UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

I/P ENGINE, INC., v.	Plaintiff,))))	Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512
AOL, INC. et al.,)	
	Defendants.) _))	

DECLARATION OF DAWN RUDENKO, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE, INC.'S MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF PREJUDGMENT INTEREST, POST-JUDGMENT INTEREST, AND SUPPLEMENTAL DAMAGES FOR DEFENDANTS' POST-DISCOVERY/PRE-VERDICT INFRINGEMENT

- I, Dawn Rudenko, Esq., declare as follows:
- 1. I am a partner with the law firm Dickstein Shapiro LLP, counsel of record for plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc. I make this declaration in support of I/P Engine's Motion for an Award of Prejudgment Interest, Post-Judgment Interest and Damages for Defendants' Continuing Infringement. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration. If called upon to testify, I could and would certify competently to these facts.
- 2. On November 6, 2012, I requested via email that Defendants provide a supplemental response to I/P Engine's Interrogatory No. 15 to include the missing revenues from October 1, 2012 to the present. A true and exact copy of my November 6, 2012 email is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

- 3. Defendants refused to provide the requested supplemental information. A true and exact copy of Sarah Agudo, Esq. of Quinn Emanual dated November 7, 2012 is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 4. Based upon Defendants' November 7, 2012 response, I requested that Defendants review the Court's decision in the *ActiveVideo Networks, Inc.* case relating to this issue. I further explained that the *ActiveVideo* decision is support I/P Engine's request. I requested to meet and confer with counsel on the following day, if Defendants remained unwilling to provide the requested supplemental information. A true and exact copy of my November 7, 2012 email is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
 - 5. Defendants did not respond to my November 7, 2012 email.
- 6. On November 9, 2012, I reiterated I/P Engine's request for either supplementation or a meet and confer. A true and exact copy of my November 9, 2012 email is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
- 7. Defendants' counsel responded asking for the *ActiveVideo Networks* decision and wanting to know what we intended to do with the supplemental information. I responded by sending the *ActiveVideo* decision; again stating that I/P Engine is seeking supplemental damages; and again asked for the supplemental data or a meet and confer. Counsel was not available to meet and confer today. Neither did counsel agree to provide the requested information. A true and exact copy of this November 9, 2012 email exchange between Defendants' counsel and myself is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

* * *

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. I execute this declaration on November 9, 2012.

_/s/ Dawn Rudenko	
Dawn Rudenko	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 9th day of November, 2012, the foregoing DECLARATION OF DAWN RUDENKO, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE, INC.'S MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF PREJUDGMENT INTEREST, POST-JUDGMENT INTEREST, AND SUPPLEMENTAL DAMAGES FOR DEFENDANTS' POST-DISCOVERY/PRE-VERDICT INFRINGEMENT was served via the Court's CM/ECF system on the following:

Stephen Edward Noona Kaufman & Canoles, P.C. 150 W Main St Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 senoona@kaufcan.com

David Bilsker
David Perlson
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com
davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Robert L. Burns
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
Two Freedom Square
11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190
robert.burns@finnegan.com

Cortney S. Alexander
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
3500 SunTrust Plaza
303 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, GA 94111
cortney.alexander@finnegan.com

/s/ Jeffrey K. Sherwood