## **EXHIBIT 1**

## Sarah Agudo

From: Sent:	Brothers, Kenneth [BrothersK@dicksteinshapiro.com] Thursday, December 20, 2012 10:54 AM
То:	Noona, Stephen E.
Cc:	David Perlson; Emily O'Brien; Sarah Agudo; zz-IPEngine; Donald C. Schultz (dschultz@cwm-
	law.com); 'W. Ryan Snow'
Subject:	RE:

Steve:

I/P Engine does not agree to defer the briefing on the supplemental royalties issues. That issue is very important to I/P Engine, and we believe that it is appropriate for the Court's consideration at the same time as the JMOL issues. In addition, having the Court address the issue with the JMOLs allows it to be included in any issues on appeal, to the extent any party disagrees with the Court's decision.

Ken

## Confidentiality Statement

This email message, including any attachments, is intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above. This communication may contain privileged and/or confidential material. If you are not the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, printing, copying, or other dissemination of this email message is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by reply email message or notify our email administrator at postmaster@dicksteinshapiro.com and permanently delete and destroy the original message and any and all copies, including printouts and electronic copies on any computer system. Dickstein Shapiro LLP

www.DicksteinShapiro.com

www.DicksteinShapiro.com

From: Noona, Stephen E. [mailto:senoona@kaufcan.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 20, 2012 12:19 PM
To: Brothers, Kenneth; Donald C. Schultz (dschultz@cwm-law.com); 'W. Ryan Snow'; Monterio, Charles
Cc: David Perlson; Emily O'Brien (emilyobrien@quinnemanuel.com); Sarah Agudo (sarahagudo@quinnemanuel.com)
Subject:

Ken: Along with our pushing back of the response timing on other mattes pending the resolutions of the various post-judgment motions, would you all be agreeable generally delaying responses and replies on the supplemental royalties issues until after the post-judgment motions have been resolved? Since both parties have crossed moved for various JMOL's and other motions, this may make sense. Let me know,...SEN.

p.s. I am around if you want to talk about CR,...SEN.

Stephen E. Noona Kaufman & Canoles, P.C. 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510-1665

T (757) 624.3239 F (757) 624.3169 senoona@kaufcan.com www.kaufCAN.com The information contained in this electronic message is legally privileged and confidential under applicable law, and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any use, distribution, copying or disclosure of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify Kaufman & Canoles at (757) 624-3000 or by return e-mail to helpdesk@kaufcan.com, and purge the communication immediately without making any copy or distribution.

Disclosure Required by Internal Revenue Service Circular 230: This communication is not a tax opinion. To the extent it contains tax advice, it is not intended or written by the practitioner to be used, and it cannot be used by the taxpayer, for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service.