

# EXHIBIT C

## Joshua Sohn

---

**From:** Brothers, Kenneth [BrothersK@dicksteinshapiro.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 19, 2011 2:57 PM  
**To:** David Perlson; Margaret P. Kammerud  
**Cc:** QE-IP Engine; senoona@kaufcan.com; zz-IPEngine  
**Subject:** RE: I/P Engine v. AOL et. al.

David:

I am disregarding your pejorative characterizations, which which I do not agree. When you did not raise the issues in Meg's letter during our meet and confer on Friday, Dec. 16, despite my specific inquiry of whether you wanted to discuss any other issues, I had understood that you were not pursuing the matter at that time. Frankly, I assumed that defendants had elected to not pursue the priority date issue until and unless defendants set forth their invalidity analysis and demonstrated the relevance of an earlier priority date. Once defendants make a prima facie showing that a priority date earlier than the date identified in plaintiff's interrogatory response is relevant, plaintiff will review and supplement as appropriate. If you want to meet and confer, I am available on Thursday, Dec. 22, between 2 pm and 5 pm ET.

Ken

### Confidentiality Statement

This e-mail message and any attached files are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above. This communication may contain material protected by attorney-client, work product, or other privileges. If you are not the intended recipient or person responsible for delivering this confidential communication to the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, copying, or other distribution of this e-mail message and any attached files is strictly prohibited. Dickstein Shapiro reserves the right to monitor any communication that is created, received, or sent on its network. If you have received this confidential communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail message and permanently delete the original message.

To reply to our email administrator directly, send an email to [postmaster@dicksteinshapiro.com](mailto:postmaster@dicksteinshapiro.com)

Dickstein Shapiro LLP

[www.dicksteinshapiro.com](http://www.dicksteinshapiro.com)

**From:** David Perlson [<mailto:davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 19, 2011 4:25 PM  
**To:** David Perlson; Margaret P. Kammerud; Brothers, Kenneth; zz-IPEngine  
**Cc:** QE-IP Engine; 'senoona@kaufcan.com'  
**Subject:** RE: I/P Engine v. AOL et. al.

Ken when is Plaintiff available to meet and confer on this.

---

**From:** David Perlson  
**Sent:** Friday, December 16, 2011 5:45 PM  
**To:** Margaret P. Kammerud; Brothers, Kenneth; zz-IPEngine  
**Cc:** QE-IP Engine; [senoona@kaufcan.com](mailto:senoona@kaufcan.com)  
**Subject:** RE: I/P Engine v. AOL et. al.

Ken, on Tuesday Meg sent the attached letter detailing insufficiencies in Plaintiff's responses to Google's first set of interrogatories. The letter requested a response no later than Thursday, but Plaintiff has failed to respond.

Your bluster today regarding Google's interrogatory responses rings especially hollow when you do not respond to the issues we raise, including Plaintiff's failure to provide a straight answer on Plaintiff's claimed priority date. We expect a response no later than Monday morning.

---

**From:** Margaret P. Kammerud  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 13, 2011 3:24 PM  
**To:** Brothers, Kenneth; zz-IPEngine  
**Cc:** OE-IP Engine; [senoona@kaufcan.com](mailto:senoona@kaufcan.com)  
**Subject:** I/P Engine v. AOL et. al.

Counsel:

Please see the attached correspondence.

Regards,  
Meg

Margaret P. Kammerud  
**Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP**

50 California Street, 22nd Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
415-875-6316 Direct  
415.875.6600 Main Office Number  
415.875.6700 FAX  
[megkammerud@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:megkammerud@quinnemanuel.com)  
[www.quinnemanuel.com](http://www.quinnemanuel.com)

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.