

Exhibit 1

Monterio, Charles

From: Brothers, Kenneth
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2012 8:53 PM
To: Margaret P. Kammerud
Cc: zz-IPEngine; QE-IP Engine; senoona@kaufcan.com
Subject: Re: I/P Engine v. Google et al.: Supplementation of Interrogatory Responses

Agreed.

On Feb 10, 2012, at 1:34 PM, "Margaret P. Kammerud" <megkammerud@quinnemanuel.com> wrote:

Ken,

I/P Engine responded to a number of Google's interrogatories by stating, "I/P Engine, under Rule 33(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, will produce documents from which information responsive to this Interrogatory may be derived or ascertained." I/P Engine has not supplemented any of its interrogatory responses to identify specific documents from its productions.

During our meet and confer on December 22, you said that I/P Engine was prepared to supplement its interrogatory responses on one day's notice to include citations to specific Bates ranges of documents for these responses, but that you intended to withhold any supplemental responses until Google also was prepared to offer such supplementation. We have made clear that we disagree with your withholding of such information. During the meet and confer, when you stated that you would not supplement interrogatory responses even though you had the information to do so, we noted that this is an unreasonable position. We reserved our rights as to your refusal to supplement and continue to reserve these rights as to Plaintiff's withholding of information in this time period.

In any event, as we are now able to do so, we propose that on Monday, February 13, I/P Engine and Google exchange supplemental interrogatory responses citing to specific Bates ranges under Rule 33(d). Please let us know if this is acceptable to you.

Regards,
Meg

Margaret P. Kammerud
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP

50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
415-875-6316 Direct
415.875.6600 Main Office Number
415.875.6700 FAX
megkammerud@quinnemanuel.com
www.quinnemanuel.com

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

2/27/2012

Monterio, Charles

From: Margaret P. Kammerud [megkammerud@quinnemanuel.com]
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2012 1:34 PM
To: Brothers, Kenneth; zz-IPEngine
Cc: QE-IP Engine; senoona@kaufcan.com
Subject: I/P Engine v. Google et al.: Supplementation of Interrogatory Responses

Ken,

I/P Engine responded to a number of Google's interrogatories by stating, "I/P Engine, under Rule 33(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, will produce documents from which information responsive to this Interrogatory may be derived or ascertained." I/P Engine has not supplemented any of its interrogatory responses to identify specific documents from its productions.

During our meet and confer on December 22, you said that I/P Engine was prepared to supplement its interrogatory responses on one day's notice to include citations to specific Bates ranges of documents for these responses, but that you intended to withhold any supplemental responses until Google also was prepared to offer such supplementation. We have made clear that we disagree with your withholding of such information. During the meet and confer, when you stated that you would not supplement interrogatory responses even though you had the information to do so, we noted that this is an unreasonable position. We reserved our rights as to your refusal to supplement and continue to reserve these rights as to Plaintiff's withholding of information in this time period.

In any event, as we are now able to do so, we propose that on Monday, February 13, I/P Engine and Google exchange supplemental interrogatory responses citing to specific Bates ranges under Rule 33(d). Please let us know if this is acceptable to you.

Regards,
Meg

Margaret P. Kammerud
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP

50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
415-875-6316 Direct
415.875.6600 Main Office Number
415.875.6700 FAX
megkammerud@quinnemanuel.com
www.quinnemanuel.com

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

2/27/2012