

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division

BETTY J. OSTERGREN,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
) Civil No. 3:08cv362
ROBERT F. McDONNELL, in his official)
capacity as Attorney General of Virginia,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS

1. Documents found on Hanover County Circuit Court website on July 15, 2008 (personal information redacted pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a)).
2. Document from Cobb County, Georgia website, www.cobbsuperiorcourtclerk.org (personal information redacted pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a))
3. Document from Rootsweb Social Security Death Index website, <http://ssdi.rootsweb.ancestry.com>, (personal information redacted pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a)).
4. FY08 Technology Trust Fund Progress Report (excerpts)

Respectfully submitted,

BETTY J. OSTERGREN

By:

_____/s/
Rebecca K. Glenberg (VSB No. 44099)
American Civil Liberties Union of Virginia
Foundation, Inc.
530 E. Main Street, Suite 310
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 644-8080

UCC FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS (front and back) CAREFULLY

A. NAME & PHONE OF CONTACT AT FILER (optional)
1-888-427-8713

B. SEND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO: (Name and Address)

JOHN DEERE CREDIT
UCC DEPARTMENT
P.O. BOX 6630
JOHNSTON, IA 50131-9982

TERMINATED
File No. 248 (99)
Date 10-8-02 Time 11:25 AM
Circuit Court Clerk's Office
Hanover County Va.
Frank D. Hargrove, JR.
By PW Hamaway Jr.

THE ABOVE SPACE IS FOR FILING OFFICE USE ONLY

1a. INITIAL FINANCING STATEMENT FILE #
FILE NBR: 248-99 **DATE: 15MAR99** **BK CMSG**

1b. This FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT is to be filed (for record) (or recorded) in the REAL ESTATE RECORDS.

2. **TERMINATION:** Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above is terminated with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Termination Statement.

3. **CONTINUATION:** Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Continuation Statement is continued for the additional period provided by applicable law.

4. **ASSIGNMENT (full or partial):** Give name of assignee in item 7a or 7b and address of assignee in item 7c; and also give name of assignor in item 9.

5. **AMENDMENT (PARTY INFORMATION):** This Amendment affects Debtor or Secured Party of record. Check only one of these two boxes.
Also check one of the following boxes and provide appropriate information in items 6 and/or 7.
 CHANGE name and/or address: Give current record name in item 6a or 6b; also give new name (if name change) in item 7a or 7b and/or new address (if address change) in item 7c. **DELETE** name: Give record name to be deleted in item 6a or 6b. **ADD** name: Completed item 7a or 7b, and also item 7c; also completed items 7d - 7g (if applicable)

6. **CURRENT RECORD INFORMATION:**

6a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

6b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME SUFFIX

GRUBBS **CURTIS W**

7. **CHANGED (NEW) OR ADDED INFORMATION:**

7a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

7b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME SUFFIX

7c. MAILING ADDRESS CITY STATE POSTAL CODE COUNTRY

4340 CROWN HILL RD **MECHANICSVILL** **VA** **23111** **USA**

7d. TAX ID #: SSN OR EIN ADD'L INFO RE ORGANIZATION DEBTOR 7e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION 7f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION 7g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any

8. **AMENDMENT (COLLATERAL CHANGE):** check only one box.
Describe collateral deleted or added, or give entire restated collateral description, or describe collateral assigned. NONE

9. **NAME OF SECURED PARTY OF RECORD AUTHORIZING THIS AMENDMENT** (name of assignor, if this is an Assignment). If this is an Amendment authorized by a Debtor which adds collateral or adds the authorizing Debtor, or if this is a Termination authorized by a Debtor, check here and enter name of DEBTOR authorizing this Amendment.

9a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME
DEERE & COMPANY

OR

9b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME SUFFIX

10. **OPTIONAL FILER REFERENCE DATA**
VA 05- [REDACTED] 389 AA 01OCT02 HANOVER

FILING OFFICE COPY -- NATIONAL UCC FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT (FORM UCC3) (REV. 07/29/88)



2003050800001899 CFSS001899
Hanover County Circuit Court
5/8/2003 3:32:00 PM FS TERM

UCC FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS (front and back) CAREFULLY

A. NAME & PHONE OF CONTACT AT FILER (optional)
1-888-427-8713

B. SEND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO: (Name and Address)

JOHN DEERE CREDIT
UCC DEPARTMENT
P.O. BOX 6630
JOHNSTON, IA 50131-9982

TERMINATED
File No. 1099(98)
Date 5/8/03 Time 3:32 PM
Circuit Court Clerk's Office
Hanover County Va.
Frank D. Haigrove, JR.
By [Signature]

THE ABOVE SPACE IS FOR FILING OFFICE USE ONLY

1a. INITIAL FINANCING STATEMENT FILE #
FILE NBR: 1099-98 **DATE: 01DEC98**

1b. This FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT is to be filed (for record) (or recorded) in the REAL ESTATE RECORDS.

2. **TERMINATION:** Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above is terminated with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Termination Statement.

3. **CONTINUATION:** Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Continuation Statement is continued for the additional period provided by applicable law.

4. **ASSIGNMENT (full or partial):** Give name of assignee in item 7a or 7b and address of assignee in item 7c; and also give name of assignor in item 9.

5. **AMENDMENT (PARTY INFORMATION):** This Amendment affects Debtor or Secured Party of record. Check only one of these two boxes.
Also check one of the following boxes and provide appropriate information in items 6 and/or 7.
 CHANGE name and/or address: Give current record name in item 6a or 6b; also give new name (if name change) in item 7a or 7b and/or new address (if address change) in item 7c. **DELETE** name: Give record name to be deleted in item 6a or 6b. **ADD** name: Completed item 7a or 7b, and also item 7c; also completed items 7d - 7g (if applicable)

6. **CURRENT RECORD INFORMATION:**

6a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

6b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME SUFFIX
PRINCE **SANDRA W**

7. **CHANGED (NEW) OR ADDED INFORMATION:**

7a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

7b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME SUFFIX

7c. MAILING ADDRESS CITY STATE POSTAL CODE COUNTRY
4246 ARMSTRONG DR **MECHANICSVILL** **VA** **23111** **USA**

7d. TAX ID #, SSN OR EIN ADD'L INFO RE ORGANIZATION DEBTOR 7e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION 7f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION 7g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any

8. **AMENDMENT (COLLATERAL CHANGE):** check only one box.
Describe collateral deleted or added, or give entire restated collateral description, or describe collateral assigned. **NONE**

9. **NAME OF SECURED PARTY OF RECORD AUTHORIZING THIS AMENDMENT** (name of assignor, if this is an Assignment). If this is an Amendment authorized by a Debtor which adds collateral or adds the authorizing Debtor, or if this is a Termination authorized by a Debtor, check here and enter name of DEBTOR authorizing this Amendment.

9a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME
DEERE & COMPANY

OR

9b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME SUFFIX

10. **OPTIONAL FILER REFERENCE DATA**
VA **7915 AA** **02MAY03** **HANOVER** [Signature]

2002112500000110 CFS97000854
Hanover County Circuit Court
11/26/2002 10:00:00 AM FS TERM

TERMINATED

File No. 854 (97)
Date 11/26/02 Time 10:00 AM

Circuit Court Clerk's Office
Hanover County Va.

By Frank A Hargrove, JR.
Philly Hamaway Jr

021105 7078-7

UCC FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS (front and back) CAREFULLY

| |
|--|
| A. NAME & PHONE OF CONTACT AT FILER (optional) 1-888-427-8713 |
| B. SEND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO : (Name and Address) JOHN DEERE CREDIT UCC DEPARTMENT P.O. BOX 6630 JOHNSTON, IA 50131-9982 |

THE ABOVE SPACE IS FOR FILING OFFICE USE ONLY

| | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| 1a. INITIAL FINANCING STATEMENT FILE # FILE NBR: 854-97 | DATE: 28OCT97 | 1b. THIS FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT IS to be filed (for record) (or recorded) in the REAL ESTATE RECORDS. |
| 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TERMINATION: Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above is terminated with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Termination Statement. | | |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUATION: Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Continuation Statement is continued for the additional period provided by applicable law. | | |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSIGNMENT (full or partial): Give name of assignee in item 7a or 7b and address of assignee in item 7c; and also give name of assignor in item 9. | | |
| 5. AMENDMENT (PARTY INFORMATION): This Amendment affects <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor or <input type="checkbox"/> Secured Party of record. Check only one of these two boxes. Also check one of the following boxes and provide appropriate information in items 6 and/or 7. <input type="checkbox"/> CHANGE name and/or address: Give current record name in item 6a or 6b; also give new name (if name change) in item 7a or 7b and/or new address (if address change) in item 7c. <input type="checkbox"/> DELETE name: Give record name to be deleted in item 6a or 6b. <input type="checkbox"/> ADD name: Completed item 7a or 7b, and also item 7c; also completed items 7d - 7g (if applicable) | | |
| 6. CURRENT RECORD INFORMATION: | | |
| 6a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME | | |
| OR | | |
| 6b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME DEAN | FIRST NAME ROBERT M | MIDDLE NAME SUFFIX |
| 7. CHANGED (NEW) OR ADDED INFORMATION: | | |
| 7a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME | | |
| OR | | |
| 7b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME | FIRST NAME | MIDDLE NAME SUFFIX |
| 7c. MAILING ADDRESS | | |
| 20230 ALPS DR | CITY MILFORD | STATE VA |
| | | POSTAL CODE 22514 |
| | | COUNTRY USA |
| 7d. TAX ID #: SSN OR EIN | ADD'L INFO RE ORGANIZATION DEBTOR | 7e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION |
| | | 7f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION |
| | | 7g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any |
| 8. AMENDMENT (COLLATERAL CHANGE): check only one box. Describe collateral <input type="checkbox"/> deleted or <input type="checkbox"/> added, or give entire <input type="checkbox"/> restated collateral description, or describe collateral <input type="checkbox"/> assigned. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE | | |

| | | | |
|---|------------|-------------|--------|
| 9. NAME OF SECURED PARTY OF RECORD AUTHORIZING THIS AMENDMENT (name of assignor, if this is an Assignment). If this is an Amendment authorized by a Debtor which adds collateral or adds the authorizing Debtor, or if this is a Termination authorized by a Debtor, check here <input type="checkbox"/> and enter name of DEBTOR authorizing this Amendment. | | | |
| 9a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME DEERE & COMPANY | | | |
| OR | | | |
| 9b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME | FIRST NAME | MIDDLE NAME | SUFFIX |
| 10. OPTIONAL FILER REFERENCE DATA VA [redacted] 213 AA 02NOV02 HANOVER | | | |

CTY# YEAR UCC #
033200202065

Filed and Recorded Mar-01-2002 02:06pm

UCC FINANCING STATEMENT

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS (front and back) CAREFULLY

Jay C. Stephenson

Jay C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb Cty. Ga.

A. NAME & PHONE OF CONTACT AT FILER [optional]

B. SEND ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO: (Name and Address)

First Georgia loan Company
P.O. Box 37
Douglasville, Ga. 30133

10.00

BAU

THE ABOVE SPACE IS FOR FILING OFFICE USE ONLY

1. DEBTOR'S EXACT FULL LEGAL NAME - Insert only one debtor name (1a or 1b) - do not abbreviate or combine names

1a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR 1b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME

1c. MAILING ADDRESS -

1d. TAX ID #: SSN OR EIN

1e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION

1f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION

1g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any

Costley Michael A. Mableton Ga 30126 USA

6215 Lenox Lane

-0445

NONE

2. ADDITIONAL DEBTOR'S EXACT FULL LEGAL NAME - Insert only one debtor name (2a or 2b) - do not abbreviate or combine names

2a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR 2b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME

2c. MAILING ADDRESS

2d. TAX ID #: SSN OR EIN

2e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION

2f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION

2g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any

Costley Joy A. Mableton Ga 30126 USA

6215 Lenox Lane

-0067

NONE

3. SECURED PARTY'S NAME (or NAME of TOTAL ASSIGNEE of ASSIGNOR S/P) - Insert only one secured party name (3a or 3b)

3a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR 3b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME

3c. MAILING ADDRESS

First Georgia Loan Company

P.O. Box 37 Douglasville Ga 30133 USA

4. This FINANCING STATEMENT covers the following collateral:
1973 Little Dude Boat ID# EJ05139


5. ALTERNATIVE DESIGNATION [if applicable]: LESSEE/LESSOR CONSIGNEE/CONSIGNOR BAILEE/BAILOR SELLER/BUYER AG. LIEN NON-UCC FILING

6. This FINANCING STATEMENT is to be filed [for record] [or recorded] in the REAL ESTATE RECORDS. Attach Addendum [if applicable]

7. Check to REQUEST SEARCH REPORT(S) on Debtor(s) [ADDITIONAL FEE] [optional]

8. OPTIONAL FILER REFERENCE DATA

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
2



Welcome to RootsWeb.com [Sign in](#)



[DISCOVER MORE >](#)

- [Home](#)
- [Searches](#)
- [Family Trees](#)
- [Mailing Lists](#)
- [Message Boards](#)
- [Web Sites](#)
- [Passwords](#)
- [Help](#)

Search For Your Ancestors

First Name

Last Name

STATE

Social Security Death Index Search Results

83,122,831 Records
last updated on 11-18-2008

The key to your research
[Join Ancestry.com Today!](#)

The most full-featured SSDI search engine on the internet

| Field | Value | Records | Results |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Last Name | WINNICK | 309 | 309 |
| First Name | DAVID | 419623 | 4 |

Viewing 1-4 of 4

| Name | Birth | Death | Last Residence | Last Benefit | SSN | Issued | Tools | Order Record? |
|---------------|-------|-------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|--------------------------|
| DAVID WINNICK | 1913 | May 1986 | 02167 (Chestnut Hill, Middlesex, MA) | (none specified) | [REDACTED]-3858 | Massachusetts | SS-5 Letter Add Post-em Search Ancestry.com | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| DAVID WINNICK | 1891 | Jan 1965 | (New York) | (none specified) | [REDACTED]-5235 | New York | SS-5 Letter Add Post-em Search Ancestry.com | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| DAVID WINNICK | 1914 | 30 Apr 1994 | 46835 (Fort Wayne, Allen, IN) | (none specified) | [REDACTED]1950 | Illinois | SS-5 Letter Add Post-em Search Ancestry.com | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| DAVID WINNICK | 1913 | Jul 1987 | 77042 (Houston, Harris, TX) | (none specified) | [REDACTED]-9507 | Minnesota | SS-5 Letter Add Post-em Search Ancestry.com | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Viewing 1-4 of 4

(V)=(Verified) Report verified with a family member or someone acting on behalf of a family member.
(P)=(Proof) Death Certificate Observed.

Last name

First Name

Middle Name (initial)

SSN

Looking for your ancestors?
Just say "aah."

[Learn More](#)

CPU seconds used





Technology Trust Fund

FY08 TTF PROGRESS REPORT

12-01-08

Compensation Board & Circuit Court Clerks

FY08 TTF Progress Report

| | |
|--|-----------|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 5 |
| FY08 FINANCIAL STATEMENT | 8 |
| CLERKS' COLLECTIONS AND EXPENDITURES FROM FY97 TO FY08 | 8 |
| CLERKS' COLLECTIONS FROM FY97 TO FY08..... | 9 |
| CLERKS' EXPENDITURES FROM FY98 THROUGH FY08 | 9 |
| FY08 TTF EXPENDITURES | 10 |
| TOTAL TTF EXPENDITURES FROM FY98 TO FY08 | 11 |
| SRA CERTIFICATION FOR \$1 FUND CARRYOVER | 12 |
| PERCENTAGE OF CLERKS EXPENDING ALL, SOME, OR NONE OF \$1 FUND BUDGETS IN FY08 | 13 |
| PERCENTAGE OF CLERKS WITH CARRYOVER / NON-CARRYOVER OF UNEXPENDED \$1 FUND | 14 |
| FY08 TTF PROGRESS SURVEY | 15 |
| CLERKS REPORTING WEBSITE THAT PROVIDES SRA TO LAND RECORDS' IMAGES | 15 |
| PERCENTAGE OF CLERKS REPORTING WEBSITE THAT PROVIDES SRA TO LAND RECORDS' IMAGES | 16 |
| NUMBER OF SRA WEBSITES HOSTED BY EACH TECHNOLOGY VENDOR | 16 |
| WEBSITE ADDRESSES THAT PROVIDE SECURE REMOTE ACCESS | 17 |
| ELECTRONIC INDICES AND IMAGES | 18 |
| ELECTRONIC INDICES AND IMAGES | 18 |
| AVERAGE YEAR OF CONTINUOUS YEARS OF ELECTRONIC INDICES AND IMAGES | 19 |
| OLDEST CONTINUOUS YEAR OF ELECTRONIC INDICES AND IMAGES REPORTED BY CLERKS | 20 |
| ONSITE AND REMOTE ACCESS TO ELECTRONIC INDICES AND IMAGES | 21 |
| TYPES OF ELECTRONIC IMAGES E-FILED | 21 |
| PERCENTAGE OF CLERKS REPORTING TO PROVIDE ONSITE ACCESS TO ELECTRONIC LAND RECORDS | 22 |
| PERCENTAGE OF CLERKS REPORTING TO PROVIDE REMOTE ACCESS TO ELECTRONIC LAND RECORDS | 22 |
| NUMBER OF LAND RECORDS IMAGES AVAILABLE ONSITE AND THROUGH SRA | 23 |
| DATE CLERKS PROVIDED SRA TO LAND RECORDS' IMAGES | 24 |
| SUBSCRIPTION FEES FOR SRA | 25 |
| NUMBER OF PAID SUBSCRIBERS FOR SRA TO LAND RECORDS' IMAGES | 26 |
| SRA PUBLICITY BY CLERKS | 27 |
| NO SRA PUBLICITY..... | 27 |
| AUTOMATED SYSTEMS LINKED TO LAND RECORDS SYSTEM | 29 |
| CLERKS CONTRACTING WITH TECHNOLOGY VENDORS FOR SPECIFIC AREAS | 30 |
| NUMBER OF CLERKS CONTRACTING WITH EACH TECHNOLOGY VENDOR | 31 |
| CLERKS REDACTING SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS..... | 31 |
| COST PER IMAGE AND METHOD OF REDACTION | 31 |
| IN-HOUSE REDACTION PROGRAMS | 32 |
| SPAN OF YEARS FOR REDACTION IN FY08 | 32 |
| FY09 SECURE REMOTE ACCESS CERTIFICATION | 33 |
| FY09 VITA SRA STANDARDS CERTIFICATION | 34 |
| \$4 APPROVALS FOR AREAS OF THE COURT NOT RELATED TO LAND RECORDS | 35 |
| FY07 \$4 APPROVALS FOR THE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL DIVISIONS | 35 |
| FY08 \$4 APPROVALS FOR AREAS OF THE COURT NOT RELATED TO LAND RECORDS | 36 |
| FY09 \$4 APPROVALS FOR AREAS OF THE COURT NOT RELATED TO LAND RECORDS | 37 |
| PURPOSE CODE F APPROVALS FROM FY07 TO FY09 | 38 |
| TOTAL PURPOSE CODE F APPROVALS | 38 |
| \$4 AVAILABLE BALANCE AND CLERKS' TOTAL APPROVALS AND EXPENDITURES | 39 |

FY08 TTF Progress Report

| | |
|---|-----------|
| APPROVALS FOR \$4 EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES REQUESTS FROM FY04 TO FY09 | 40 |
| NUMBER OF CLERKS WITH APPROVED \$4 BUDGETS FROM FY04 TO FY09 | 40 |
| CLERKS WITH \$4 APPROVED BUDGETS..... | 41 |
| \$4 EXPENDITURES FROM FY04 TO FY08..... | 42 |
| \$4 EXPENDITURE RATE FROM FY04 TO FY08 | 43 |
| \$1 FUND APPROVALS FOR EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES | 44 |
| \$1 FUND REQUESTS FOR EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES FROM FY06 TO FY09 | 44 |
| \$1 FUND AVAILABLE BALANCE AND CLERKS' APPROVALS AND EXPENDITURES FROM FY06 TO FY09 | 45 |
| TTF FOR REDACTION OF SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS | 46 |
| APPROVED FUNDING FOR SSN REDACTION SERVICES | 47 |
| APPROVALS FOR REDACTION FY07 TO FY09..... | 52 |
| TOTAL APPROVALS FOR SSN REDACTION..... | 53 |
| FY08 TTF REPORT HIGHLIGHTS AND COMMENTARY | 54 |
| APPENDIX..... | I |
| APPENDIX 1 – TTF NON-GENERAL FUND CASH TRANSACTIONS FROM FY97 TO FY08..... | II |
| APPENDIX 2 – TOTAL FY08 TTF EXPENDITURES BY LOCALITY | VII |
| APPENDIX 3 – FY08 TTF PROGRESS SURVEY QUESTIONS | X |
| APPENDIX 4 – FY09 SECURE REMOTE ACCESS, VITA, AND BUDGET CERTIFICATION SCREENS..... | XIV |
| APPENDIX 5 – FY08 TTF \$4 AND \$1 FUND APPROVALS FOR EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES | XVI |
| APPENDIX 6 – CODE OF VIRGINIA: TTF- RELATED SECTIONS | XXII |

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Introduction

The purpose of this report is to provide an annual update of the Technology Trust Fund and the progress Clerks are making in providing secure remote access (SRA) to land records on or before July 1, 2008.

The Technology Trust Fund

The Technology Trust Fund (TTF) originated in 1996 with § 17.1-279, Code of Virginia, that legislated a \$3 fee, known as the TTF Fee, to be assessed by the Clerk of the Circuit Court (Clerk) in every law and chancery action, upon each instrument recorded in the deed book, and upon each judgment docketed in the lien docket book. Effective July 1, 2004, the fee was increased to \$5 and the General Assembly has since specified intent that all Clerks in Virginia provide SRA to land records on or before July 1, 2008. The TTF fee is deposited to the State Treasury into a trust fund and the Compensation Board maintains a record of the deposits made by each Clerk.

Four dollars of the \$5 fee are allocated by the Compensation Board to each Clerk for the purposes of: (i) developing and updating individual land records automation plans for individual Clerks' offices; (ii) implementing automation plans to modernize land records in individual Clerk's offices and provide SRA to land records throughout the Commonwealth; (iii) obtaining and updating office automation and information technology equipment, including software and conversion services; (iv) preserving, maintaining and enhancing court records, including, but not limited to, the costs of repairs, maintenance, land records, consulting services, service contracts, redaction of social security numbers from land records, and system replacements or upgrades; and (v) improving public access to court records. TTF funds may not be used for personnel costs within the Clerks' offices. The legislation requires that Clerks submit to the Compensation Board a written certification that the Clerk's proposed technology improvements of land records will provide SRA to those land records on or before July 1, 2008.

The remaining \$1 of the TTF fee collected by each Clerk is amassed into a fund known as the \$1 Fund. The Compensation Board may allocate from the \$1 Fund (i) for the purposes of funding studies to develop and update individual land records automation plans for individual Clerk's offices and (ii) for the purposes enumerated in the above paragraph to implement the plan to modernize land records in individual Clerk's offices and provide SRA to land records throughout the Commonwealth. Priority for allocation from the \$1 Fund may be given to those individual Clerks' offices whose deposits into the trust fund would not be sufficient to implement its modernization plan. The legislation requires that Clerks submit to the Compensation Board a written certification that the Clerk's proposed technology improvements of land records will provide SRA to those land records on or before July 1, 2008.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Secure Remote Access to Land Records in the Code of Virginia

In the Code of Virginia, § 17.1-279 requires Clerks or their designated application service provider(s) to certify compliance with security standards developed by the Virginia Information Technologies Agency (VITA) found in the document *Secure Remote Access to Online Court Documents Standard, ITRM STANDARD SEC503-02* (dated March 28, 2005), and all subsequent revisions. This document is located on the VITA website at <http://www.vita.virginia.gov> under the tab Library / ITRM Policies, Standards, and Guidelines / Information Security Standards.

The safeguards in VITA's security standard define what is meant by "secure" land records. Remote access is defined in the security standard as allowing inspection to a court record without the need to physically visit the courthouse where the court record is maintained. The Code of Virginia, § 17.1-292, defines land records as any writing authorized by law to be recorded on paper or in electronic format that the Clerk records affecting title to real property, including but not limited to instruments, orders, or any other writings recorded.

Further definitions pertaining to SRA are contained in § 17.1-295, Code of Virginia. Public access means that the Clerk has made available to subscribers that are other than governmental agencies SRA to land records maintained by the Clerk. SRA is defined as public access by electronic means on a network or system to land records maintained by the Clerk or the Clerk's designated application service provider(s), in compliance with the SRA standards developed by VITA. A subscriber is any person who has entered into a Subscriber Agreement with the Clerk authorizing the subscriber to have SRA to land records maintained by the Clerk or the Clerk's designated application services provider(s). If the subscriber is an entity with more than one person who will use the network or system to access land records, each individual user shall execute a Subscriber Agreement and obtain a separate user ID and password from the Clerk. The subscriber is responsible for the fees and proper use of the SRA system pursuant to the Subscriber Agreement, applicable Virginia law, and the SRA standards developed by VITA.

In the Code of Virginia, § 17.1-276 allows that a Clerk who provides SRA to land records pursuant to § 17.1-294 may charge a fee established by the Clerk to cover operational expenses of such electronic access, including, but not limited to, computer support, maintenance, enhancements, upgrades, replacements, and consulting services. A flat fee may be assessed for each subscriber, as defined in § 17.1-295, in an amount not to exceed \$50 per month. The fees shall be paid to the Clerk's office and deposited by the Clerk into a special non-reverting local fund to be used to cover the operational expenses of such electronic access. The Clerk shall enter into a Subscriber Agreement with each subscriber in accordance with the security standards developed by VITA.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

In the Code of Virginia, § 17.1-293 prohibits the posting of certain information on the Internet. The VITA SRA standards require that Clerks make a self-certification to comply with § 17.1-293. A self-certification is embedded in the FY09 TTF Budget Request Process in the Constitutional Officers Information Network (COIN), an online financial management tool for Constitutional Officers, thus providing a substitute for the paper self-certification form, Appendix C, located in the 2005 VITA security standard. Beginning January 1, 2004, a) Clerks may not allow access to a document on a court-controlled website with an actual signature, Social Security Number (SSN), date of birth, maiden name, financial account number, or name/age of a minor child; b) Clerks must post a list of documents routinely found on the court-controlled Web site; c) Clerks must allow public access to the original document, as provided by law; and d) Clerks are immune from suit arising from any acts or omissions relating to providing SRA on the Internet pursuant to this section unless the Clerk was grossly negligent or engaged in willful misconduct.

In the Code of Virginia, § 17.1-294 stipulates that the original land records maintained by the Clerk may contain a SSN if otherwise provided by law, but that original record shall not be made available via SRA unless it complies with this section. Except in cases where the original record is required by law to contain a SSN, the attorney or party who prepares or submits the land record for recordation has the responsibility for ensuring that the SSN has been removed from the writing prior to the instrument's being submitted for recordation.

The complete text of §§ 17.1-276, 17.1-279, 17.1-292, 17.1-293, 17.1-294 and 17.1-295, Code of Virginia, is located in the Appendix of this report.

FY09 TTF Budget Request Process

In August of each year, Clerks have the opportunity to request TTF available funding for equipment and services. The Compensation Board allocates \$4 funds requested by Clerks in an amount not to exceed the deposits into the trust fund credited to their respective localities. \$1 Fund money is also available to Clerks. The FY09 TTF Budget Request process is a sub-system of COIN and consists of several options seamlessly integrated into a coherent whole, including:

- FY08 TTF Progress Survey;
- Certification for SRA;
- Certification of VITA security standards;
- Election to Carryover or FY09 Budget Request;
- \$4 Request for Equipment and/or Services;
- Certification of \$4 Budget Request;
- \$1 Fund Request for Equipment and/or Services;
- Certification of \$1 Fund Budget Request, and
- Final signoff.

Clerks had the month of August 2008 to complete the FY09 TTF Budget Request Process. If a Clerk does not complete the annual TTF Budget Request process in COIN, their \$4 available balance automatically carries-over to a future fiscal year.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Number of Land Records Images Available Onsite and through SRA

| | | | | | |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| Accomack | 722,000 | 695,000 | Nelson | 44,866 | 44,866 |
| Albemarle | 2,227,016 | 2,227,016 | New Kent | 70,000 | 68,000 |
| Alleghany | 364,370 | 364,370 | Northampton | 491,000 | 491,000 |
| Amelia | 247,984 | 231,000 | Northumberland | 352,000 | 351,000 |
| Amherst | 13,000 | 13,000 | Nottoway | 256,000 | 220,000 |
| Appomattox | 280,000 | 251,000 | Orange | 868,297 | 868,297 |
| Arlington | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | Page | 546,000 | 520,000 |
| Augusta | 2,058,423 | 2,058,423 | Patrick | 178,021 | 178,021 |
| Bath | 53,621 | 53,621 | Pittsylvania | 220,000 | 220,000 |
| Bedford | 1,920,230 | 1,920,230 | Powhatan | 631,000 | 630,000 |
| Bland | 54,749 | 54,749 | Prince Edward | 405,000 | 350,000 |
| Botetourt | 442,510 | 442,510 | Prince George | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| Brunswick | 401,365 | 401,365 | Prince William | 12,629,474 | 12,629,474 |
| Buchanan | 116,910 | 116,910 | Pulaski | 539,598 | 539,598 |
| Buckingham | 264,000 | 243,000 | Rappahannock | 201,724 | 201,724 |
| Campbell | 1,061,075 | 1,061,075 | Richmond Co | 285,000 | 257,000 |
| Caroline | 1,050,000 | 1,050,000 | Roanoke Co | 2,700,000 | 1,031,801 |
| Carroll | 770,000 | 770,000 | Rockbridge | 473,864 | 473,864 |
| Charles City | 40,670 | 40,670 | Rockingham | 2,704,555 | 2,649,874 |
| Charlotte | 7,618 | 7,618 | Russell | 669,000 | 631,000 |
| Chesterfield | 9,700,000 | 9,700,000 | Scott | 958,503 | 958,503 |
| Clarke | 463,000 | 463,000 | Shenandoah | 663,989 | 663,989 |
| Craig | 40,300 | 40,300 | Smyth | 265,057 | 265,057 |
| Culpeper | 700,359 | 700,359 | Southampton | 659,612 | 659,612 |
| Cumberland | 149,000 | 131,000 | Spotsylvania | 3,930,000 | 3,930,000 |
| Dickenson | 119,880 | 119,880 | Stafford | 573,944 | 573,944 |
| Dinwiddie | 629,000 | 575,000 | Surry | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Essex | 50,704 | 50,704 | Sussex | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Fairfax | 37,161,872 | 37,161,872 | Tazewell | 717,318 | 718,818 |
| Fauquier | 1,862,000 | 1,862,000 | Warren | 885,520 | 885,520 |
| Floyd | 292,166 | 292,166 | Washington | 811,148 | 811,148 |
| Fluvanna | 700,000 | 700,000 | Westmoreland | 629,294 | 629,294 |
| Franklin Co | 470,653 | 350,000 | Wise | 352,672 | 352,672 |
| Frederick | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | Wythe | 254,628 | 254,628 |
| Giles | 125,000 | 114,000 | York | 2,218,108 | 2,005,908 |
| Gloucester | 842,000 | 842,000 | Alexandria | 3,750,000 | 3,750,000 |
| Goochland | 323,306 | 287,376 | Bristol | 147,438 | 147,438 |
| Grayson | 313,040 | 313,040 | Buena Vista | 90,269 | 90,269 |
| Greene | 4,000 | 4,000 | Charlottesville | 877,000 | 13,000 |
| Greensville | 10,580 | 4,810 | Chesapeake | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
| Halifax | 455,714 | 455,714 | Colonial Heights | 194,872 | 194,872 |
| Hanover | 2,600,000 | 2,600,000 | Danville | 687,220 | 687,220 |
| Henrico | 9,000,000 | 9,000,000 | Fredericksburg | 252,680 | 252,680 |
| Henry | 423,932 | 423,932 | Hampton | 2,541,557 | 2,541,557 |
| Highland | 9,800 | 9,800 | Hopewell | 444,238 | 444,238 |
| Isle of Wright | 793,888 | 793,888 | Lynchburg | 1,408,039 | 1,408,039 |
| James City | 2,334,504 | 2,334,504 | Martinsville | 485,000 | 485,000 |
| King & Queen | 42,661 | 23,172 | Newport News | 4,000,000 | 3,030,652 |
| King George | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | Norfolk | 5,500,000 | 5,500,000 |
| King William | 227,555 | 227,555 | Petersburg | 294,000 | 265,000 |
| Lancaster | 254,646 | 254,646 | Portsmouth | 2,443,055 | 2,443,055 |
| Lee | 576,242 | 576,242 | Radford | 127,000 | 99,000 |
| Loudoun | 6,650,000 | 6,650,000 | Richmond City | 4,450,000 | 3,200,000 |
| Louisa | 858,051 | 858,051 | Roanoke City | 1,754,716 | 1,754,716 |
| Lunenburg | 150,000 | 150,000 | Salem | 258,658 | 258,658 |
| Madison | 132,000 | 132,000 | Staunton | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| Mathews | 37,097 | 37,097 | Suffolk | 2,007,605 | 2,007,605 |
| Mecklenburg | 572,834 | 572,834 | Virginia Beach | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| Middlesex | 43,539 | 43,539 | Waynesboro | 378,079 | 387,079 |
| Montgomery | 1,735,000 | 1,622,000 | Winchester | 600,000 | 600,000 |

In the FY08 TTF Progress Survey, 120 Clerks reported the total number of electronic land records' images available onsite was 196,704,432. The total number of electronic land records' images reported available through SRA was 190,987,228. Twenty-nine Clerks (highlighted in gray) reported a difference between electronic images available onsite and images available remotely.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Date Clerks Provided SRA to Land Records' Images

| Locality | Locality | Locality | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Accomack | September 2008 | Halifax | July 2008 | Scott | July 2006 |
| Albemarle | July 2007 | Hanover | July 2008 | Shenandoah | January 2007 |
| Alleghany | July 2007 | Henrico | July 2008 | Smyth | January 2008 |
| Amelia | December 2008 | Henry | July 2008 | Southampton | June 2006 |
| Amherst | June 2007 | Highland | July 2007 | Spotsylvania | July 2006 |
| Appomattox | May 2007 | Isle of Wight | July 2006 | Stafford | May 2005 |
| Arlington | January 2000 | James City County | July 2008 | Surry | December 2006 |
| Augusta | June 2008 | King & Queen | July 2008 | Sussex | July 2006 |
| Bath | July 2007 | King George | July 2006 | Tazewell | June 2008 |
| Bedford | July 2008 | King William | July 2008 | Warren | May 2004 |
| Bland | August 2007 | Lancaster | July 2008 | Washington | August 2008 |
| Botetourt | July 2008 | Lee | April 2006 | Westmoreland | June 2008 |
| Brunswick | July 2006 | Loudoun | February 2004 | Wise | November 1998 |
| Buchanan | August 2005 | Louisa | August 2007 | Wythe | May 2008 |
| Buckingham | July 2008 | Lunenburg | July 2008 | York | September 2008 |
| Campbell | July 2008 | Madison | March 2007 | Alexandria | July 2008 |
| Caroline | July 2006 | Mathews | August 2008 | Bristol | July 2006 |
| Carroll | February 2002 | Mecklenburg | July 2008 | Buena Vista | July 2007 |
| Charles City County | May 2008 | Middlesex | July 2008 | Charlottesville | May 2008 |
| Charlotte | July 2007 | Montgomery | May 2007 | Chesapeake | June 2007 |
| Chesterfield | July 2008 | Nelson | July 2008 | Colonial Heights | February 2007 |
| Clarke | December 2005 | New Kent | July 2006 | Danville | January 2005 |
| Craig | July 2008 | Northampton | March 2006 | Fredericksburg | July 2006 |
| Culpeper | September 2006 | Northumberland | July 2008 | Hampton | May 2006 |
| Cumberland | June 2008 | Nottoway | July 2006 | Hopewell | July 2008 |
| Dickenson | July 2008 | Orange | July 2006 | Lynchburg | July 2008 |
| Dirwiddie | June 2008 | Page | August 2008 | Martinsville | February 2000 |
| Essex | March 2006 | Patrick | July 2008 | Newport News | July 2000 |
| Fairfax | May 1999 | Pittsylvania | January 2008 | Norfolk | July 2004 |
| Fauquier | July 2006 | Powhatan | July 2006 | Petersburg | July 2008 |
| Floyd | July 2006 | Prince Edward | June 2008 | Portsmouth | October 2006 |
| Fluvanna | June 2006 | Prince George | January 2006 | Radford | September 2008 |
| Franklin Co | July 2008 | Prince William | December 2001 | Richmond City | July 2008 |
| Frederick | July 2006 | Pulaski | September 1998 | Roanoke City | July 2008 |
| Giles | August 2008 | Rappahannock | July 2007 | Salem | July 2008 |
| Gloucester | July 2006 | Richmond Co | August 2008 | Staunton | July 2008 |
| Goochland | July 2006 | Roanoke Co | July 2008 | Suffolk | August 2006 |
| Grayson | August 2007 | Rockbridge | July 2008 | Virginia Beach | July 2002 |
| Greene | July 2008 | Rockingham | October 2006 | Waynesboro | July 2008 |
| Greensville | January 2008 | Russell | July 2007 | Winchester | July 2006 |

120 Clerks reported the month and year they began providing SRA to land records' images. The Clerk of Pulaski County reported the first to provide SRA in September 1998.

SRA Subscription

In the FY08 TTF Progress Survey, Clerks were asked to report the subscription fees for SRA to land records' images.

120 Clerks reported that their office approves all applications for subscription to SRA. 120 Clerks reported to be currently accepting public (non-governmental) subscribers to SRA. One hundred and nineteen Clerks (99 percent) reported a fee schedule for SRA subscription. The Clerk of Dickenson County did not report a fee schedule for SRA. The subscription fees ranged from \$50 a quarter to \$50 per month.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Clerks Contracting with Technology Vendors for Specific Areas

| Vendor | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|
| AmCad | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| BIS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Cott | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| CSI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| C.W. Warthen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Databanks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| DTS | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Image America | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| In-House | 2 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 17 |
| ILS | 18 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 17 |
| Logan | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 14 |
| Meadows | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| SCV | 73 | 73 | 73 | 70 | 28 |
| Sutton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Unity | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| No Vendor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23 |
| Other | ACS, Eagle, ImageVision, Nortel | ACS, Eagle, Kaballero.com, Mixnet | ACS, Carasoft, Eagle, ESI, Govolution, GTSI, ImageVision, Inego | ACS, Mixnet, W.R. Systems | ACS, A&E Supply, AIS, CSI, DMS, DRS, MSTC, W.R. Systems |

ACS=ACS Government Record Services
 BIS=Business Information Systems
 DTS=Document Technology Systems
 GTSI=GTSI Corporation
 SCV=Supreme Court of Virginia

AmCad=American Cadastre
 CSI=Computing Systems Innovations
 DRS= The DRS Group
 ILS=International Land Systems
 Unity=Unity Systems, formerly Reams Corporation

AIS=Alternative Images Service
 DMS=Document Management Services
 ESI=Electronic Solutions for Imaging
 MSTC=MSTC Incorporated

In FY08, 120 Clerks reported they have contracted with a vendor for their land records management system, SRA internet hosting, and hardware, software, and maintenance. One hundred and fourteen Clerks (95 percent) reported they have contracted with a vendor for the redaction of social security numbers and 97 Clerks (81 percent) have reported they have contracted with a vendor for back scanning and conversion services.

If only one Clerk reported a vendor then it was listed in the "Other" category. In-house services were performed either by the locality or internally by the Clerk's staff.

Redaction of Social Security Numbers

Beginning in the FY07 Progress Survey, Clerks were asked to indicate their progress in the redaction of social security numbers (SSN) from their electronic land records' images. In FY07, 64 Clerks (53 percent) reported to have contracted with a vendor for back-file (historical) redaction services; 11 Clerks (nine percent) reported to performing back-file redaction in-house; 21 Clerks (18 percent) indicated that they have begun back-file redaction; and the average span of years for redacted images reported by those 21 Clerks was 1970 to 2007.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Number of Clerks Contracting with each Technology Vendor

In the FY08 survey, 105 Clerks (88 percent) reported to have redacted electronic land records' images. These Clerks reported the technology vendors with whom they contracted.

| | | | |
|----|------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|
| 67 | Supreme Court of Virginia | 1 | ACS State and Local Solutions |
| 14 | International Land Systems | 1 | Business Information Systems |
| 9 | Logan Systems | 1 | Mixnet Corporation |
| 6 | American Cadastre | 1 | M&W Printers |
| 4 | In-House | 1 | W.R. Systems |
| 3 | Cott Systems | 15 | No redaction in FY08 |
| 2 | Document Technology Services | | |

Five Clerks listed more than one vendor.

Clerks Redacting Social Security Numbers

| Clerks | |
|---|-------------|
| Number of Clerks who redacted images during fiscal year | 105 |
| Total number of images redacted | 110,996,593 |
| Total cost for redacted images | \$4,120,924 |

Cost per Image and Method of Redaction

| Vendors | | |
|------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| Supreme Court of Virginia | 3.35 cents | OCR+1 |
| International Land Systems | 3.0 cents | OCR+1 |
| Logan Systems | 4.0 cents | OCR+1 |
| American Cadastre | 4.0 cents | OCR+1 |
| Cott Systems | various | OCR+1 |
| Document Technology Services | 3.8 cents | OCR+2 |
| ACS State & Local Solutions | 3.5 cents | OCR+1 |
| Business Information Systems | 0.95 cents | OCR+1 |
| Mixnet Corporation | 4.0 cents | Manual pass only |
| M&W Printers | 4.0 cents | OCR only |
| W.R. Systems | 1.7 cents | OCR only |

The Supreme Court of Virginia charged the majority of Clerks 3.35 cents per image. The following are exceptions: Buchanan, 3.4 cents; and Augusta, Charles City County, Charlotte, Essex, Lunenburg, Madison, Pittsylvania, Tazewell and Staunton, 4.0 cents per image.

International Land Systems contracted with the majority of Clerks for 3.0 cents per image. Exceptions include Appomattox, 3.9 cents; Henrico, 3.3 cents; and Powhatan, 2.9 cents.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Logan Systems contracted with six out of nine Clerks for 4.0 cents per image. Exceptions are Chesterfield, 3.0 cents; Stafford, 3.8 cents; and Chesapeake, 3.7 cents.

Cott Systems contracted with Fauquier for 2.28 cents per image (OCR+1); Louisa for 3.44 cents per image (OCR software only); and Richmond City for 3.25 cents per image (OCR+1).

In-House Redaction Programs

| Clerks | | |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Accomack | 3.0 cents | OCR + 1 |
| Colonial Heights | \$0 cost | Manual pass |
| Martinsville | 2.5 cents | Manual pass |
| Norfolk | 1.7 cents | OCR + 1 |

During the budget period in FY08, Accomack requested TTF funding for redaction listing ILS as the vendor (not in-house) at 3 cents per image.

Span of Years for Redaction in FY08

| Clerks | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Loudoun | 1935 to 2008 | 1935 to 2008 | 1935 to 2008 | 1935 to 2008 | 1935 to 2008 |
| Augusta Caroline Chesterfield | 1935 to 2008 | 1935 to 2008 | | | 1935 to 2008 |
| Spotsylvania | 1935 to 2008 | 1935 to 2008 | | | |
| Fluvanna King George Westmoreland | 1935 to 2008 | | | | 1935 to 2008 |
| Clarke Henrico Richmond County Russell Chesapeake | 1935 to 2008 | | | | |
| No Redaction | 0 | 60 | 11 | 21 | 12 |
| N/A | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |

The 13 Clerks who have redacted SSN from their land records from 1935 to 2008 are listed above. The Clerk of Loudoun has performed full redaction of SSN for every type of land record. Every Clerk has redacted deeds / deeds of trust; 60 Clerks (50 percent) have redacted plats / maps; 109 Clerks have redacted judgments / liens; 99 Clerks have redacted financing statements; and 108 Clerks have redacted wills / fiduciary. Fifteen Clerks reported that they performed no SSN redaction in FY08.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

FY09 Secure Remote Access Certification

The Code of Virginia, § 17.1-279 B, requires Clerks to submit to the Compensation Board a written certification that the Clerk's proposed technology improvements of his land records will provide secure remote access (SRA) to land records on or before July 1, 2008. During the FY09 TTF Budget Request process, the Compensation Board required Clerks to certify their current status regarding providing SRA to land records' images on a website or system owned and operated by their court or operated by a public or private agent. One hundred and twenty Clerks (100 percent) certified to currently providing SRA to land records' images.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

TTF for Redaction of Social Security Numbers

In October 2006, the Virginia Information Technologies Agency (VITA) Redaction Workgroup published the *Methods for the Redaction of Social Security Numbers from Electronic Land Record Documents Report*. The Redaction Workgroup was made up of representatives from the Virginia Court Clerks' Association, Virginia Information Technologies Agency, Virginia Bar Association, real estate brokers, mortgage lenders, bankers, technology vendors, and the Compensation Board. In FY07, the Compensation Board began approving requests for funding the redaction of social security numbers (SSN) using the recommendations of this report, which included:

- The use of software (Optical Character Recognition-OCR) plus one manual review for quality control and assurance is a reliable method of redaction.
- Redaction is limited to SSN and performed on a back-file (historical) basis from 1935 to the present as one project and day-forward redaction, at least annually, as a separate project.
- Plan for an estimated average redaction cost of 4 cents per image for back-file redaction and a similar cost for day-forward redaction. This estimate average cost is based on information VITA received from three vendors (AmCad, ILS, and Logan) regarding their pricing structure for the software redaction system and employee responsibilities attendant to running the software redaction system.
- Redaction should never result in permanent removal of text from an original document, only copies, and is achieved by removing the text containing the SSN and overlaying the space with a graphical element such as a black rectangle.
- At least a 95 percent level of accuracy can be expected with the OCR plus one manual pass method.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Approved Funding for SSN Redaction Services

| FIPS | Locality | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-----------------|------|--------|-------|------------|----------------------------|--------|-----------|------|----------|----------|
| 001 | ACCOMACK | FY08 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1984-2007 | 99% | 638,050 | 3 | \$19,142 | \$0 |
| 003 | ALBEMARLE | FY08 | Mid | Cott | backfile | 1959-2002 | 95-99% | 1,193,255 | 4 | \$47,730 | \$0 |
| 003 | ALBEMARLE | FY08 | Mid | Cott | dayforward | ???? | 95-99% | 187,000 | 4 | \$7,480 | \$0 |
| 003 | ALBEMARLE | FY09 | Budget | Cott | backfile | 1959-2002 | 95-99% | 1,193,255 | 3.25 | \$38,781 | \$0 |
| 003 | ALBEMARLE | FY09 | Budget | Cott | dayforward | 2008-2009 | 95-99% | 187,000 | 3.25 | \$6,078 | \$0 |
| 005 | ALLEGHANY | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1980-2007 | 95+% | 322,163 | 4 | \$0 | \$12,887 |
| 005 | ALLEGHANY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1967-2008 | 98% | 29,079 | 4 | \$1,163 | \$0 |
| 005 | ALLEGHANY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 44,742 | 4 | \$1,790 | \$0 |
| 007 | AMELIA | FY09 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1978-2008 | 99% | 232,561 | 3 | \$6,977 | \$0 |
| 009 | AMHERST | | | | | | | | | | |
| 011 | APPOMATTOX | FY07 | Mid | ILS | backfile | 1973-2006 | ?? | 229,307 | 3 | \$6,879 | \$0 |
| 011 | APPOMATTOX | FY08 | Budget | ILS | dayforward | 2007 | 99% | 17,850 | 3 | \$0 | \$536 |
| 013 | ARLINGTON | | | | | | | | | | |
| 015 | AUGUSTA | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1992-2007 | 95+% | 1,179,022 | 4 | \$47,161 | \$0 |
| 015 | AUGUSTA | FY08 | Budget | Logan | backfile | 1935-1991 | 99% | 599,400 | 4 | \$0 | \$23,976 |
| 015 | AUGUSTA | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1992-2008 | 98% | 44,893 | 4 | \$1,796 | \$0 |
| 015 | AUGUSTA | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 90,921 | 4 | \$3,637 | \$0 |
| 017 | BATH | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2000-2008 | 98% | 51,418 | 3.35 | \$1,723 | \$0 |
| 017 | BATH | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2000-2008 | 98% | 3,329 | 4 | \$134 | \$0 |
| 017 | BATH | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 7,402 | 4 | \$296 | \$0 |
| 019 | BEDFORD | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2007 | 95+% | 1,515,659 | 4 | \$60,626 | \$0 |
| 019 | BEDFORD | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2007 | 95+% | 273,225 | 4 | \$10,929 | \$0 |
| 019 | BEDFORD | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2008 | 98% | 62,495 | 4 | \$2,500 | \$0 |
| 019 | BEDFORD | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 126,338 | 4 | \$5,053 | \$0 |
| 021 | BLAND | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2000-2007 | 95+% | 47,482 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,900 |
| 021 | BLAND | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1984-2008 | 98% | 3,802 | 4 | \$0 | \$152 |
| 021 | BLAND | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 8,379 | 4 | \$0 | \$335 |
| 023 | BOTETOURT | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2000-2007 | 95+% | 409,520 | 4 | \$16,381 | \$0 |
| 023 | BOTETOURT | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1991-2008 | 98% | 34,837 | 4 | \$1,394 | \$0 |
| 023 | BOTETOURT | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 66,473 | 4 | \$2,659 | \$0 |
| 025 | BRUNSWICK | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1945-2007 | 95+% | 363,627 | 4 | \$14,545 | \$0 |
| 025 | BRUNSWICK | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1945-2008 | 98% | 401,365 | 4 | \$0 | \$16,055 |
| 025 | BRUNSWICK | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | 2009 | 98% | 18,808 | 4 | \$0 | \$752 |
| 027 | BUCHANAN | FY08 | Mid | SCV | backfile | 1976-2008 | 95+% | 366,714 | 4 | \$0 | \$14,669 |
| 027 | BUCHANAN | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1976-2008 | 98% | 18,525 | 4 | \$0 | \$741 |
| 027 | BUCHANAN | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 50,790 | 4 | \$0 | \$2,032 |
| 029 | BUCKINGHAM | FY07 | Mid | ILS | backfile | 1973-2007 | 99% | 218,000 | 3 | \$6,540 | \$0 |
| 031 | CAMPBELL | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1994-2007 | 95+% | 713,024 | 4 | \$28,521 | \$0 |
| 031 | CAMPBELL | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1994-2008 | 98% | 704,078 | 3.35 | \$23,587 | \$0 |
| 031 | CAMPBELL | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1994-2008 | 98% | 356,997 | 4 | \$14,280 | \$0 |
| 031 | CAMPBELL | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 63,515 | 4 | \$2,541 | \$0 |
| 033 | CAROLINE | FY07 | Mid | Logan | backfile | 1967-2007 | ?? | 668,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$26,720 |
| 033 | CAROLINE | FY08 | Budget | Logan | backfile | 1987-2007 | 99% | 28,500 | 4 | \$1,140 | \$0 |
| 033 | CAROLINE | FY08 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY08 | 99% | 74,000 | 4 | \$2,960 | \$0 |
| 033 | CAROLINE | FY09 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY09 | 99% | 73,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$2,920 |
| 035 | CARROLL | FY07 | Mid | Logan | backfile | 1967-2007 | ?? | 585,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$23,400 |
| 035 | CARROLL | FY08 | Budget | Logan | backfile | 1935-1965 | 99% | 126,500 | 4 | \$0 | \$5,059 |
| 035 | CARROLL | FY08 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY08 | 99% | 43,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,720 |
| 035 | CARROLL | FY09 | Budget | Logan | backfile | 1988-2008 (Jdgmts only) | 99% | 18,400 | 4 | \$0 | \$736 |
| 035 | CARROLL | FY09 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY09 | 99% | 36,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,440 |
| 036 | CHARLES CITY CO | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2000-2007 | 95+% | 35,113 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,405 |
| 036 | CHARLES CITY CO | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2000-2008 | 98% | 31,339 | 4 | \$1,254 | \$0 |
| 036 | CHARLES CITY CO | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 9,331 | 4 | \$373 | \$0 |
| 037 | CHARLOTTE | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2004-2007 | 95+% | 38,615 | 4 | \$1,545 | \$0 |
| 037 | CHARLOTTE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2004-2008 | 98% | 6,624 | 4 | \$59 | \$0 |

FY08 TTF Progress Report

| FIPS | Locality | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-----------------|------|---------|-------|------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------|-----------|----------|
| 037 | CHARLOTTE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 16,756 | 4 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 041 | CHESTERFIELD | FY08 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY08 | 99% | 317,000 | 2.4 | \$7,608 | \$0 |
| 041 | CHESTERFIELD | FY08 | Budget | Logan | backfile | 1935-2007 | 99% | 8,936,050 | 4 | \$346,538 | \$0 |
| 041 | CHESTERFIELD | FY09 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY09 | 99% | 563,000 | 3.5 | \$19,705 | \$0 |
| 043 | CLARKE | FY08 | Budget | Logan | backfile | 1935-2008 | 99% | 391,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$15,640 |
| 045 | CRAIG | FY08 | Mid | SCV | backfile | 2005-2008 | 95+% | 49,636 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,985 |
| 045 | CRAIG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1984-2008 | 98% | 73,572 | 4 | \$2,943 | \$0 |
| 045 | CRAIG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 13,401 | 4 | \$536 | \$0 |
| 045 | CRAIG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | 1984-2008 | 98% | 98,664 | 3.35 | \$3,305 | \$0 |
| 047 | CULPEPER | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1996-2007 | 95+% | 628,864 | 4 | \$25,155 | \$0 |
| 047 | CULPEPER | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1996-2008 | 98% | 35,461 | 4 | \$1,419 | \$0 |
| 047 | CULPEPER | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 75,848 | 4 | \$3,034 | |
| 049 | CUMBERLAND | FY07 | Mid | ILS | backfile | 1993-2006 | 99% | 116,920 | 3 | \$0 | \$3,508 |
| 049 | CUMBERLAND | FY08 | Budget | ILS | dayforward | 2007 | 99% | 13,900 | 3 | \$0 | \$417 |
| 051 | DICKENSON | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2000-2007 | 95+% | 102,615 | 4 | \$320 | \$3,785 |
| 051 | DICKENSON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2000-2008 | 98% | 8,134 | 4 | \$0 | \$325 |
| 051 | DICKENSON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 17,934 | 4 | \$0 | \$717 |
| 053 | DINWIDDIE | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2006-2007 | 95+% | 24,963 | 4 | \$999 | \$0 |
| 053 | DINWIDDIE | FY08 | Mid | SCV | backfile | 1975-2006 | 95+% | 485,959 | 4 | \$19,437 | \$0 |
| 054 | DINWIDDIE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1989-2008 | 98% | 625,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$25,000 |
| 053 | DINWIDDIE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 39,800 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,592 |
| 057 | ESSEX | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2006-2007 | 95+% | 32,574 | 4 | \$1,303 | \$0 |
| 057 | ESSEX | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2006-2008 | 98% | 9,706 | 4 | \$388 | \$0 |
| 057 | ESSEX | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 27,547 | 4 | \$1,102 | \$0 |
| 059 | FAIRFAX COUNTY | | | | | | | | | | |
| 061 | FAUQUIER | FY08 | Mid | Cott | backfile | 1976-2007 | 95-99% | 1,771,737 | 3.25 | \$15,033 | \$42,548 |
| 061 | FAUQUIER | FY08 | Mid | Cott | dayforward | ???? | 95-99% | 155,000 | 3.25 | \$0 | \$5,038 |
| 061 | FUAQUIER | FY09 | Budget | Cott | backfile | 1976-2007 | 95-99% | 563,256 | 3.25 | \$10,306 | \$0 |
| 063 | FLOYD | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1983-2007 | 95+% | 279,592 | 4 | \$11,184 | \$0 |
| 063 | FLOYD | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1980-2008 | 98% | 16,729 | 4 | \$0 | \$669 |
| 063 | FLOYD | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 34,838 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,394 |
| 065 | FLUVANNA | FY07 | Mid | Logan | backfile | 1967-2007 | 99% | 605,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$24,200 |
| 065 | FLUVANNA | FY08 | Budget | Logan | backfile | 1935-1966 | 99% | 43,750 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,750 |
| 065 | FLUVANNA | FY08 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY08 | 99% | 59,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$2,360 |
| 065 | FLUVANNA | FY09 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY09 | 99% | 46,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,840 |
| 067 | FRANKLIN COUNTY | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2007 | 95+% | 382,167 | 4 | \$15,287 | \$0 |
| 067 | FRANKLIN COUNTY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2008 | 98% | 44,198 | 4 | \$1,768 | \$0 |
| 067 | FRANKLIN COUNTY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 97,613 | 4 | \$3,905 | \$0 |
| 069 | FREDERICK | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1983-2007 | 95+% | 2,236,775 | 4 | \$89,471 | \$0 |
| 069 | FREDERICK | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1983-2008 | 98% | 126,987 | 4 | \$5,079 | \$0 |
| 069 | FREDERICK | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 165,787 | 4 | \$6,632 | \$0 |
| 071 | GILES | FY07 | Mid | ILS | backfile | 1977-2006 | 99% | 253,194 | 3 | \$0 | \$7,596 |
| 073 | GLOUCESTER | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1994-2007 | 95+% | 696,964 | 4 | \$27,879 | \$0 |
| 075 | GOOCHLAND | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2001-2007 | 95+% | 267,557 | 4 | \$10,702 | \$0 |
| 075 | GOOCHLAND | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1994-2008 | 98% | 17,591 | 4 | \$704 | \$0 |
| 075 | GOOCHLAND | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 38,157 | 4 | \$1,526 | \$0 |
| 077 | GRAYSON | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1984-2007 | 95+% | 287,439 | 4 | \$0 | \$11,497 |
| 077 | GRAYSON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1984-2008 | 98% | 12,974 | 4 | \$519 | \$0 |
| 077 | GRAYSON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | 2008-2009 | 98% | 25,465 | 4 | \$1,019 | \$0 |
| 079 | GREENE | | | | | | | | | | |
| 081 | GREENSVILLE | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1975-2007 | 95+% | 121,428 | 4 | \$4,857 | \$0 |
| 081 | GREENSVILLE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1975-2008 | 98% | 10,580 | 4 | \$423 | \$0 |
| 081 | GREENSVILLE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 17,831 | 4 | \$713 | \$0 |
| 081 | GREENSVILLE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1975-2008 | 98% | 132,112 | 4 | \$4,426 | \$0 |
| 083 | HALIFAX | FY08 | Bud/Mid | SCV | backfile | 1985-2007 | 95+% | 366,485 | 4 | \$14,659 | \$0 |
| 083 | HALIFAX | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1996-2008 | 98% | 29,651 | 4 | \$1,186 | \$0 |
| 083 | HALIFAX | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 62,179 | 4 | \$2,487 | \$0 |
| 083 | HALIFAX | FY09 | Budget | Logan | backfile | 1971-1995 | 99% | 251,500 | 4 | \$0 | \$10,060 |
| 085 | HANOVER | FY08 | Budget | AmCad | backfile | 1935-2007 | 95% | 2,500,000 | 4 | \$100,000 | \$0 |

FY08 TTF Progress Report

| FIPS | Locality | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|----------------|------|--------|-------|------------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----------|-----------|
| 085 | HANOVER | FY08 | Mid | AmCad | dayforward | 10 years forward | 95% | 1,893,988 | 1.69 | \$12,000 | \$0 |
| 085 | HANOVER | FY09 | Budget | AmCad | backfile | 1935-2008 | 99% | 2,576,978 | 3.7 | \$95,348 | \$0 |
| 085 | HANOVER | FY09 | Budget | AmCad | backfile | Apr-Aug 2008 | 99% | 42,230 | 3.7 | \$1,563 | \$0 |
| 085 | HANOVER | FY09 | Budget | AmCad | dayforward | Aug08-May09 | 95.5% | 105,735 | 3.7 | \$3,912 | \$0 |
| 087 | HENRICO | FY08 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1935-2007 | 99% | 8,123,613 | 3 | \$184,713 | \$0 |
| 087 | HENRICO | FY09 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1970-2008 | 99% | 7,623,804 | 3.3 | \$201,268 | \$0 |
| 089 | HENRY | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2001-2007 | 95+% | 390,629 | 4 | \$15,626 | \$0 |
| 089 | HENRY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1997-2008 | 98% | 33,304 | 4 | \$1,332 | \$0 |
| 089 | HENRY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 67,587 | 4 | \$2,704 | \$0 |
| 091 | HIGHLAND | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2007 | 95+% | 39,249 | 4 | \$1,570 | \$0 |
| 091 | HIGHLAND | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2008 | 98% | 1,560 | 4 | \$62 | \$0 |
| 091 | HIGHLAND | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 4,076 | 4 | \$163 | \$0 |
| 093 | ISLE OF WIGHT | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1970-2007 | 95+% | 770,676 | 4 | \$30,828 | \$0 |
| 093 | ISLE OF WIGHT | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1970-2008 | 98% | 26,194 | 4 | \$1,048 | \$0 |
| 093 | ISLE OF WIGHT | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 51,603 | 4 | \$2,064 | \$0 |
| 095 | JAMES CITY CO | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1980-2008 | 98% | 2,334,504 | 3.35 | \$78,206 | \$0 |
| 095 | JAMES CITY CO | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1980-2008 | 98% | 90,044 | 4 | \$3,602 | \$0 |
| 095 | JAMES CITY CO | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 179,598 | 4 | \$7,184 | \$0 |
| 097 | KING AND QUEEN | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2005-2007 | 95+% | 25,964 | 4 | \$1,039 | \$0 |
| 097 | KING AND QUEEN | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | Apr-Aug 2008 | 98% | 11,144 | 4 | \$446 | \$0 |
| 097 | KING AND QUEEN | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | Aug08-Jul-09 | 98% | 27,434 | 4 | \$1,097 | \$0 |
| 099 | KING GEORGE | FY07 | Mid | AmCad | backfile | 1930-2006 | 96% | 427,417 | 4 | \$0 | \$17,097 |
| 099 | KING GEORGE | FY08 | Budget | AmCad | backfile | 1930-2006 | 100% | 247,700 | 4 | \$0 | \$9,908 |
| 101 | KING WILLIAM | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1999-2007 | 95+% | 26,212 | 4 | \$1,048 | \$0 |
| 101 | KING WILLIAM | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1999-2008 | 98% | 14,286 | 4 | \$571 | \$0 |
| 101 | KING WILLIAM | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 27,063 | 4 | \$1,083 | \$0 |
| 101 | KING WILLIAM | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1999-2008 | 98% | 154,775 | 4 | \$0 | \$6,191 |
| 103 | LANCASTER | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1989-2007 | 95+% | 244,019 | 4 | \$0 | \$9,761 |
| 103 | LANCASTER | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1994-2008 | 98% | 11,061 | 4 | \$442 | \$0 |
| 103 | LANCASTER | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 22,837 | 4 | \$914 | \$0 |
| 105 | LEE | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1969-2007 | 95+% | 484,590 | 4 | \$19,384 | \$0 |
| 105 | LEE | FY08 | Mid | SCV | backfile | 1966-2008 | 95+% | 37,964 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,519 |
| 105 | LEE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1954-2008 | 98% | 49,717 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,989 |
| 105 | LEE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 97,066 | 4 | \$0 | \$3,883 |
| 107 | LOUDOUN | FY07 | Mid | DTS | backfile | 1966-1986 Jan-June 2003 | 99% | 2,800,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$112,000 |
| 107 | LOUDOUN | FY09 | Budget | DTS | dayforward | 2006-2007 | 99% | 1,210,000 | 4 | \$48,400 | \$0 |
| 107 | LOUDOUN | FY09 | Budget | AmCad | backfile | 1985-2000 Court Case Files (F) | 95% | 1,641,791 | 4 | \$65,672 | \$0 |
| 109 | LOUISA | FY08 | Mid | Cott | backfile | 1984-2007 | 95-99% | 815,939 | 3.25 | \$26,518 | \$0 |
| 111 | LUNENBURG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1976-2008 | 98% | 82,703 | 4 | \$3,308 | \$0 |
| 111 | LUNENBURG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 22,433 | 4 | \$897 | \$0 |
| 113 | MADISON | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2007 | 95+% | 168,640 | 4 | \$1,483 | \$5,263 |
| 113 | MADISON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1991-2008 | 98% | 20,610 | 4 | \$824 | \$0 |
| 113 | MADISON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 43,440 | 4 | \$1,738 | \$0 |
| 115 | MATHEWS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 117 | MECKLENBURG | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2007 | 95+% | 524,148 | 4 | \$20,966 | \$0 |
| 117 | MECKLENBURG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2008 | 98% | 23,933 | 4 | \$958 | \$0 |
| 117 | MECKLENBURG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | 2008-2009 | 98% | 48,600 | 4 | \$1,944 | \$0 |
| 119 | MIDDLESEX | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2006-2007 | 95+% | 40,226 | 4 | \$1,609 | \$0 |
| 119 | MIDDLESEX | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2005-2008 | 98% | 24,647 | 4 | \$986 | \$0 |
| 117 | MIDDLESEX | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 62,139 | 4 | \$2,485 | \$0 |
| 121 | MONTGOMERY | FY07 | Mid | ILS | backfile | 1976-2006 | 99% | 1,388,857 | 3 | \$32,082 | \$0 |
| 121 | MONTGOMERY | FY08 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1976-2007 | 99% | 1,622,500 | 3 | \$48,675 | \$0 |
| 125 | NELSON | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1992-2007 | 95+% | 386,509 | 4 | \$15,460 | \$0 |
| 125 | NELSON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1990-2008 | 98% | 26,777 | 4 | \$1,980 | \$0 |
| 125 | NELSON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 57,945 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,409 |

FY08 TTF Progress Report

| FIPS | Locality | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-----------------|------|--------|-------|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|------|----------|-----------|
| 127 | NEW KENT | FY07 | Mid | Logan | backfile | 1967-2007 | ?? | 436,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$17,440 |
| 127 | NEW KENT | FY08 | Budget | Logan | backfile | 1935-1966 | 99% | 48,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,920 |
| 127 | NEW KENT | FY08 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY08 | 99% | 46,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,840 |
| 127 | NEW KENT | FY09 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY09 | 99% | 30,400 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,216 |
| 131 | NORTHAMPTON | FY07 | Mid | AmCad | backfile | 1997-2008 | 95% | 490,909 | 4 | \$0 | \$19,636 |
| 131 | NORTHAMPTON | FY08 | Budget | AmCad | backfile | 1997-2008 | 95% | 490,909 | 4 | \$19,636 | \$0 |
| 131 | NORTHAMPTON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2007-2008 | 98% | 11,920 | 4 | \$477 | \$0 |
| 131 | NORTHAMPTON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | 2008 | 98% | 26,623 | 4 | \$1,065 | \$0 |
| 133 | NORTHUMBERLAND | FY08 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1987-2007 | 99% | 337,460 | 3 | \$10,124 | \$0 |
| 133 | NORTHUMBERLAND | FY09 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1987-2008 | 99% | 350,322 | 3 | \$10,509 | \$0 |
| 135 | NOTTOWAY | FY08 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1975-2007 | 99% | 208,700 | 3 | \$0 | \$6,261 |
| 135 | NOTTOWAY | FY09 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1975-2008 | 98% | 253,313 | 3 | \$7,600 | \$0 |
| 137 | ORANGE | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1961-2007 | 95+% | 943,496 | 4 | \$0 | \$37,740 |
| 137 | ORANGE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1983-2008 | 98% | 215,858 | 4 | \$0 | \$8,956 |
| 137 | ORANGE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 60,608 | 4 | \$2,103 | \$0 |
| 139 | PAGE | FY07 | Mid | ILS | backfile | 1977-2006 | 99% | 459,039 | 3 | \$0 | \$12,271 |
| 141 | PATRICK | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1999-2007 | 95+% | 163,278 | 4 | \$6,531 | \$0 |
| 141 | PATRICK | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1968-2008 | 98% | 11,800 | 4 | \$0 | \$472 |
| 141 | PATRICK | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 24,590 | 4 | \$0 | \$984 |
| 143 | PITTSYLVANIA | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2004-2007 | 95+% | 156,314 | 4 | \$0 | \$6,253 |
| 143 | PITTSYLVANIA | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1995-2008 | 98% | 54,512 | 4 | \$2,180 | \$0 |
| 143 | PITTSYLVANIA | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 165,359 | 4 | \$6,614 | \$0 |
| 145 | POWHATAN | FY07 | Mid | ILS | backfile | 1976-2006 | 99% | 553,631 | 3 | \$0 | \$16,609 |
| 145 | POWHATAN | FY08 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1976-2007 | 99% | 605,000 | 3 | \$18,150 | \$0 |
| 145 | POWHATAN | FY09 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1976-2008 | 99% | 627,243 | 3 | \$18,818 | \$0 |
| 147 | PRINCE EDWARD | FY07 | Mid | ILS | backfile | 1941-2006 | 99% | 324,452 | 3 | \$0 | \$9,734 |
| 147 | PRINCE EDWARD | FY08 | Budget | ILS | dayforward | 2007 | 99% | 23,700 | 3 | \$0 | \$711 |
| 149 | PRINCE GEORGE | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1996-2007 | 95+% | 395,299 | 4 | \$15,812 | \$0 |
| 149 | PRINCE GEORGE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1977-1995 | 98% | 25,003 | 4 | \$1,000 | \$0 |
| 149 | PRINCE GEORGE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | 2008-2009 | 98% | 49,367 | 4 | \$1,975 | \$0 |
| 153 | PRINCE WILLIAM | | | | | | | | | | |
| 155 | PULASKI | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2007-2008 | 98% | 15,852 | 4 | \$0 | \$634 |
| 155 | PULASKI | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 59,960 | 4 | \$0 | \$2,999 |
| 157 | RAPPAHANNOCK | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1987-2007 | 95+% | 201,724 | 4 | \$8,069 | \$0 |
| 157 | RAPPAHANNOCK | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1935-1986 | 98% | 143,058 | 4 | \$4,527 | \$0 |
| 157 | RAPPAHANNOCK | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | 2008-2009 | 98% | 14,215 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,764 |
| 159 | RICHMOND COUNTY | FY07 | Mid | ILS | backfile | 1935-2006 | 99% | 192,823 | 3 | \$0 | \$5,785 |
| 161 | ROANOKE COUNTY | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2001-2007 | 95+% | 1,019,239 | 4 | \$40,769 | \$0 |
| 161 | ROANOKE COUNTY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | ??? | 98% | 78,703 | 4 | \$3,148 | \$0 |
| 161 | ROANOKE COUNTY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 171,931 | 4 | \$6,877 | \$0 |
| 163 | ROCKBRIDGE | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1992-2007 | 95+% | 436,773 | 4 | \$17,471 | \$0 |
| 163 | ROCKBRIDGE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1992-2008 | 98% | 19,236 | 4 | \$770 | \$0 |
| 163 | ROCK | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 40,241 | 4 | \$1,610 | \$0 |
| 165 | ROCKINGHAM | FY08 | Mid | ACS | backfile | 1944-2008 | 99% | 2,649,374 | 3.53 | \$93,403 | \$0 |
| 167 | RUSSELL | FY07 | Mid | ILS | backfile | ???? | 99% | 516,134 | 3 | \$0 | \$15,484 |
| 167 | RUSSELL | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 33,000 | 4 | \$1,320 | \$0 |
| 169 | SCOTT | FY08 | Budget | BIS | backfile | 1985-2007 | high 90s% | 527,815 | 3 | \$7,535 | \$8,300 |
| 171 | SHENANDOAH | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1999-2007 | 95+% | 579,338 | 4 | \$23,174 | \$0 |
| 171 | SHENANDOAH | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1975-2008 | 98% | 52,870 | 4 | \$2,115 | \$0 |
| 171 | SHENANDOAH | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 97,020 | 4 | \$3,881 | \$0 |
| 173 | SMYTH | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1990-2007 | 95+% | 153,369 | 4 | \$0 | \$6,135 |
| 173 | SMYTH | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1990-2008 | 98% | 82,838 | 4 | \$0 | \$3,314 |
| 173 | SMYTH | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 154,733 | 4 | \$6,189 | \$0 |
| 175 | SOUTHAMPTON | FY08 | Mid | SCV | backfile | 1953-2007 | 95+% | 631,236 | 4 | \$0 | \$25,249 |
| 175 | SOUTHAMPTON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1953-2008 | 98% | 20,038 | 4 | \$34 | \$0 |
| 175 | SOUTHAMPTON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 40,866 | 4 | \$0 | \$2,402 |
| 177 | SPOTSYLVANIA | | | | | | | | | | |
| 179 | STAFFORD | FY07 | Mid | Logan | backfile | 1996-2007 | 99% | 3,418,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$134,093 |

FY08 TTF Progress Report

| FIPS | Locality | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|------------------|------|---------|--------|------------|---------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----------|-----------|
| 179 | STAFFORD | FY09 | Budget | Logan | backfile | FY08 | 99% | 164,600 | 3.8 | \$6,255 | \$0 |
| 179 | STAFFORD | FY09 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY09 | 99% | 187,700 | 3.8 | \$7,132 | \$0 |
| 181 | SURRY | FY07 | Mid | Logan | backfile | 1967-2007 | 99% | 189,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$7,560 |
| 183 | SUSSEX | | | | | | | | | | |
| 185 | TAZEWELL | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1984-2007 | 95+% | 808,892 | 4 | \$0 | \$32,355 |
| 185 | TAZEWELL | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1984-2008 | 98% | 37,077 | 4 | \$1,483 | \$0 |
| 185 | TAZEWELL | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 73,051 | 4 | \$2,922 | \$0 |
| 187 | WARREN | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1994-2007 | 95+% | 812,655 | 4 | \$32,507 | \$0 |
| 187 | WARREN | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1994-2008 | 98% | 37,805 | 4 | \$1,512 | \$0 |
| 187 | WARREN | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 79,397 | 4 | \$3,176 | \$0 |
| 191 | WASHINGTON | FY08 | Bud/Mid | SCV | backfile | 1984-2007 | 95+% | 679,325 | 4 | \$27,173 | \$0 |
| 191 | WASHINGTON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1968-1983 | 98% | 376,717 | 4 | \$15,089 | \$0 |
| 191 | WASHINGTON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | 2008-2009 | 98% | 427,546 | 4 | \$17,102 | \$0 |
| 193 | WESTMORELAND | FY08 | Budget | M&W | backfile | 1970-2007 | 98% | 390,000 | 4 | \$15,600 | \$0 |
| 193 | WESTMORELAND | FY09 | Budget | Cott | backfile | 2002-2005 | 95-99% | 245,246 | 3.25 | \$0 | \$7,970 |
| 193 | WESTMORELAND | FY09 | Budget | TBD | dayforward | FY09 | 95-99% | 140,144 | 4 | \$0 | \$5,606 |
| 195 | WISE | FY07 | Mid | Mixnet | backfile | 1945-2007 | 98% | 854,501 | 4 | \$0 | \$34,180 |
| 197 | WYTHE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1997-2007 | 98% | 418,422 | 4 | \$0 | \$16,737 |
| 197 | WYTHE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | 2008-2009 | 98% | 48,681 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,948 |
| 199 | YORK | FY08 | Budget | Logan | backfile | 1935-2007 | 99% | 2,230,000 | 4 | \$87,970 | \$0 |
| 199 | YORK | FY08 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY08 | 99% | 280,000 | 2.5 | \$7,000 | \$0 |
| 199 | YORK | FY09 | Budget | County | backfile | 1935-2008 | 95+% | 2,387,000 | 4 | \$95,480 | \$0 |
| 199 | YORK | FY09 | Budget | County | dayforward | FY09 | 95+% | 147,750 | 4 | \$5,910 | \$0 |
| 510 | ALEXANDRIA | FY08 | Mid | SCV | backfile | 2003-2007 | 95+% | 4,400,687 | 4 | \$176,028 | \$0 |
| 510 | ALEXANDRIA | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1970-2008 (Wills Only) | 98% | 3,617,690 | 3.35 | \$121,193 | \$0 |
| 510 | ALEXANDRIA | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 (Not Wills) | 98% | 219,539 | 4 | \$8,782 | \$0 |
| 510 | ALEXANDRIA | FY09 | Budget | CSI | backfile | 2003-2008 (Not Wills) | 99% | 2,000,000 | 3.55 | \$71,000 | \$0 |
| 510 | ALEXANDRIA | FY09 | Budget | CSI | dayforward | FY09 (Not Wills) | 99% | 250,000 | 3.55 | \$43,605 | \$0 |
| 520 | BRISTOL | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1974-2007 | 95+% | 146,198 | 4 | \$5,848 | \$0 |
| 520 | BRISTOL | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1974-2008 | 98% | 12,082 | 4 | \$483 | \$0 |
| 520 | BRISTOL | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 24,658 | 4 | \$987 | \$0 |
| 530 | BUENA VISTA | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2001-2007 | 95+% | 55,226 | 4 | \$0 | \$2,209 |
| 530 | BUENA VISTA | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1995-2008 | 98% | 8,057 | 4 | \$543 | \$0 |
| 530 | BUENA VISTA | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 21,191 | 4 | \$0 | \$627 |
| 540 | CHARLOTTESVILLE | FY09 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1965-2008 | 99% | 876,500 | 3 | \$26,295 | \$0 |
| 550 | CHESAPEAKE | FY07 | Mid | Logan | backfile | 1935-2007 | 99% | 5,817,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$226,425 |
| 550 | CHESAPEAKE | FY08 | Budget | Logan | backfile | 1935-2007 | 99% | 5,817,000 | 4 | \$226,425 | \$0 |
| 550 | CHESAPEAKE | FY08 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY08 | 99% | 540,000 | 1.5 | \$8,100 | \$0 |
| 550 | CHESAPEAKE | FY09 | Budget | Logan | dayforward | FY09 | 99% | 453,000 | 3.5 | \$7,315 | \$0 |
| 570 | COLONIAL HEIGHTS | FY07 | Mid | AmCad | backfile | 1948-2007 | 96% | 400,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$16,000 |
| 590 | DANVILLE | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1981-2007 | 95+% | 731,788 | 4 | \$29,271 | \$0 |
| 590 | DANVILLE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2008 | 98% | 170,340 | 4 | \$6,814 | \$0 |
| 590 | DANVILLE | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 59,706 | 4 | \$2,388 | \$0 |
| 630 | FREDERICKSBURG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2008 | 98% | 12,805 | 4 | \$0 | \$512 |
| 630 | FREDERICKSBURG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2008 | 98% | 250,291 | 3.35 | \$0 | \$8,385 |
| 630 | FREDERICKSBURG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 29,187 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,168 |
| 650 | HAMPTON | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1983-2007 | 95+% | 2,301,775 | 4 | \$92,071 | \$0 |
| 650 | HAMPTON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1983-2008 | 98% | 125,377 | 4 | \$5,015 | \$0 |
| 650 | HAMPTON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 240,145 | 4 | \$9,606 | \$0 |
| 670 | HOPEWELL | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1984-2007 | 95+% | 416,366 | 4 | \$16,655 | \$0 |
| 670 | HOPEWELL | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1984-2008 | 98% | 14,328 | 4 | \$573 | \$0 |
| 670 | HOPEWELL | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 29,738 | 4 | \$1,190 | \$0 |
| 680 | LYNCHBURG | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1985-2007 | 95+% | 1,288,718 | 4 | \$41,280 | \$10,269 |
| 680 | LYNCHBURG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1978-2008 | 98% | 52,564 | 4 | \$2,103 | \$0 |
| 680 | LYNCHBURG | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 117,862 | 4 | \$4,714 | \$0 |
| 690 | MARTINSVILLE | FY08 | Budget | City | backfile | 1942-2007 | 99% | 757,331 | 4 | \$0 | \$30,293 |

FY08 TTF Progress Report

| FIPS | Locality | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|----------------|------|--------|-------|------------|------------|--------|--------------------|------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 700 | NEWPORT NEWS | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1985-2007 | 95+% | 550,000 | 4 | \$22,000 | \$0 |
| 700 | NEWPORT NEWS | FY08 | Mid | SCV | backfile | 1982-2007 | 95+% | 2,926,080 | 2 | \$36,814 | \$0 |
| 700 | NEWPORT NEWS | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1982-2008 | 98% | 62,857 | 2.03 | \$1,276 | \$0 |
| 710 | NORFOLK | | | | | | | | | | |
| 730 | PETERSBURG | FY08 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1970-2007 | 99% | 551,440 | 3 | \$15,895 | \$648 |
| 730 | PETERSBURG | FY08 | Budget | ILS | backfile | 1970-2008 | 99% | 564,370 | 3 | \$16,931 | \$0 |
| 740 | PORTSMOUTH | FY08 | Mid | SCV | backfile | 1984-2007 | 95+% | 2,067,766 | 4 | \$0 | \$82,711 |
| 740 | PORTSMOUTH | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1970-2008 | 98% | 198,005 | 4 | \$7,921 | \$0 |
| 740 | PORTSMOUTH | FY09 | Budget | SCV | Dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 380,422 | 4 | \$15,217 | \$0 |
| 750 | RADFORD | FY07 | Mid | ILS | backfile | 1985-2006 | 99% | 159,219 | 3 | \$0 | \$4,777 |
| 760 | RICHMOND CITY | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2007 | 95+% | 3,580,688 | 4 | \$143,228 | \$0 |
| 760 | RICHMOND CITY | FY08 | Budget | Cott | backfile | 1935-1993 | 95-99% | 2,214,555 | 3.25 | \$71,973 | \$0 |
| 760 | RICHMOND CITY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1993-2008 | 98% | 3,793,156 | 3.35 | \$127,071 | \$0 |
| 760 | RICHMOND CITY | FY09 | Budget | Cott | backfile | 1935-1993 | 98% | 2,214,555 | 3.36 | \$74,473 | \$0 |
| 760 | RICHMOND CITY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 494,808 | 4 | \$19,792 | \$0 |
| 770 | ROANOKE CITY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1987-2008 | 98% | 1,685,008 | 3.35 | \$56,448 | \$0 |
| 770 | ROANOKE CITY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | Apr-08 | 98% | 96,317 | 4 | \$3,853 | \$0 |
| 770 | ROANOKE CITY | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | Apr08-FY09 | 98% | 190,953 | 4 | \$7,638 | \$0 |
| 775 | SALEM | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 2002-2007 | 95+% | 223,481 | 4 | \$8,940 | \$0 |
| 775 | SALEM | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1992-2008 | 98% | 18,417 | 4 | \$737 | \$0 |
| 775 | SALEM | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 37,602 | 4 | \$1,504 | \$0 |
| 790 | STAUNTON | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1954-2007 | 95+% | 577,318 | 4 | \$0 | \$23,093 |
| 790 | STAUNTON | FY08 | Mid | SCV | backfile | 50 years | 95+% | 111,213 | 4 | \$0 | \$4,449 |
| 790 | STAUNTON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1986-2008 | 98% | 181,443 | 4 | \$0 | \$7,258 |
| 790 | STAUNTON | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 83,072 | 4 | \$0 | \$3,323 |
| 800 | SUFFOLK | FY07 | Mid | AmCad | backfile | 1974-2007 | 96% | 2,189,820 | 4 | \$0 | \$87,593 |
| 800 | SUFFOLK | FY08 | Mid | AmCad | dayforward | FY08 | 95% | 300,000 | 4 | \$0 | \$12,000 |
| 810 | VIRGINIA BEACH | FY07 | Mid | AmCad | backfile | 1981-2007 | 95% | 11,381,468 | 4 | \$0 | \$455,259 |
| 820 | WAYNESBORO | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1977-2007 | 95+% | 311,882 | 4 | \$12,475 | \$0 |
| 820 | WAYNESBORO | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 80,055 | 4 | \$3,203 | \$0 |
| 840 | WINCHESTER | FY08 | Budget | SCV | backfile | 1983-2007 | 95+% | 447,355 | 4 | \$0 | \$17,8974 |
| 840 | WINCHESTER | FY09 | Budget | SCV | Backfile | 1983-2008 | 98% | 19,999 | 4 | \$800 | \$0 |
| 840 | WINCHESTER | FY09 | Budget | SCV | dayforward | FY09 | 98% | 41,622 | 4 | \$1,664 | \$0 |
| | TOTAL | | | | | | | 176,586,022 | | \$4,419,229 | \$1,917,228 |

AmCad – American Cadastre
 CSI – Computing Systems Innovations
 Logan – Logan Systems
 SCV – Supreme Court of Virginia

BIS – Business Information Systems
 DTS – Document Technology Systems
 Mixnet – Mixnet Corporation

Cott – Cott Systems
 ILS – International Land Systems
 M&W – M&W Printers

Approvals for Redaction FY07 to FY09

| Fiscal Year | | | |
|-------------|-----|-------------|-------------|
| FY07 | 25 | 34,673,691 | \$1,322,868 |
| FY08 | 90 | 89,026,278 | \$3,140,462 |
| FY09 | 88 | 52,886,053 | \$1,873,127 |
| All Years | 111 | 176,586,022 | \$6,336,457 |

FY08 TTF Progress Report

In FY07, 25 requests for back-file redaction were approved for 25 Clerks who certified to currently providing SRA to land records. All approvals were for the method of OCR plus one manual pass (OCR+1X) with one exception. The total number of images approved for redaction was 34,673,691. Approvals for redaction in FY07 totaled \$1,322,868 (\$45,501 in \$4 money and \$1,277,367 from the \$1 Fund).

In FY08, 106 requests for redaction (back file and day forward) were approved for 90 Clerks. All approvals were for the method of OCR plus one manual pass with one exception. The total number of images approved for redaction in FY08 was 89,026,278. Approvals for redaction services in FY08 totaled \$3,140,462 (\$2,656,509 in \$4 money and \$483,953 from the \$1 Fund).

In FY09, as of November 1, 2008, 172 requests for SSN redaction (back file and day forward) were approved for 88 Clerks. All approvals were for the method of OCR plus one manual pass. The Compensation Board approved redaction in which the vendor will run the images through the OCR software and then perform the manual review. The Board also approved redaction in which the Clerk will purchase the OCR software only and perform the manual review in-house. To the date of this report, the total number of images funded for redaction in FY09 is 52,886,053. Approvals for redaction services in FY09 totaled \$1,873,127 (\$1,717,219 in \$4 money and \$155,908 from the \$1 Fund).

Total Approvals for SSN Redaction

| FY07 – FY09 | Number of Images | \$4 Money | \$1 Fund | TOTAL |
|------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 303 Approvals for 111 Clerks | 176,586,022 | \$4,419,229 | \$1,917,228 | \$6,336,457 |

Note: Nine Clerks have not made a redaction request from TTF \$4 money or the \$1 Fund (highlighted in gray). Data presented is for redaction approvals by the Compensation Board and not for redaction money expended by Clerks. Therefore, the number of images and approved funding amounts listed could be duplicative (i.e., a Clerk may not expend funds in one fiscal year; those funds would carry over and the Clerk may re-request the same funds for the same images).