

EXHIBIT 30



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP

50 CALIFORNIA STREET

22ND FLOOR

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

MAILED

MAY 10 2013

CENTRAL REEXAMINATION UNIT

***EX PARTE* REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM**

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/012,722.

PATENT NO. 6,775,664 B2 E.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).

Office Action in Ex Parte Reexamination	Control No. 90/012,722	Patent Under Reexamination 6,775,664 B2 E
	Examiner MARY STEELMAN	Art Unit 3992

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

- a Responsive to the communication(s) filed on 25 February 2013. b This action is made FINAL.
c A statement under 37 CFR 1.530 has not been received from the patent owner.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 2 month(s) from the mailing date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in termination of the proceeding and issuance of an *ex parte* reexamination certificate in accordance with this action. 37 CFR 1.550(d). **EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).** If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 3. Interview Summary, PTO-474.
2. Information Disclosure Statement, PTO/SB/08. 4. _____.

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

- 1a. Claims 1,5,6,21,22,26,28 and 38 are subject to reexamination.
1b. Claims 2-4,7-20,23-25,27 and 29-37 are not subject to reexamination.
2. Claims _____ have been canceled in the present reexamination proceeding.
3. Claims _____ are patentable and/or confirmed.
4. Claims 1,5,6,21,22,26,28 and 38 are rejected.
5. Claims _____ are objected to.
6. The drawings, filed on _____ are acceptable.
7. The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ has been (7a) approved (7b) disapproved.
8. Acknowledgment is made of the priority claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some* c) None of the certified copies have
1 been received.
2 not been received.
3 been filed in Application No. _____.
4 been filed in reexamination Control No. _____.
5 been received by the International Bureau in PCT application No. _____.
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
9. Since the proceeding appears to be in condition for issuance of an *ex parte* reexamination certificate except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte* Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
10. Other: _____

cc: Requester (if third party requester)

Art Unit: 3992

Non Final Office Action

The Third Party Request (11/20/2012) for *ex parte* reexamination of claims 1, 5, 6, 21, 22, 26, 28, and 38 of USPN 6,775,664 B2 to Lang et al. is acknowledged. A substantial new question of patentability affecting claims 1, 6, 21, 22, 26, 28, and 38 has been determined in the Order Granting Ex Partes Reexamination (hereafter the "Order") mailed 01/16/2013. The Request is incorporated by reference in its entirety. Examiner has additionally found a new question of patentability affecting claim 5. Claims 1, 5, 6, 21, 22, 26, 28, and 38 of USPN 6,775,664 B2 to Lang et al. are under reexamination. Claims 2-4, 7-20, 23-25, 27, and 29-37 were not requested for reexamination and will not be reexamined.

USPN 6,775,664 is additionally under a co-pending *ex parte* reexamination (90/012791).

Related parent patent (USPN 6,314,420) is under reexamination (90/009991.)

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information disclosure statement (PTO-SB-08) filed on 02/25/2013 has been considered.

Where patents, publications, and other such items of information are submitted by a party (patent owner or requester) in compliance with the requirements of the rules, the requisite degree of consideration to be given to such information will be normally limited by the degree to which the party filing the information citation has explained the content and relevance of the information.

The initials of the examiner placed adjacent to the citations on the form PTO/SB/08A and 08B or

Art Unit: 3992

its equivalent, without an indication to the contrary in the record, do not signify that the information has been considered by the examiner any further than to the extent noted above.

Undated submissions have been lined through and have not been considered.

Ongoing Duty to Disclose

The patent owner is reminded of the continuing responsibility under 37 CFR 1.565(a) to apprise the Office of any litigation activity, or other prior or concurrent proceeding, involving the patent under reexamination throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. The third party requester is also reminded of the ability to similarly appraise the Office of any such activity or proceeding throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding.

Litigation

I/P Engine, Inc. v. AOL, Inc. et al, 2:11cv512 (closed)

Choicestream v Lycos, 1:10cv10065 (closed)

I/P Engine, Inc. v. Microsoft Corporation, 1:13cv688, (open)

Extensions of Time

Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136 (a) will not be permitted in these proceedings because the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 apply only to an applicant and not to parties in a reexamination proceeding. Additionally, 35 U.S.C. 305 requires that ex parte reexamination

Art Unit: 3992

proceedings "will be concluded with special dispatch" (37 CFR 1.555(a)). Extensions of time in ex parte reexamination proceedings are provided for in 37 CFR 1.550(c).

Patent Owner Amendment

Patent owner is notified that any proposed amendment to the specification and/or claims in this reexamination proceeding must comply with 37 CFR 1.530(d)-(j), must be formally presented pursuant to 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b), and must contain any fees required by 37 CFR 1.20(c).

Prior Art Relied Upon in the Request

USPN 6,202,058 B1 to Rose et. al. (file date Apr. 25, 1994; issue date Mar. 13, 2001) qualifies as prior art under 35 USC 102 (b).

In view of the Order, a substantial new question of patentability (SNQs) is raised by Rose (Request pp. 13-19, proposed rejection).

Relevant Statutes

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States or (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the

Art Unit: 3992

United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Rejections

Claims 1, 6, 21, 22, 26, 28, and 38 of the '664 patent are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Rose. (See Request pp. 7-9 & 13-18, and claim chart CC-1, incorporated by reference.) The rejection proposed in the Request is adopted.

Examiner further proposes a rejection for claim 5 of the '664 patent.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Rose.

Art Unit: 3992

Rose provides an obvious disclosure of the claimed limitation ("...filtered information is an advertisement."). See Rose FIG. 7; 3: 10-11; 3: 26-28; 3: 35-44; 5: 59-64; 6: 20-25. Rose '058 at 3: 10-11, "FIG. 7 is an example of an interface window for a movie recommendation database." The broadest reasonable interpretation of "an advertisement" reads on movie recommendations stored in a database. Rose broadly teaches (3: 26-29), "...in various kinds of information access systems...a variety of different types of information might be available for access by users." Also see Rose at 5: 59-6: 25, "...the title of each movie is accompanied by a recommendation score 46...in the movie database, the content vector might take into account the type of movie, such as action or drama, the actors, its viewer category rating, and the like." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to consider Rose's teachings of movie ratings to be a type of advertisement.

Examiner asserts that a person with a bachelor's degree in computer science (or a related field) would be one of ordinary skill in the art.

The patent under reexamination, Lang '664, provides a similar disclosure, where an "advertisement" would be a narrow embodiment of the term "information entities." See Abstract. "The search engine also employs a collaborative/content-based filter to make continuing searches for information entities which match existing wire queries and are ranked and stored over time in user-accessible, system wires corresponding to the respective queries."

Patent Owner Amendment

Art Unit: 3992

Patent owner is notified that any proposed amendment to the specification and/or claims in this reexamination proceeding must comply with 37 CFR 1.530(d)-(j), must be formally presented pursuant to 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b), and must contain any fees required by 37 CFR 1.20(c).

Service of Papers

Any paper filed with the USPTO, i.e., any submission made, by either the Patent Owner or the Third Party Requester must be served on every other party in the reexamination proceeding, including any other third party requester that is part of the proceeding due to merger of the reexamination proceedings. As proof of service, the party submitting the paper to the Office must attach a Certificate of Service to the paper, which sets forth the name and address of the party served and the method of service. Papers filed without the required Certificate of Service may be denied consideration. 37 CFR 1.903; MPEP 2666.06. After the filing of a request for reexamination by a third party requester, any document filed by either the patent owner or the third party requester must be served on the other party (or parties where two or more third party requester proceedings are merged) in the reexamination proceeding in the manner provided in 37 CFR 1.248. See 37 CFR 1.550 (f).

All correspondence relating to this ex parte reexamination proceeding should be directed:

By Mail:
Mail Stop *Ex Parte* Reexam
Central Reexamination Unit, Commissioner for Patents
USPTO

Application/Control Number: 90/012,722

Page 8

Art Unit: 3992

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:
(571) 273-9900
Central Reexamination Unit

By Hand:
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Via Web: <https://efs.uspto.gov/efile/myportal/efs-registered>

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mary Steelman at 571-272-3704 or to Central Reexamination Customer Service Line 571-272-7705.

/Mary Steelman/

Conferees: /RSD/

Mary Steelman, Reexamination Specialist

CRU 3992

/Alexander J Kosowski/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3992

Receipt date: 02/25/2013

90012722 - GALL: 3992

Doc code: IDS

Approved for use through 07/31/2012. OMB 0651-0031

Doc description: Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number	90012722
	Filing Date	2012-11-28
	First Named Inventor	Andrew K. Lang
	Art Unit	3992
	Examiner Name	Mary J. Steelman
	Attorney Docket Number	688465-1RX1

U.S.PATENTS						
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1	5835087		1998-11-10	Herz, et al.	
	2	6421675	B1	2002-07-16	Ryan, et al.	

If you wish to add additional U.S. Patent citation information please click the Add button.

U.S.PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS						
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1					

If you wish to add additional U.S. Published Application citation information please click the Add button.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ²ⁱ	Kind Code ⁴	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁵
	1							<input type="checkbox"/>

If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button

NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS							
---------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Receipt date: 02/25/2013

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	90012722	90012722 - GAU: 3992
Filing Date	2012-11-28	
First Named Inventor	Andrew K. Lang	
Art Unit	3992	
Examiner Name	Mary J. Steelman	
Attorney Docket Number	688465-1RX1	

Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ⁵
	1	BALABANOVIC, MARKO et al., "Fab: Content-Based, Collaborative Recommendation," Communications of the ACM, March 1997, pp. 66-72, Vol. 40, No. 3.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	2	Defendant's Preliminary Invalidity Contentions and Exhibits A-1 through A-6, January 24, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
	3	Report of Defendants' Expert Lyle H. Ungar, Ph.D., Concerning Invalidity of Claims 10, 14, 15, 25, 27, and 28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,314,420 and Claims 1, 5, 6, 21, 22, 26, 28, and 38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,775,664 and Exhibits A-1 through A-7 and C, July 25, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
	4	Supplemental Report of Defendants' Expert Lyle H. Ungar, Ph.D., Concerning Invalidity of Claims 10, 14, 15, 25, 27, and 28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,314,420 and Claims 1, 5, 6, 21, 22, 26, 28, and 38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,775,664, August 24, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
	5	Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Redacted) in Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512-RAJ, September 12, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
	6	I/P Engine, Inc.'s Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Redacted) in Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512-RAJ, September 26, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
	7	Reply Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Redacted) in Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512-RAJ, October 2, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
	8	Order that Defendants' Summary Judgment is Denied in Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512-RAJ, October 3, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
	9	Memorandum Opinion and Order on claim construction in Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512-RAJ, June 15, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
	10	Memorandum Order in Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512-RAJ, November 20, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/>

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number	90012722	90012722 - GAU: 3992
	Filing Date	2012-11-28	
	First Named Inventor	Andrew K. Lang	
	Art Unit	3992	
	Examiner Name	Mary J. Steelman	
	Attorney Docket Number	688465-1RX1	

11	Jaime Carbonell Validity Trial Presentation in Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512-RAJ,	<input type="checkbox"/>
12	Lyle Unger Invalidity Trial Presentation in Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512-RAJ,	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	November 6, 2012 Jury Verdict Form in Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512-RAJ	<input type="checkbox"/>
14	HULL, DAVID A. et al., "Method Combination for Document Filtering," SIGIR '96 Proceedings of the 19th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval Pages 279-287, 1996.	<input type="checkbox"/>
15	LEWIS, DAVID D., "The TREC-4 Filtering Track," The Fourth Text Retrieval Conference (TREC-4), National Institute of Science & Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, 1996, pp. 165-180.	<input type="checkbox"/>
16	LOEB, SHOSHANA, "Architecting Personalized Delivery of Multimedia Information", Communications of the ACM, December 1992, Vol. 35, No. 12.	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

Examiner Signature	/Mary Steelman/	Date Considered	04/25/2013
--------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ³ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁴ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number	90012722	90012722 - GAU: 3992
	Filing Date	2012-11-28	
	First Named Inventor	Andrew K. Lang	
	Art Unit	3992	
	Examiner Name	Mary J. Steelman	
	Attorney Docket Number	688465-1RX1	

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):

That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).

OR

That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).

See attached certification statement.

The fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.

A certification statement is not submitted herewith.

SIGNATURE

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

Signature	/John D. Simmons/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2013-02-25
Name/Print	John D. Simmons	Registration Number	52,225

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. **DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /M.S./



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/012,722	11/28/2012	6,775,664 B2		1074

570 7590 05/10/2013
PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE
2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

STEELMAN, MARY J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3992

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/10/2013

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.