAGE 218:18 TO 218:22 (RUNNING 00:00:20.460)**

18 A. As we discussed earlier, I had that number --  
19 I thought I had heard that number from another  
20 engineer, and I have no personal knowledge of  
21 it.  
22 Q. And how would Google arrive at that number?

**71. PAGE 219:02 TO 219:12 (RUNNING 00:00:37.356)**

02 A. At the time when we launched Smart Ads, we  
03 must have done some experiments. It is always  
04 extremely difficult to trip with experimental  
05 results, because sometimes there's short-term  
06 changes that don't last into the long term and  
07 so on. So, it's very hard to -- so, even if  
08 we had experimental results at the time, it's  
09 hard necessarily to say that that would be a  
10 sustained gain or loss. But they must have  
11 done some experiments at the time, which I  
12 have not actually seen myself.

**72. PAGE 219:13 TO 219:17 (RUNNING 00:00:10.627)**

13 Q. Below that, there's a bullet point that says:  
14 Now the difference is probably greater than 40  
15 percent when Smart Ads fails. How would you  
16 know that?  
17 A. Well --

**73. PAGE 219:19 TO 220:08 (RUNNING 00:00:37.610)**

19 A. Well, first of all, now I don't think that's  
20 actually true, in terms of revenue, because  
21 now I actually have looked at the numbers when  
22 Smart Ads fails, and it's not 40 percent. I

## IP Engine v Google

---

00220:01 don't know what it is in terms of clicks or  
02 CTR or anything like that. But we can and  
03 sometimes do measure what happens when the  
04 SmartASS isn't there, and we fall back to  
05 whatever we fall back to. We don't actually  
06 have Criteria Stats anymore, it does not exist  
07 in our code anymore, so we can't actually  
08 experiment with that anymore.

### 74. PAGE 220:14 TO 221:12 (RUNNING 00:01:01.622)

14 Q. If it's not greater than 40 percent today, do  
15 you have new numbers?  
16 MR. PERLSON: Objection, form.  
17 A. Well, as I said earlier, we have done these  
18 experiments where we turned SmartASS off or we  
19 just looked at the numbers when SmartASS has  
20 failed. I have not looked at these numbers  
21 too recently, but my impression is that the  
22 revenue difference is not that large.  
00221:01 Q. By not that large, what would your estimate  
02 be?  
03 MR. PERLSON: Objection, form.  
04 A. We might even make more money if we turn  
05 SmartASS off in the short term, I don't know.  
06 We've certainly seen cases like that. It  
07 depends on a lot of factors about how the,  
08 whatever we fall back to is adjusted and how  
09 it's tuned and stuff. And I am not sure what  
10 the -- some people have done experiments on  
11 this more recently, and I do not remember the  
12 results.

### 75. PAGE 223:15 TO 224:14 (RUNNING 00:01:17.031)

15 Q. If you'll turn to page GG-IPE-0426045.  
16 A. Okay.  
17 Q. What is this referring to when it says  
18 generation of features from the logs?  
19 A. This is talking about how we -- well, we had  
20 these features that we used to combine into  
21 the attributes. Unless it's talking about how  
22 we -- those features are stored independently,  
00224:01 and this is talking about how we do that.  
02 Q. And what does it mean to generate it from the  
03 logs?  
04 A. Well, it means to look at the information  
05 that's present in the logs and transform it  
06 along with perhaps sight information into the  
07 actual feature value and then store that on  
08 the disk.  
09 Q. And those logs would include the user clicks;  
10 is that correct?  
11 A. It's the query logs and the click logs.  
12 Q. So, it would include both the query that a  
13 person ran and whether they clicked an ad or  
14 not; is that correct?

### 76. PAGE 224:16 TO 224:16 (RUNNING 00:00:00.244)

16 A. Yes.

### 77. PAGE 236:01 TO 236:01 (RUNNING 00:00:02.303)

00236:01 Q. How would you define searching?

### 78. PAGE 236:03 TO 236:08 (RUNNING 00:00:24.749)

03 A. Well, my initial definition would be something  
04 like where you're scanning systematically  
05 through things, and that is not the way that  
06 AdWords, it doesn't -- we don't do any sort of  
07 systematic scans when we're actually serving  
08 the stuff.

## IP Engine v Google

---

**79. PAGE 236:09 TO 236:11 (RUNNING 00:00:06.804)**

09 Q. Does the AdWords system, in the targeting  
10 step, is that looking for ads related to the  
11 query?

**80. PAGE 236:13 TO 236:20 (RUNNING 00:00:32.349)**

13 A. Yeah, but it's looking -- well, it's looking  
14 for ads for which the query can be transformed  
15 into the keyword, to be precise. Once again,  
16 that's a database lookup, mostly, that's  
17 probably the way it would be mostly  
18 described. It's certainly not scanning.  
19 Q. And that database lookup, that's looking on  
20 the up chards; is that correct?

**81. PAGE 236:22 TO 237:01 (RUNNING 00:00:02.906)**

22 A. Yes, the chards are the way that we implement  
00237:01 that.

**82. PAGE 237:15 TO 237:15 (RUNNING 00:00:03.381)**

15 Q. Does the AdWords system filter advertisements?

**83. PAGE 237:17 TO 238:01 (RUNNING 00:00:31.607)**

17 A. Well, again, the AdWords system certainly  
18 throws things out of the auction, and it  
19 throws them out based on bid. If you want to  
20 call that filtering -- well, filtering usually  
21 connotes, at least the way it's mostly used --  
22 well, it certainly removes stuff from the  
00238:01 auction.

**84. PAGE 238:04 TO 238:06 (RUNNING 00:00:08.683)**

04 Q. In the context of maybe data systems and  
05 filtering information, what would you consider  
06 filtering to be?

**85. PAGE 238:08 TO 238:11 (RUNNING 00:00:22.426)**

08 A. It is emitting certain things based on --  
09 well, based on what is usually a very simple  
10 criteria.  
11 Q. Does the AdWords system do that?

**86. PAGE 238:13 TO 239:01 (RUNNING 00:01:09.153)**

13 A. I think if you talk to most computer  
14 scientists, technically -- any way to remove  
15 stuff can be reduced to a filter, but what we  
16 usually mean by that -- how do I want to say  
17 this?  
18 Q. Maybe I'll simplify the question. You said if  
19 you talk to most computer scientists, any way  
20 to remove stuff can be referred to as a  
21 filter.  
22 Does AdWords have a way to remove  
00239:01 advertisements?

**87. PAGE 239:03 TO 239:11 (RUNNING 00:00:30.912)**

03 A. It certainly does, and so you can certainly  
04 stretch the definition of filter to cover  
05 that. I guess what I'm trying to say is, I  
06 don't know if that's the natural language to  
07 describe it, and I don't know how much further  
08 I --  
09 Q. Why do you say it would be stretching the  
10 definition, if that's the definition most  
11 computer scientists would give?

## IP Engine v Google

---

**88. PAGE 239:13 TO 239:19 (RUNNING 00:00:58.487)**

13 A. I guess it's sort of unnatural to think of --  
14 I'm sorry, I'm tired. I think that -- I'm not  
15 sure. It just seems like an odd way to  
16 describe a search engine, but I don't know if  
17 I can give you an intuitive explanation for  
18 why that would be an odd way to describe  
19 things.

**89. PAGE 241:16 TO 241:20 (RUNNING 00:00:18.940)**

16 Q. So, if we use the computer science definition  
17 that we discussed before, using that  
18 definition, would you say Google AdWords  
19 filters in part based on the content of the  
20 query and the advertisement?

**90. PAGE 241:22 TO 242:14 (RUNNING 00:00:50.075)**

22 A. What does content of the query mean?  
00242:01 Q. What would you consider content of the query  
02 to mean?  
03 A. Query is a string, what kind of content does  
04 it have?  
05 Q. The words in the query for example.  
06 A. Okay. Well, usually when we talk about  
07 content, we're talking about like a document  
08 which is a container for a bunch of words. A  
09 query is a bunch of words. I mean, I guess  
10 you can define a query to be a container for  
11 words, in which case, then yes. What we do is  
12 we throw out stuff based on the words in the  
13 query and some of the information we get from  
14 the creative and other aspects of the ad.

**91. PAGE 245:16 TO 245:17 (RUNNING 00:00:06.233)**

16 Q. Does AdWords throw out ads based in part on  
17 clicks from users?

**92. PAGE 245:19 TO 246:04 (RUNNING 00:00:32.178)**

19 A. We throw them out based on pCTR, which as we  
20 said, is an accumulation of data from any  
21 previous events, any previous impressions that  
22 shared attributes with the impression under  
00246:01 consideration.  
02 Q. So, given that, is it fair to say AdWords  
03 throws out ads based in part on clicks from  
04 users?

**93. PAGE 246:06 TO 246:10 (RUNNING 00:00:10.433)**

06 A. We certainly use the click information to  
07 compute all of this stuff.  
08 Q. And you would use that information to compute  
09 the value that is used to throw out ads; is  
10 that correct?

**94. PAGE 246:12 TO 246:18 (RUNNING 00:00:20.543)**

12 A. Yes, we use that to compute the pCTR, which is  
13 part of the -- which is one component of the  
14 disabling function. We use the clicks on ads  
15 or any ads that share any of the attributes in  
16 common.  
17 Q. Does AdWords receive feedback data from its  
18 users on advertisements that the users view?

**95. PAGE 246:20 TO 246:21 (RUNNING 00:00:02.240)**

20 A. Well, what kind of feedback are you thinking  
21 of?

## IP Engine v Google

---

**96. PAGE 246:22 TO 246:22 (RUNNING 00:00:01.592)**

22 Q. Any kind of feedback data.

**97. PAGE 247:02 TO 247:05 (RUNNING 00:00:09.018)**

02 A. Whether the users thought this was great or  
03 not, is that the sort of the feedback you're  
04 talking about? The users don't, for the most  
05 part, have a mechanism of telling us that.

**98. PAGE 247:06 TO 247:09 (RUNNING 00:00:07.027)**

06 Q. How about the click data?  
07 A. Yes, we have the clicks.  
08 Q. Wouldn't you consider that feedback from your  
09 users?

**99. PAGE 247:11 TO 247:12 (RUNNING 00:00:07.971)**

11 A. It's a form of the users giving us information  
12 about what they thought was useful.

**100. PAGE 247:13 TO 247:13 (RUNNING 00:00:02.462)**

13 Q. Would you call it passive feedback maybe?

**101. PAGE 247:15 TO 248:01 (RUNNING 00:00:39.134)**

15 A. I'm not sure what passive feedback means.  
16 It's not feedback that's directory related  
17 to -- it's not, like, a rate that's on a scale  
18 from 1 to 10, that's not that kind of  
19 feedback, it's more -- it's a different sort  
20 of -- I mean, yes, we get -- the clicks are  
21 the only signal -- well, not the only signal,  
22 but the main signal that we use in the pCTR  
00248:01 for adjustments of our pCTR.

**102. PAGE 248:02 TO 248:02 (RUNNING 00:00:02.304)**

02 Q. So, it's a form of feedback?

**103. PAGE 248:04 TO 248:09 (RUNNING 00:00:20.631)**

04 A. It's a response from the users.  
05 Q. Is that a form of feedback?  
06 A. Certainly you could call it that I suppose.  
07 Q. And pCTR is a rating; is that correct?  
08 A. A rating?  
09 Q. Yes.

**104. PAGE 248:11 TO 248:12 (RUNNING 00:00:05.956)**

11 A. Well, we never use that word internally. It  
12 depends on what you mean by rating.

**105. PAGE 248:13 TO 249:19 (RUNNING 00:01:34.768)**

13 Q. What do you understand a rating to be?  
14 A. Well, like internally, we have, sometimes we  
15 actually have people go and look at the ads  
16 and rank them on a scale from 1 to 10,  
17 whatever, like, this is a good, this is a good  
18 ad, this is a bad ad. That's a rating. And  
19 basically the humans that are doing this kind  
20 of rating see the query, then they see this  
21 ad, and there's a rating saying yes, I think  
22 this is the -- this is or is not a good ad for  
00249:01 this particular thing. That's what internally  
02 we would call a rating. We don't use that  
03 stuff.  
04 Q. So, ratings could be on a scale from 1 to 10;  
05 right?  
06 A. Well, that's an example, yeah.  
07 Q. What is the scale for pCTR, what is the range  
08 of scores?



## IP Engine v Google

---

09 A. 0 to 1.  
10 Q. And what does the 0 to 1 indicate?  
11 A. It indicates the, our estimate of the  
12 probability that someone will click if the ad  
13 were shown on the first search results page  
14 and the first ad on the right-hand side.  
15 Q. Is it reasonable to say that's a form of a  
16 rating?  
17 A. I suppose in some context, you could call  
18 that, I guess. I don't know. It's not what  
19 we call it internally.

**106. PAGE 249:22 TO 250:01 (RUNNING 00:00:05.072)**

22 Q. Is there a difference between filtering items  
00250:01 and ranking items?

**107. PAGE 250:03 TO 250:10 (RUNNING 00:00:34.520)**

03 A. Well, usually ranking means changing the  
04 order. Filtering doesn't have anything to do  
05 with the order.  
06 Q. What does filtering have to do with it?  
07 A. Whether it's present or not.  
08 Q. So, you would consider filtering to be  
09 different than rank?  
10 A. In most cases, I suppose.

**TOTAL: 5 CLIPS FROM 4 DEPOSITIONS (RUNNING 02:28:50.202)**