## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

I/P ENGINE, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-512

AOL INC., et al.,

Defendants.

## MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL (1) EXHIBIT 1 TO THE DECLARATION OF KEITH UGONE, PH.D., IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF I/P ENGINE, INC.'S NOTICE OF CALCULATION OF SUPPLEMENTAL DAMAGES, PREJUDGMENT INTEREST AND POST-JUDGMENT INTEREST; AND (2) EXHIBIT B TO THE DECLARATION OF MARGARET P. KAMMERUD IN SUPPORT THEREOF

In support of their Motion to Seal pursuant to Local Rule 5, and the Protective Order entered in this matter on January 23, 2012 (Doc. No. 85), Defendants Google Inc., Target Corporation, IAC Search & Media, Inc., Gannett Co., Inc. and AOL Inc. (collectively "Defendants") state the following:

1. Defendants have moved the court for leave to file under seal (1) Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Keith Ugone, Ph.D., in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.'s Notice of Calculation of Supplemental Damages, Prejudgment Interest and Post-Judgment Interest ("Exhibit 1 to the Ugone Declaration"), and (2) Exhibit B to the Declaration of Margaret Kammerud in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.'s Notice of Calculation of Supplemental Damages, Prejudgment Interest and Post-Judgment Interest ("Exhibit 1 to the Ugone Declaration"), and (2) Exhibit B to the Declaration of Margaret Kammerud in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.'s Notice of Calculation of Supplemental Damages, Prejudgment Interest and Post-Judgment Interest ("Exhibit B to the Kammerud Declaration").

2. Exhibit 1 to the Ugone Declaration, and Exhibit B to the Kammerud Declaration contain data that is confidential under the Protective Order.

3. There are three requirements for sealing court filings: (1) public notice with an opportunity to object; (2) consideration of less drastic alternatives; and (3) a statement of specific findings in support of a decision to seal and rejecting alternatives to sealing. *See, e.g., Flexible Benefits Council v. Feldman*, No. 1:08-CV-371, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93039 (E.D. Va. Nov. 13, 2008) (citing *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.,* 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000)). Defendants contend that Exhibit 1 to the Ugone Declaration, and Exhibit B to the Kammerud Declaration contain data that is confidential under the Protective Order. Defendants specifically state as reasons for sealing the requested pleadings that: Exhibit 1 to the Ugone Declaration, and Exhibit B to the Kammerud Declaration contain confidential financial information, all of which is not generally known, has economic value, and the disclosure of which would cause competitive harm if made widely public.

Defendants have made all reasonable efforts to narrowly limit their redactions in compliance with the law of this Circuit.

4. *In camera* copies of Exhibit 1 to the Ugone Declaration, and Exhibit B to the Kammerud Declaration have been forwarded to the Court. By filing narrowly redacted public pleadings, the Defendants have made all reasonable efforts to limit their redactions in compliance with the law of this Circuit.

5. By filing narrowly redacted public pleadings, the Defendants have made all reasonable efforts to limit their redactions in compliance with the law of this Circuit.

6. For the sake of consistency with practices governing the case as a whole, Exhibit 1 to the Ugone Declaration, and Exhibit B to the Kammerud Declaration should remain sealed and be treated in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Protective Order.

Accordingly, and in satisfaction of the requirements of Local Rule 5, Defendants respectfully ask the Court to seal Exhibit 1 to the Ugone Declaration, and Exhibit B to the Kammerud Declaration.

DATED: August 26, 2013

<u>/s/ Stephen E. Noona</u> Stephen E. Noona Virginia State Bar No. 25367 KAUFMAN & CANOLES, P.C. 150 West Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 Telephone: (757) 624-3000 Facsimile: (757) 624-3169 senoona@kaufcan.com

David Bilsker David A. Perlson QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Counsel for Google Inc., Target Corporation, IAC Search & Media, Inc., and Gannett Co., Inc.

/s/ Stephen E. Noona

Stephen E. Noona Virginia State Bar No. 25367 KAUFMAN & CANOLES, P.C. 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 Telephone: (757) 624-3000 Facsimile: (757) 624-3169 senoona@kaufcan.com

Robert L. Burns FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP Two Freedom Square 11955 Freedom Drive Reston, VA 20190 Telephone: (571) 203-2700 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400

Cortney S. Alexander FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza 303 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 94111 Telephone: (404) 653-6400 Facsimile: (415) 653-6444

Counsel for Defendant AOL Inc.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 26, 2013, I will electronically file the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notification of such filing (NEF) to the following:

Jeffrey K. Sherwood Kenneth W. Brothers DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1825 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 420-2200 Facsimile: (202) 420-2201 sherwoodj@dicksteinshapiro.com brothersk@dicksteinshapiro.com

Donald C. Schultz W. Ryan Snow Steven Stancliff CRENSHAW, WARE & MARTIN, P.L.C. 150 West Main Street, Suite 1500 Norfolk, VA 23510 Telephone: (757) 623-3000 Facsimile: (757) 623-5735 dschultz@cwm-law.cm wrsnow@cwm-law.com sstancliff@cwm-law.com

Counsel for Plaintiff, I/P Engine, Inc.

/s/ Stephen E. Noona

Stephen E. Noona Virginia State Bar No. 25367 KAUFMAN & CANOLES, P.C. 150 West Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 Telephone: (757) 624-3000 Facsimile: (757) 624-3169 senoona@kaufcan.com