

CIVIL NON-JURY TRIAL OR MOTION HEARING
MINUTE SHEET

DATE: February 24, 2009

United States District Court	Eastern District of Virginia - Richmond Division
CASE TITLE Betty J. Ostergren Robert J. McDonnell, in his Official Capacity as Attorney General	CASE NO: 3:08CV00362 JUDGE: Payne COURT REPORTER: Peppy Straker

FILED

FEB 24 2009

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
RICHMOND, VA

MATTER COMES ON FOR: BENCH TRIAL () MOTION HEARING (X) OTHER: _____

APPEARANCES: Parties by (X) with (X) counsel Pro Se ()

MOTIONS BEFORE TRIAL: Arguments had on Plaintiff's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction. Motion taken under advisement by the court.

TRIAL PROCEEDINGS:

WITNESSES EXCLUDED ON MOTION OF: PLAINTIFF(S) () DEFENDANT(S) () COURT ()

OPENING STATEMENTS MADE () OPENING WAIVED ()

PLAINTIFF(S) ADDUCED EVIDENCE () RESTED () MOTION () _____

DEFENDANT(S) ADDUCED EVIDENCE () RESTED () MOTION () _____

REBUTTAL EVIDENCE ADDUCED () SUR-REBUTTAL EVIDENCE ADDUCED ()

EVIDENCE CONCLUDED () ARGUMENTS OF COUNSEL HEARD ()

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW STATED FROM THE BENCH ()

MATTER TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT BY THE COURT ()

COURT FOUND IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF(S) () MONETARY AWARD \$ _____

COURT FOUND IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT(S) () _____

CLERK TO ENTER JUDGMENT ON DECISION () TRIAL EXHIBITS RETURNED TO COUNSEL ()

CASE CONTINUED UNTIL _____ AT _____ .M. FOR _____

ADDITIONAL NOTATIONS: _____

Counsel for Plaintiff(s) Rebecca K. Glomburg, Esq.

Frank M. Feinbloom, Esq.

Counsel for Defendant(s) James V. Ingold, Esq.

SET: 10:30 a.m. BEGAN: 10:45 a.m. ENDED: 2:15 p.m. TIME IN COURT: 2 hrs. 15 mins.

RECESSES:

2883858800001888 CF588801099
Hanover County Circuit Court
5/8/2003 3:32:00 PM FS TERM

UCC FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT
FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS (front and back) CAREFULLY

TERMINATED
File No. 1099(98)
Date 5/8/03 Time 3:32 PM
Circuit Court Clerk's Office
Hanover County Va.
Frank D. Haigrove, JR.
By [Signature]

A. NAME & PHONE OF CONTACT AT FILER (optional)
1-888-427-8713

B. SEND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO: (Name and Address)

JOHN DEERE CREDIT
UCC DEPARTMENT
P.O. BOX 6630
JOHNSTON, IA 50131-9982

THE ABOVE SPACE IS FOR FILING OFFICE USE ONLY

1a. INITIAL FINANCING STATEMENT FILE #
FILE NBR: 1099-98 **DATE: 01DEC98**

1b. This FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT is to be filed (for record) (or recorded) in the REAL ESTATE RECORDS.

2. **TERMINATION:** Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above is terminated with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Termination Statement.

3. **CONTINUATION:** Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Continuation Statement is continued for the additional period provided by applicable law.

4. **ASSIGNMENT (full or partial):** Give name of assignee in item 7a or 7b and address of assignee in item 7c; and also give name of assignor in item 8.

5. **AMENDMENT (PARTY INFORMATION):** This Amendment affects Debtor or Secured Party of record. Check only one of these two boxes.

Also check one of the following boxes and provide appropriate information in items 6 and/or 7.

CHANGE name and/or address: Give current record name in item 6a or 6b; also give new name (if name change) in item 7a or 7b and/or new address (if address change) in item 7c. **DELETE name:** Give record name to be deleted in item 6a or 6b. **ADD name:** Completed item 7a or 7b, and also item 7c; also completed items 7d - 7g (if applicable)

6. **CURRENT RECORD INFORMATION:**

6a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

6b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME PRINCE	FIRST NAME SANDRA W	MIDDLE NAME	SUFFIX
---	-------------------------------	-------------	--------

7. **CHANGED (NEW) OR ADDED INFORMATION:**

7a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

7b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME	SUFFIX
----------------------------	------------	-------------	--------

7c. MAILING ADDRESS

4246 ARMSTRONG DR	CITY MECHANICSVILL	STATE VA	POSTAL CODE 23111	COUNTRY USA
--------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------

7d. TAX ID #, SSN OR EIN

7e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION
ORGANIZATION
DEBTOR

7f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION

7g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any

NONE

8. **AMENDMENT (COLLATERAL CHANGE):** check only one box.
Describe collateral deleted or added, or give entire restated collateral description, or describe collateral assigned.

9. **NAME OF SECURED PARTY OF RECORD AUTHORIZING THIS AMENDMENT** (name of assignor, if this is an Assignment). If this is an Amendment authorized by a Debtor which adds collateral or adds the authorizing Debtor, or if this is a Termination authorized by a Debtor, check here and enter name of DEBTOR authorizing this Amendment.

9a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME
DEERE & COMPANY

OR

9b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME	SUFFIX
----------------------------	------------	-------------	--------

10. **OPTIONAL FILER REFERENCE DATA**

VA [REDACTED] 7915 AA 02MAY03 HANOVER le

20021008000000000000 CF598800000
Hanover County Circuit Court
10/8/2002 11:20:00 AM F6 TERM



UCC FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS (front and back) CAREFULLY

A. NAME & PHONE OF CONTACT AT FILER (optional)
1-888-427-8713

B. SEND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO: (Name and Address)

JOHN DEERE CREDIT
UCC DEPARTMENT
P.O. BOX 6630
JOHNSTON, IA 50131-9982

TERMINATED

File No. 386 (99)

Date 10/8/02 Time 11:20 Am

Circuit Court Clerk's Office
Hanover County Va.

Frank D. Hargrove, JR.

By [Signature]

THE ABOVE SPACE IS FOR FILING OFFICE USE ONLY

1a. INITIAL FINANCING STATEMENT FILE #
FILE NBR: 386-99 DATE: 07APR99 BK CMSG

1b. This FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT is to be filed (for record) (or recorded) in the REAL ESTATE RECORDS.

2. TERMINATION: Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above is terminated with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Termination Statement.

3. CONTINUATION: Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Continuation Statement is continued for the additional period provided by applicable law.

4. ASSIGNMENT (full or partial): Give name of assignee in item 7a or 7b and address of assignee in item 7c; and also give name of assignor in item 9.

5. AMENDMENT (PARTY INFORMATION): This Amendment affects Debtor or Secured Party of record. Check only one of these two boxes.

Also check one of the following boxes and provide appropriate information in items 6 and/or 7.

CHANGE name and/or address: Give current record name in item 6a or 6b; also give new name (if name change) in item 7a or 7b and/or new address (if address change) in item 7c. DELETE name: Give record name to be deleted in item 6a or 6b. ADD name: Completed (item 7a or 7b, and also item 7c; also completed items 7d - 7g (if applicable))

6. CURRENT RECORD INFORMATION:

6a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

6b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME: **EVERETTE JR** FIRST NAME: **THOMAS M** MIDDLE NAME: SUFFIX:

7. CHANGED (NEW) OR ADDED INFORMATION:

7a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

7b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME SUFFIX

7c. MAILING ADDRESS: **5149 POLE GREEN RD** CITY: **MECHANICSVILLE** STATE: **VA** POSTAL CODE: **23116** COUNTRY: **USA**

7d. TAX ID #: SSN OR EIN ADDL. INFO RE ORGANIZATION DEBTOR 7e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION 7f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION 7g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any NONE

8. AMENDMENT (COLLATERAL CHANGE): check only one box.
Describe collateral: deleted or added, or give entire restated collateral description, or describe collateral assigned.

9. NAME OF SECURED PARTY OF RECORD AUTHORIZING THIS AMENDMENT (name of assignor, if this is an Assignment). If this is an Amendment authorized by a Debtor which adds collateral or adds the authorizing Debtor, or if this is a Termination authorized by a Debtor, check here and enter name of DEBTOR authorizing this Amendment.

9a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME: **DEERE & COMPANY**

OR

9b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME SUFFIX

10. OPTIONAL FILER REFERENCE DATA: **VA [REDACTED] AA 01OCT02 HANOVER**

20021128000000110 CFS97800854
Hanover County Circuit Court
11/28/2002 10:00:00 AM F5 TERM

TERMINATED

File No. 854 (97)
Date 11/26/02 Time 10:00 AM

Circuit Court Clerk's Office
Hanover County Va.
Frank D. Hargrove, JR.

By Philly Hamway DC

021105 7078-7

UCC FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS (front and back) CAREFULLY

A. NAME & PHONE OF CONTACT AT FILER (optional) 1-888-427-8713
B. SEND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO : (Name and Address) JOHN DEERE CREDIT UCC DEPARTMENT P.O. BOX 6630 JOHNSTON, IA 50131-9982

THE ABOVE SPACE IS FOR FILING OFFICE USE ONLY

1a. INITIAL FINANCING STATEMENT FILE #
FILE NBR: 854-97 **DATE: 28OCT97** 1b. This FINANCING STATEMENT AMENDMENT is to be filed (for record) (or recorded) in the REAL ESTATE RECORDS.

2. **TERMINATION:** Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above is terminated with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Termination Statement.

3. **CONTINUATION:** Effectiveness of the Financing Statement identified above with respect to security interest(s) of the Secured Party authorizing this Continuation Statement is continued for the additional period provided by applicable law.

4. **ASSIGNMENT (full or part):** Give name of assignee in item 7a or 7b and address of assignee in item 7c; and also give name of assignor in item 8.

5. **AMENDMENT (PARTY INFORMATION):** This Amendment affects Debtor or Secured Party of record. Check only one of these two boxes.
 Also check one of the following boxes and provide appropriate information in items 6 and/or 7.
 CHANGE name and/or address: Give current record name in item 6a (or 6b); also give new name (if name change) in item 7a or 7b and/or new address (if address change) in item 7c. **DELETE** name: Give record name to be deleted in item 6a or 6b. **ADD** name: Completed item 7a or 7b, and also item 7c; also completed items 7d - 7g (if applicable)

6. **CURRENT RECORD INFORMATION:**

6a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

6b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME DEAN	FIRST NAME ROBERT M	MIDDLE NAME	SUFFIX
---	-------------------------------	-------------	--------

7. **CHANGED (NEW) OR ADDED INFORMATION:**

7a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

7b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME	SUFFIX
----------------------------	------------	-------------	--------

7c. MAILING ADDRESS

20230 ALPS DR	CITY MILFORD	STATE VA	POSTAL CODE 22514	COUNTRY USA
---------------	------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------

7d. TAX ID #: SSN OR EIN	ADD'L INFO RE ORGANIZATION DEBTOR	7e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION	7f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION	7g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE

8. **AMENDMENT (COLLATERAL CHANGE):** check only one box.
 Describe collateral deleted or added, or give entire restated collateral description, or describe collateral assigned.

9. **NAME OF SECURED PARTY OF RECORD AUTHORIZING THIS AMENDMENT** (name of assignor, if this is an Assignment). If this is an Amendment authorized by a Debtor which adds collateral or adds the authorizing Debtor, or if this is a Termination authorized by a Debtor, check here and enter name of DEBTOR authorizing this Amendment.

9a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME
DEERE & COMPANY

OR

9b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME	SUFFIX
----------------------------	------------	-------------	--------

10. **OPTIONAL FILER REFERENCE DATA**
VA [REDACTED] 213 AA 02NOV02 HANOVER

CTY# YEAR UCC #
033200202065

Filed and Recorded Mar-01-2002 02:06pm

UCC FINANCING STATEMENT

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS (front and back) CAREFULLY

J.C. Stephenson
Jay C. Stephenson

Clerk of Superior Court Cobb Cty. Ga.

A. NAME & PHONE OF CONTACT AT FILER (optional)

B. SEND ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO: (Name and Address)

First Georgia loan Company
P.O. Box 37
Douglasville, Ga. 30133

10.00

THE ABOVE SPACE IS FOR FILING OFFICE USE ONLY

1. DEBTOR'S EXACT FULL LEGAL NAME - Insert only one debtor name (1a or 1b) - do not abbreviate or combine names

1a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

1b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME: Costley
FIRST NAME: Michael
MIDDLE NAME: A.
SUFFIX:

1c. MAILING ADDRESS: 6215 Lenox Lane
CITY: Mableton
STATE: Ga
POSTAL CODE: 30126
COUNTRY: USA

1d. TAX ID #: SSN OR EIN: -0445
ADD'L INFO RE ORGANIZATION DEBTOR
1e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION
1f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION
1g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any
 NONE

2. ADDITIONAL DEBTOR'S EXACT FULL LEGAL NAME - Insert only one debtor name (2a or 2b) - do not abbreviate or combine names

2a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

2b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME: Costley
FIRST NAME: Joy
MIDDLE NAME: A.
SUFFIX:

2c. MAILING ADDRESS: 6215 Lenox Lane
CITY: Mableton
STATE: Ga
POSTAL CODE: 30126
COUNTRY: USA

2d. TAX ID #: SSN OR EIN: -0067
ADD'L INFO RE ORGANIZATION DEBTOR
2e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION
2f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION
2g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any
 NONE

3. SECURED PARTY'S NAME (or NAME of TOTAL ASSIGNEE of ASSIGNOR S/P) - Insert only one secured party name (3a or 3b)

3a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME: First Georgia Loan Company

OR

3b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME
FIRST NAME
MIDDLE NAME
SUFFIX:

3c. MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 37
CITY: Douglasville
STATE: Ga
POSTAL CODE: 30133
COUNTRY: USA

4. This FINANCING STATEMENT covers the following collateral:

1973 Little Dude Boat ID# EJ05139

3:08CV00362
Betty J. Ostagren
v.
Robert F. McDonnell

5. ALTERNATIVE DESIGNATION (if applicable): LESSEE/LESSOR CONSIGNEE/CONSIGNOR BAILEE/BAILOR SELLER/BUYER AG. LIEN NON-UCC FILING


6. This FINANCING STATEMENT is to be filed (for record) (or recorded) in the REAL ESTATE RECORDS. Attach Addendum if applicable. 7. Check to REQUEST SEARCH REPORT(S) on Debtor(s) (ADDITIONAL FEE) (optional) All Debtors Debtor 1 Debtor 2

8. OPTIONAL FILER REFERENCE DATA

FILING OFFICE COPY - NATIONAL UCC FINANCING STATEMENT

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
2
3:08CV00362

CLYDE CASTLEBERRY COMPANY
CONVINCEN, GA 30015
(770) 702-1000 (800) 722-1250



Welcome to RootsWeb.com [Sign In](#)



[DISCOVER MORE >](#)

- [Home](#)
- [Searches](#)
- [Family Trees](#)
- [Mailing Lists](#)
- [Message Boards](#)
- [Web Sites](#)
- [Passwords](#)
- [Help](#)

Search For Your Ancestors

First Name

Last Name

STATE

Social Security Death Index Search Results

83,122,831 Records
last updated on 11-18-2008

The key to your research
[Join Ancestry.com Today!](#)

The most full-featured SSDI search engine on the internet

Field	Value	Records	Results
Last Name	WINNICK	309	309
First Name	DAVID	419623	4

Viewing 1-4 of 4

Name	Birth	Death	Last Residence	Last Benefit	SSN	Issued	Tools	Order Record?
DAVID WINNICK	1913	May 1986	02167 (Chestnut Hill, Middlesex, MA)	(none specified)	[REDACTED]-3858	Massachusetts	SS-5 Letter Add Post-em Search Ancestry.com	[V]
DAVID WINNICK	1891	Jan 1965	(New York)	(none specified)	[REDACTED]-5235	New York	SS-5 Letter Add Post-em Search Ancestry.com	[V]
DAVID WINNICK	1914	30 Apr 1994	46835 (Fort Wayne, Allen, IN)	(none specified)	[REDACTED]1950	Illinois	SS-5 Letter Add Post-em Search Ancestry.com	[V]
DAVID WINNICK	1913	Jul 1987	77042 (Houston, Harris, TX)	(none specified)	[REDACTED]-9507	Minnesota	SS-5 Letter Add Post-em Search Ancestry.com	[V]

Viewing 1-4 of 4

(V)=(Verified) Report verified with a family member or someone acting on behalf of a family member.
(P)=(Proof) Death Certificate Observed.

Last name Exact

First Name

Middle Name (initial)

SSN

Looking for your ancestors?
Just say "aah."

[Learn More](#)

CPU seconds used



Betty J. Ostergren
v.
Robert F. McDonnell



Technology Trust Fund

FY08 TTF PROGRESS REPORT

12-01-08

Compensation Board & Circuit Court Clerks

3:08CV00362
Betty J. Ostergren
v.
Robert F. McDonnell

Plaintiff's
Ex. 4

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT
4
08CV00362

FY08 TTF Progress Report

INTRODUCTION.....	1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	5
FY08 FINANCIAL STATEMENT.....	8
CLERKS' COLLECTIONS AND EXPENDITURES FROM FY97 TO FY08.....	8
CLERKS' COLLECTIONS FROM FY97 TO FY08.....	9
CLERKS' EXPENDITURES FROM FY98 THROUGH FY08.....	9
FY08 TTF EXPENDITURES.....	10
TOTAL TTF EXPENDITURES FROM FY98 TO FY08.....	11
SRA CERTIFICATION FOR \$1 FUND CARRYOVER.....	12
PERCENTAGE OF CLERKS EXPENDING ALL, SOME, OR NONE OF \$1 FUND BUDGETS IN FY08.....	13
PERCENTAGE OF CLERKS WITH CARRYOVER / NON-CARRYOVER OF UNEXPENDED \$1 FUND.....	14
FY08 TTF PROGRESS SURVEY.....	15
CLERKS REPORTING WEBSITE THAT PROVIDES SRA TO LAND RECORDS' IMAGES.....	15
PERCENTAGE OF CLERKS REPORTING WEBSITE THAT PROVIDES SRA TO LAND RECORDS' IMAGES.....	16
NUMBER OF SRA WEBSITES HOSTED BY EACH TECHNOLOGY VENDOR.....	16
WEBSITE ADDRESSES THAT PROVIDE SECURE REMOTE ACCESS.....	17
ELECTRONIC INDICES AND IMAGES.....	18
ELECTRONIC INDICES AND IMAGES.....	18
AVERAGE YEAR OF CONTINUOUS YEARS OF ELECTRONIC INDICES AND IMAGES.....	19
OLDEST CONTINUOUS YEAR OF ELECTRONIC INDICES AND IMAGES REPORTED BY CLERKS.....	20
ONSITE AND REMOTE ACCESS TO ELECTRONIC INDICES AND IMAGES.....	21
TYPES OF ELECTRONIC IMAGES E-FILED.....	21
PERCENTAGE OF CLERKS REPORTING TO PROVIDE ONSITE ACCESS TO ELECTRONIC LAND RECORDS.....	22
PERCENTAGE OF CLERKS REPORTING TO PROVIDE REMOTE ACCESS TO ELECTRONIC LAND RECORDS.....	22
NUMBER OF LAND RECORDS IMAGES AVAILABLE ONSITE AND THROUGH SRA.....	23
DATE CLERKS PROVIDED SRA TO LAND RECORDS' IMAGES.....	24
SUBSCRIPTION FEES FOR SRA.....	25
NUMBER OF PAID SUBSCRIBERS FOR SRA TO LAND RECORDS' IMAGES.....	26
SRA PUBLICITY BY CLERKS.....	27
NO SRA PUBLICITY.....	27
AUTOMATED SYSTEMS LINKED TO LAND RECORDS SYSTEM.....	29
CLERKS CONTRACTING WITH TECHNOLOGY VENDORS FOR SPECIFIC AREAS.....	30
NUMBER OF CLERKS CONTRACTING WITH EACH TECHNOLOGY VENDOR.....	31
CLERKS REDACTING SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS.....	31
COST PER IMAGE AND METHOD OF REDACTION.....	31
IN-HOUSE REDACTION PROGRAMS.....	32
SPAN OF YEARS FOR REDACTION IN FY08.....	32
FY09 SECURE REMOTE ACCESS CERTIFICATION.....	33
FY09 VITA SRA STANDARDS CERTIFICATION.....	34
\$4 APPROVALS FOR AREAS OF THE COURT NOT RELATED TO LAND RECORDS.....	35
FY07 \$4 APPROVALS FOR THE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL DIVISIONS.....	35
FY08 \$4 APPROVALS FOR AREAS OF THE COURT NOT RELATED TO LAND RECORDS.....	36
FY09 \$4 APPROVALS FOR AREAS OF THE COURT NOT RELATED TO LAND RECORDS.....	37
PURPOSE CODE F APPROVALS FROM FY07 TO FY09.....	38
TOTAL PURPOSE CODE F APPROVALS.....	38
\$4 AVAILABLE BALANCE AND CLERKS' TOTAL APPROVALS AND EXPENDITURES.....	39

FY08 TTF Progress Report

APPROVALS FOR \$4 EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES REQUESTS FROM FY04 TO FY09	40
NUMBER OF CLERKS WITH APPROVED \$4 BUDGETS FROM FY04 TO FY09	40
CLERKS WITH \$4 APPROVED BUDGETS.....	41
\$4 EXPENDITURES FROM FY04 TO FY08.....	42
\$4 EXPENDITURE RATE FROM FY04 TO FY08	43
\$1 FUND APPROVALS FOR EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES	44
\$1 FUND REQUESTS FOR EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES FROM FY06 TO FY09	44
\$1 FUND AVAILABLE BALANCE AND CLERKS' APPROVALS AND EXPENDITURES FROM FY06 TO FY09	45
TTF FOR REDACTION OF SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS	46
APPROVED FUNDING FOR SSN REDACTION SERVICES	47
APPROVALS FOR REDACTION FY07 TO FY09.....	52
TOTAL APPROVALS FOR SSN REDACTION.....	53
FY08 TTF REPORT HIGHLIGHTS AND COMMENTARY	54
APPENDIX	I
APPENDIX 1 – TTF NON-GENERAL FUND CASH TRANSACTIONS FROM FY97 TO FY08	II
APPENDIX 2 – TOTAL FY08 TTF EXPENDITURES BY LOCALITY	VII
APPENDIX 3 – FY08 TTF PROGRESS SURVEY QUESTIONS	X
APPENDIX 4 – FY09 SECURE REMOTE ACCESS, VITA, AND BUDGET CERTIFICATION SCREENS.....	XIV
APPENDIX 5 – FY08 TTF \$4 AND \$1 FUND APPROVALS FOR EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES	XVI
APPENDIX 6 – CODE OF VIRGINIA: TTF- RELATED SECTIONS	XXII

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Introduction

The purpose of this report is to provide an annual update of the Technology Trust Fund and the progress Clerks are making in providing secure remote access (SRA) to land records on or before July 1, 2008.

The Technology Trust Fund

The Technology Trust Fund (TTF) originated in 1996 with § 17.1-279, Code of Virginia, that legislated a \$3 fee, known as the TTF Fee, to be assessed by the Clerk of the Circuit Court (Clerk) in every law and chancery action, upon each instrument recorded in the deed book, and upon each judgment docketed in the lien docket book. Effective July 1, 2004, the fee was increased to \$5 and the General Assembly has since specified intent that all Clerks in Virginia provide SRA to land records on or before July 1, 2008. The TTF fee is deposited to the State Treasury into a trust fund and the Compensation Board maintains a record of the deposits made by each Clerk.

Four dollars of the \$5 fee are allocated by the Compensation Board to each Clerk for the purposes of: (i) developing and updating individual land records automation plans for individual Clerks' offices; (ii) implementing automation plans to modernize land records in individual Clerk's offices and provide SRA to land records throughout the Commonwealth; (iii) obtaining and updating office automation and information technology equipment, including software and conversion services; (iv) preserving, maintaining and enhancing court records, including, but not limited to, the costs of repairs, maintenance, land records, consulting services, service contracts, redaction of social security numbers from land records, and system replacements or upgrades; and (v) improving public access to court records. TTF funds may not be used for personnel costs within the Clerks' offices. The legislation requires that Clerks submit to the Compensation Board a written certification that the Clerk's proposed technology improvements of land records will provide SRA to those land records on or before July 1, 2008.

The remaining \$1 of the TTF fee collected by each Clerk is amassed into a fund known as the \$1 Fund. The Compensation Board may allocate from the \$1 Fund (i) for the purposes of funding studies to develop and update individual land records automation plans for individual Clerk's offices and (ii) for the purposes enumerated in the above paragraph to implement the plan to modernize land records in individual Clerk's offices and provide SRA to land records throughout the Commonwealth. Priority for allocation from the \$1 Fund may be given to those individual Clerks' offices whose deposits into the trust fund would not be sufficient to implement its modernization plan. The legislation requires that Clerks submit to the Compensation Board a written certification that the Clerk's proposed technology improvements of land records will provide SRA to those land records on or before July 1, 2008.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Secure Remote Access to Land Records in the Code of Virginia

In the Code of Virginia, § 17.1-279 requires Clerks or their designated application service provider(s) to certify compliance with security standards developed by the Virginia Information Technologies Agency (VITA) found in the document *Secure Remote Access to Online Court Documents Standard, ITRM STANDARD SEC503-02* (dated March 28, 2005), and all subsequent revisions. This document is located on the VITA website at <http://www.vita.virginia.gov> under the tab Library / ITRM Policies, Standards, and Guidelines / Information Security Standards.

The safeguards in VITA's security standard define what is meant by "secure" land records. Remote access is defined in the security standard as allowing inspection to a court record without the need to physically visit the courthouse where the court record is maintained. The Code of Virginia, § 17.1-292, defines land records as any writing authorized by law to be recorded on paper or in electronic format that the Clerk records affecting title to real property, including but not limited to instruments, orders, or any other writings recorded.

Further definitions pertaining to SRA are contained in § 17.1-295, Code of Virginia. Public access means that the Clerk has made available to subscribers that are other than governmental agencies SRA to land records maintained by the Clerk. SRA is defined as public access by electronic means on a network or system to land records maintained by the Clerk or the Clerk's designated application service provider(s), in compliance with the SRA standards developed by VITA. A subscriber is any person who has entered into a Subscriber Agreement with the Clerk authorizing the subscriber to have SRA to land records maintained by the Clerk or the Clerk's designated application services provider(s). If the subscriber is an entity with more than one person who will use the network or system to access land records, each individual user shall execute a Subscriber Agreement and obtain a separate user ID and password from the Clerk. The subscriber is responsible for the fees and proper use of the SRA system pursuant to the Subscriber Agreement, applicable Virginia law, and the SRA standards developed by VITA.

In the Code of Virginia, § 17.1-276 allows that a Clerk who provides SRA to land records pursuant to § 17.1-294 may charge a fee established by the Clerk to cover operational expenses of such electronic access, including, but not limited to, computer support, maintenance, enhancements, upgrades, replacements, and consulting services. A flat fee may be assessed for each subscriber, as defined in § 17.1-295, in an amount not to exceed \$50 per month. The fees shall be paid to the Clerk's office and deposited by the Clerk into a special non-reverting local fund to be used to cover the operational expenses of such electronic access. The Clerk shall enter into a Subscriber Agreement with each subscriber in accordance with the security standards developed by VITA.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

In the Code of Virginia, § 17.1-293 prohibits the posting of certain information on the Internet. The VITA SRA standards require that Clerks make a self-certification to comply with § 17.1-293. A self-certification is embedded in the FY09 TTF Budget Request Process in the Constitutional Officers Information Network (COIN), an online financial management tool for Constitutional Officers, thus providing a substitute for the paper self-certification form, Appendix C, located in the 2005 VITA security standard. Beginning January 1, 2004, a) Clerks may not allow access to a document on a court-controlled website with an actual signature, Social Security Number (SSN), date of birth, maiden name, financial account number, or name/age of a minor child; b) Clerks must post a list of documents routinely found on the court-controlled Web site; c) Clerks must allow public access to the original document, as provided by law; and d) Clerks are immune from suit arising from any acts or omissions relating to providing SRA on the Internet pursuant to this section unless the Clerk was grossly negligent or engaged in willful misconduct.

In the Code of Virginia, § 17.1-294 stipulates that the original land records maintained by the Clerk may contain a SSN if otherwise provided by law, but that original record shall not be made available via SRA unless it complies with this section. Except in cases where the original record is required by law to contain a SSN, the attorney or party who prepares or submits the land record for recordation has the responsibility for ensuring that the SSN has been removed from the writing prior to the instrument's being submitted for recordation.

The complete text of §§ 17.1-276, 17.1-279, 17.1-292, 17.1-293, 17.1-294 and 17.1-295, Code of Virginia, is located in the Appendix of this report.

FY09 TTF Budget Request Process

In August of each year, Clerks have the opportunity to request TTF available funding for equipment and services. The Compensation Board allocates \$4 funds requested by Clerks in an amount not to exceed the deposits into the trust fund credited to their respective localities. \$1 Fund money is also available to Clerks. The FY09 TTF Budget Request process is a sub-system of COIN and consists of several options seamlessly integrated into a coherent whole, including:

- FY08 TTF Progress Survey;
- Certification for SRA;
- Certification of VITA security standards;
- Election to Carryover or FY09 Budget Request;
- \$4 Request for Equipment and/or Services;
- Certification of \$4 Budget Request;
- \$1 Fund Request for Equipment and/or Services;
- Certification of \$1 Fund Budget Request, and
- Final signoff.

Clerks had the month of August 2008 to complete the FY09 TTF Budget Request Process. If a Clerk does not complete the annual TTF Budget Request process in COIN, their \$4 available balance automatically carries-over to a future fiscal year.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Number of Land Records Images Available Onsite and through SRA

Accomack	722,000	695,000	Nelson	44,866	44,866
Albemarle	2,227,016	2,227,016	New Kent	70,000	66,000
Alleghany	364,370	364,370	Northampton	491,000	491,000
Amelia	247,000	247,000	Northumberland	352,000	352,000
Amherst	13,000	13,000	Nottoway	288,000	220,000
Appomattox	280,000	280,000	Orange	868,297	868,297
Arlington	3,000,000	3,000,000	Page	548,000	520,000
Augusta	2,058,423	2,058,423	Patrick	178,021	178,021
Bath	53,621	53,621	Pittsylvania	220,000	220,000
Bedford	1,920,230	1,920,230	Powhatan	680,000	680,000
Bland	54,749	54,749	Prince Edward	405,000	405,000
Botetourt	442,510	442,510	Prince George	400,000	400,000
Brunswick	401,365	401,365	Prince William	12,629,474	12,629,474
Buchanan	116,910	116,910	Pulaski	539,598	539,598
Buckingham	288,000	288,000	Rappahannock	201,724	201,724
Campbell	1,081,075	1,081,075	Richmond Co	285,000	267,000
Caroline	1,050,000	1,050,000	Roanoke Co	700,000	700,000
Carroll	770,000	770,000	Rockbridge	473,864	473,864
Charles City	40,670	40,670	Rockingham	708,605	679,877
Charlotte	7,618	7,618	Russell	880,000	880,000
Chesterfield	9,700,000	9,700,000	Scott	958,503	958,503
Clarke	463,000	463,000	Shenandoah	663,989	663,989
Craig	40,300	40,300	Smyth	265,057	265,057
Culpeper	700,359	700,359	Southampton	659,612	659,612
Cumberland	149,000	149,000	Spotsylvania	3,930,000	3,930,000
Dickenson	119,880	119,880	Stafford	573,944	573,944
Dinwiddie	820,000	820,000	Surry	150,000	150,000
Essex	50,704	50,704	Sussex	150,000	150,000
Fairfax	37,161,872	37,161,872	Tazewell	772,000	718,000
Fauquier	1,862,000	1,862,000	Warren	885,520	885,520
Floyd	292,166	292,166	Washington	811,148	811,148
Flyvanna	700,000	700,000	Westmoreland	629,294	629,294
Franklin Co	470,000	450,000	Wise	352,672	352,672
Frederick	2,700,000	2,700,000	Wythe	254,628	254,628
Giles	125,000	125,000	York	218,708	2,085,000
Gloucester	842,000	842,000	Alexandria	3,750,000	3,750,000
Goochland	328,000	328,000	Bristol	147,438	147,438
Grayson	313,040	313,040	Buena Vista	90,269	90,269
Greene	4,000	4,000	Charlottesville	377,000	380,000
Greensville	10,000	10,000	Chesapeake	6,000,000	6,000,000
Halifax	455,714	455,714	Colonial Heights	194,872	194,872
Hanover	2,600,000	2,600,000	Danville	687,220	687,220
Henrico	9,000,000	9,000,000	Fredericksburg	252,680	252,680
Henry	423,932	423,932	Hampton	2,541,557	2,541,557
Highland	9,800	9,800	Hopewell	444,238	444,238
Isle of Wight	793,888	793,888	Lynchburg	1,408,039	1,408,039
James City	2,334,504	2,334,504	Martinsville	485,000	485,000
King & Queen	12,000	12,000	Newport News	400,000	8,030,652
King George	1,000,000	1,000,000	Norfolk	5,500,000	5,500,000
King William	227,555	227,555	Petersburg	280,000	285,000
Lancaster	254,646	254,646	Portsmouth	2,443,055	2,443,055
Lee	576,242	576,242	Radford	127,000	100,000
Loudoun	6,650,000	6,650,000	Richmond City	4,450,000	4,200,000
Louisa	858,051	858,051	Roanoke City	1,754,716	1,754,716
Lunenburg	150,000	150,000	Salem	258,658	258,658
Madison	132,000	132,000	Staunton	500,000	500,000
Mathews	37,097	37,097	Suffolk	2,007,605	2,007,605
Mecklenburg	572,834	572,834	Virginia Beach	20,000,000	20,000,000
Middlesex	43,539	43,539	Waynesboro	378,079	387,079
Montgomery	725,000	622,000	Winchester	600,000	600,000

In the FY08 TTF Progress Survey, 120 Clerks reported the total number of electronic land records' images available onsite was 196,704,432. The total number of electronic land records' images reported available through SRA was 190,987,228. Twenty-nine Clerks (highlighted in gray) reported a difference between electronic images available onsite and images available remotely.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Date Clerks Provided SRA to Land Records' Images

Locality	Locality	Locality			
Accomack	September 2008	Halifax	July 2008	Scott	July 2008
Albemarle	July 2007	Hanover	July 2008	Shenandoah	January 2007
Alleghany	July 2007	Henrico	July 2008	Smyth	January 2008
Amelia	December 2008	Henry	July 2008	Southampton	June 2006
Amherst	June 2007	Highland	July 2007	Spotsylvania	July 2006
Appomattox	May 2007	Isle of Wight	July 2006	Stafford	May 2005
Arlington	January 2000	James City County	July 2008	Surry	December 2006
Augusta	June 2008	King & Queen	July 2008	Sussex	July 2006
Bath	July 2007	King George	July 2006	Tazewell	June 2008
Bedford	July 2008	King William	July 2008	Warren	May 2004
Bland	August 2007	Lancaster	July 2008	Washington	August 2008
Botetourt	July 2008	Lee	April 2006	Westmoreland	June 2008
Brunswick	July 2006	Loudoun	February 2004	Wise	November 1998
Buchanan	August 2005	Louisa	August 2007	Wythe	May 2008
Buckingham	July 2008	Lunenburg	July 2008	York	September 2008
Campbell	July 2008	Madison	March 2007	Alexandria	July 2008
Caroline	July 2006	Mathews	August 2008	Bristol	July 2006
Carroll	February 2002	Mecklenburg	July 2008	Buena Vista	July 2007
Charles City County	May 2008	Middlesex	July 2008	Charlottesville	May 2008
Charlotte	July 2007	Montgomery	May 2007	Chesapeake	June 2007
Chesterfield	July 2008	Nelson	July 2008	Colonial Heights	February 2007
Clarke	December 2005	New Kent	July 2006	Danville	January 2005
Craig	July 2008	Northampton	March 2006	Fredericksburg	July 2006
Culpeper	September 2006	Northumberland	July 2008	Hampton	May 2006
Cumberland	June 2008	Nottoway	July 2006	Hopewell	July 2008
Dickenson	July 2008	Orange	July 2006	Lynchburg	July 2008
Dinwiddie	June 2008	Page	August 2008	Marlinsville	February 2000
Essex	March 2006	Patrick	July 2008	Newport News	July 2000
Fairfax	May 1999	Pittsylvania	January 2008	Norfolk	July 2004
Fauquier	July 2006	Powhatan	July 2006	Petersburg	July 2008
Floyd	July 2006	Prince Edward	June 2008	Portsmouth	October 2006
Flovanna	June 2006	Prince George	January 2006	Radford	September 2008
Franklin Co	July 2008	Prince William	December 2001	Richmond City	July 2008
Frederick	July 2006	Pulaski	September 1998	Roanoke City	July 2008
Giles	August 2008	Rappahannock	July 2007	Salem	July 2008
Gloucester	July 2006	Richmond Co	August 2008	Staunton	July 2008
Goochland	July 2006	Roanoke Co	July 2008	Suffolk	August 2006
Grayson	August 2007	Rockbridge	July 2008	Virginia Beach	July 2002
Greene	July 2008	Rockingham	October 2006	Waynesboro	July 2008
Greensville	January 2008	Russell	July 2007	Winchester	July 2006

120 Clerks reported the month and year they began providing SRA to land records' images. The Clerk of Pulaski County reported the first to provide SRA in September 1998.

SRA Subscription

In the FY08 TTF Progress Survey, Clerks were asked to report the subscription fees for SRA to land records' images.

120 Clerks reported that their office approves all applications for subscription to SRA. 120 Clerks reported to be currently accepting public (non-governmental) subscribers to SRA. One hundred and nineteen Clerks (99 percent) reported a fee schedule for SRA subscription. The Clerk of Dickenson County did not report a fee schedule for SRA. The subscription fees ranged from \$50 a quarter to \$50 per month.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Clerks Contracting with Technology Vendors for Specific Areas

Vendor					
AmCad	5	5	6	7	6
BIS	1	1	1	1	2
Cott	7	7	7	6	6
CSI	0	0	0	2	0
C.W. Warthen	0	0	0	0	2
Databanks	0	0	0	0	2
DTS	2	2	2	2	2
Image America	0	0	0	0	2
In-House	2	7	6	1	17
ILS	18	16	18	18	17
Logan	12	11	12	11	14
Meadows	0	0	0	0	2
SCV	73	73	73	70	28
Sutton	0	0	0	0	4
Unity	2	1	1	0	0
No Vendor	0	0	0	6	23
Other	ACS, Eagle, ImageVision, Nortel	ACS, Eagle, Kaballero.com, Mixnet	ACS, Carasoft, Eagle, ESI, Govolution, GTSI, ImageVision, Inego	ACS, Mixnet, W.R. Systems	ACS, A&E Supply, AIS, CSI, DMS, DRS, MSTC, W.R. Systems

ACS=ACS Government Record Services
 BIS=Business Information Systems
 DTS=Document Technology Systems
 GTSI=GTSI Corporation
 SCV=Supreme Court of Virginia

AmCad=American Cadastre
 CSI=Computing Systems Innovations
 DRS= The DRS Group
 ILS=International Land Systems
 Unity=Unity Systems, formerly Reams Corporation

AIS=Alternative Images Service
 DMS=Document Management Services
 ESI=Electronic Solutions for Imaging
 MSTC=MSTC Incorporated

In FY08, 120 Clerks reported they have contracted with a vendor for their land records management system, SRA internet hosting, and hardware, software, and maintenance. One hundred and fourteen Clerks (95 percent) reported they have contracted with a vendor for the redaction of social security numbers and 97 Clerks (81 percent) have reported they have contracted with a vendor for back scanning and conversion services.

If only one Clerk reported a vendor then it was listed in the "Other" category. In-house services were performed either by the locality or internally by the Clerk's staff.

Redaction of Social Security Numbers

Beginning in the FY07 Progress Survey, Clerks were asked to indicate their progress in the redaction of social security numbers (SSN) from their electronic land records' images. In FY07, 64 Clerks (53 percent) reported to have contracted with a vendor for back-file (historical) redaction services; 11 Clerks (nine percent) reported to performing back-file redaction in-house; 21 Clerks (18 percent) indicated that they have begun back-file redaction; and the average span of years for redacted images reported by those 21 Clerks was 1970 to 2007.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Number of Clerks Contracting with each Technology Vendor

In the FY08 survey, 105 Clerks (88 percent) reported to have redacted electronic land records' images. These Clerks reported the technology vendors with whom they contracted.

67	Supreme Court of Virginia	1	ACS State and Local Solutions
14	International Land Systems	1	Business Information Systems
9	Logan Systems	1	Mixnet Corporation
6	American Cadastre	1	M&W Printers
4	In-House	1	W.R. Systems
3	Cott Systems	15	No redaction in FY08
2	Document Technology Services		

Five Clerks listed more than one vendor.

Clerks Redacting Social Security Numbers

Clerks	
Number of Clerks who redacted images during fiscal year	105
Total number of images redacted	110,996,593
Total cost for redacted images	\$4,120,924

Cost per Image and Method of Redaction

Vendors		
Supreme Court of Virginia	3.35 cents	OCR+1
International Land Systems	3.0 cents	OCR+1
Logan Systems	4.0 cents	OCR+1
American Cadastre	4.0 cents	OCR+1
Cott Systems	various	OCR+1
Document Technology Services	3.8 cents	OCR+2
ACS State & Local Solutions	3.5 cents	OCR+1
Business Information Systems	0.95 cents	OCR+1
Mixnet Corporation	4.0 cents	Manual pass only
M&W Printers	4.0 cents	OCR only
W.R. Systems	1.7 cents	OCR only

The Supreme Court of Virginia charged the majority of Clerks 3.35 cents per image. The following are exceptions: Buchanan, 3.4 cents; and Augusta, Charles City County, Charlotte, Essex, Lunenburg, Madison, Pittsylvania, Tazewell and Staunton, 4.0 cents per image.

International Land Systems contracted with the majority of Clerks for 3.0 cents per image. Exceptions include Appomattox, 3.9 cents; Henrico, 3.3 cents; and Powhatan, 2.9 cents.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Logan Systems contracted with six out of nine Clerks for 4.0 cents per image. Exceptions are Chesterfield, 3.0 cents; Stafford, 3.8 cents; and Chesapeake, 3.7 cents.

Cott Systems contracted with Fauquier for 2.28 cents per image (OCR+1); Louisa for 3.44 cents per image (OCR software only); and Richmond City for 3.25 cents per image (OCR+1).

In-House Redaction Programs

Clerks		
Accomack	3.0 cents	OCR + 1
Colonial Heights	\$0 cost	Manual pass
Martinsville	2.5 cents	Manual pass
Norfolk	1.7 cents	OCR + 1

During the budget period in FY08, Accomack requested TTF funding for redaction listing ILS as the vendor (not in-house) at 3 cents per image.

Span of Years for Redaction in FY08

Clerks					
Loudoun	1935 to 2008	1935 to 2008	1935 to 2008	1935 to 2008	1935 to 2008
Augusta Caroline Chesterfield	1935 to 2008	1935 to 2008			1935 to 2008
Spotsylvania	1935 to 2008	1935 to 2008			
Fluvanna King George Westmoreland	1935 to 2008				1935 to 2008
Clarke Henrico Richmond County Russell Chesapeake	1935 to 2008				
No Redaction	0	60	11	21	12
N/A	15	15	15	15	15

The 13 Clerks who have redacted SSN from their land records from 1935 to 2008 are listed above. The Clerk of Loudoun has performed full redaction of SSN for every type of land record. Every Clerk has redacted deeds / deeds of trust; 60 Clerks (50 percent) have redacted plats / maps; 109 Clerks have redacted judgments / liens; 99 Clerks have redacted financing statements; and 108 Clerks have redacted wills / fiduciary. Fifteen Clerks reported that they performed no SSN redaction in FY08.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

FY09 Secure Remote Access Certification

The Code of Virginia, § 17.1-279 B, requires Clerks to submit to the Compensation Board a written certification that the Clerk's proposed technology improvements of his land records will provide secure remote access (SRA) to land records on or before July 1, 2008. During the FY09 TTF Budget Request process, the Compensation Board required Clerks to certify their current status regarding providing SRA to land records' images on a website or system owned and operated by their court or operated by a public or private agent. One hundred and twenty Clerks (100 percent) certified to currently providing SRA to land records' images.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

TTF for Redaction of Social Security Numbers

In October 2006, the Virginia Information Technologies Agency (VITA) Redaction Workgroup published the *Methods for the Redaction of Social Security Numbers from Electronic Land Record Documents Report*. The Redaction Workgroup was made up of representatives from the Virginia Court Clerks' Association, Virginia Information Technologies Agency, Virginia Bar Association, real estate brokers, mortgage lenders, bankers, technology vendors, and the Compensation Board. In FY07, the Compensation Board began approving requests for funding the redaction of social security numbers (SSN) using the recommendations of this report, which included:

- The use of software (Optical Character Recognition-OCR) plus one manual review for quality control and assurance is a reliable method of redaction.
- Redaction is limited to SSN and performed on a back-file (historical) basis from 1935 to the present as one project and day-forward redaction, at least annually, as a separate project.
- Plan for an estimated average redaction cost of 4 cents per image for back-file redaction and a similar cost for day-forward redaction. This estimate average cost is based on information VITA received from three vendors (AmCad, ILS, and Logan) regarding their pricing structure for the software redaction system and employee responsibilities attendant to running the software redaction system.
- Redaction should never result in permanent removal of text from an original document, only copies, and is achieved by removing the text containing the SSN and overlaying the space with a graphical element such as a black rectangle.
- At least a 95 percent level of accuracy can be expected with the OCR plus one manual pass method.

FY08 TTF Progress Report

Approved Funding for SSN Redaction Services

FIPS	Locality	FY	Budget	ILS	backfile	1984-2007	99%	638,050	3	\$19,142	\$0
001	ACCOMACK	FY08	Budget	ILS	backfile	1984-2007	99%	638,050	3	\$19,142	\$0
003	ALBEMARLE	FY08	Mid	Cott	backfile	1959-2002	95-99%	1,193,255	4	\$47,730	\$0
003	ALBEMARLE	FY08	Mid	Cott	dayforward	????	95-99%	187,000	4	\$7,480	\$0
003	ALBEMARLE	FY09	Budget	Cott	backfile	1959-2002	95-99%	1,193,255	3.25	\$38,781	\$0
003	ALBEMARLE	FY09	Budget	Cott	dayforward	2008-2009	95-99%	187,000	3.25	\$6,078	\$0
005	ALLEGHANY	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1980-2007	95+%	322,163	4	\$0	\$12,887
005	ALLEGHANY	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1967-2008	98%	29,079	4	\$1,163	\$0
005	ALLEGHANY	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	44,742	4	\$1,790	\$0
007	AMELIA	FY09	Budget	ILS	backfile	1978-2008	99%	232,561	3	\$6,977	\$0
009	AMHERST										
011	APPOMATTOX	FY07	Mid	ILS	backfile	1973-2006	??	229,307	3	\$6,879	\$0
011	APPOMATTOX	FY08	Budget	ILS	dayforward	2007	99%	17,850	3	\$0	\$536
013	ARLINGTON										
015	AUGUSTA	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1992-2007	95+%	1,179,022	4	\$47,161	\$0
015	AUGUSTA	FY08	Budget	Logan	backfile	1935-1991	99%	599,400	4	\$0	\$23,976
015	AUGUSTA	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1992-2008	98%	44,893	4	\$1,796	\$0
015	AUGUSTA	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	90,921	4	\$3,637	\$0
017	BATH	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	2000-2008	98%	51,418	3.35	\$1,723	\$0
017	BATH	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	2000-2008	98%	3,329	4	\$134	\$0
017	BATH	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	7,402	4	\$296	\$0
019	BEDFORD	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2007	95+%	1,515,659	4	\$60,626	\$0
019	BEDFORD	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2007	95+%	273,225	4	\$10,929	\$0
019	BEDFORD	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2008	98%	62,495	4	\$2,500	\$0
019	BEDFORD	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	126,338	4	\$5,053	\$0
021	BLAND	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2000-2007	95+%	47,482	4	\$0	\$1,900
021	BLAND	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1984-2008	98%	3,802	4	\$0	\$152
021	BLAND	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	8,379	4	\$0	\$335
023	BOTETOURT	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2000-2007	95+%	409,520	4	\$16,381	\$0
023	BOTETOURT	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1991-2008	98%	34,837	4	\$1,394	\$0
023	BOTETOURT	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	68,473	4	\$2,659	\$0
025	BRUNSWICK	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1945-2007	95+%	363,627	4	\$14,545	\$0
025	BRUNSWICK	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1945-2008	98%	401,365	4	\$0	\$16,055
025	BRUNSWICK	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	2009	98%	18,808	4	\$0	\$752
027	BUCHANAN	FY08	Mid	SCV	backfile	1976-2008	95+%	366,714	4	\$0	\$14,669
027	BUCHANAN	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1976-2008	98%	18,525	4	\$0	\$741
027	BUCHANAN	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	50,790	4	\$0	\$2,032
029	BUCKINGHAM	FY07	Mid	ILS	backfile	1973-2007	99%	218,000	3	\$6,540	\$0
031	CAMPBELL	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1994-2007	95+%	713,024	4	\$28,521	\$0
031	CAMPBELL	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1994-2008	98%	704,078	3.35	\$23,587	\$0
031	CAMPBELL	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1994-2008	98%	356,997	4	\$14,280	\$0
031	CAMPBELL	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	63,515	4	\$2,541	\$0
033	CAROLINE	FY07	Mid	Logan	backfile	1967-2007	??	668,000	4	\$0	\$26,720
033	CAROLINE	FY08	Budget	Logan	backfile	1987-2007	99%	28,500	4	\$1,140	\$0
033	CAROLINE	FY08	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY08	99%	74,000	4	\$2,960	\$0
033	CAROLINE	FY09	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY09	99%	73,000	4	\$0	\$2,920
035	CARROLL	FY07	Mid	Logan	backfile	1967-2007	??	585,000	4	\$0	\$23,400
035	CARROLL	FY08	Budget	Logan	backfile	1935-1985	99%	126,500	4	\$0	\$5,059
035	CARROLL	FY08	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY08	99%	43,000	4	\$0	\$1,720
035	CARROLL	FY09	Budget	Logan	backfile	1988-2008 (Jdgmts only)	99%	18,400	4	\$0	\$736
035	CARROLL	FY09	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY09	99%	36,000	4	\$0	\$1,440
036	CHARLES CITY CO	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2000-2007	95+%	35,113	4	\$0	\$1,405
036	CHARLES CITY CO	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	2000-2008	98%	31,339	4	\$1,254	\$0
036	CHARLES CITY CO	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	9,331	4	\$373	\$0
037	CHARLOTTE	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2004-2007	95+%	38,615	4	\$1,545	\$0
037	CHARLOTTE	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	2004-2008	98%	6,624	4	\$59	\$0

FY08 TTF Progress Report

FIPS	Locality										
037	CHARLOTTE	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	16,756	4	\$0	\$0
041	CHESTERFIELD	FY08	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY08	99%	317,000	2.4	\$7,608	\$0
041	CHESTERFIELD	FY08	Budget	Logan	backfile	1935-2007	99%	8,936,050	4	\$346,538	\$0
041	CHESTERFIELD	FY09	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY09	99%	563,000	3.5	\$19,705	\$0
043	CLARKE	FY08	Budget	Logan	backfile	1935-2008	99%	391,000	4	\$0	\$15,640
045	CRAIG	FY08	Mid	SCV	backfile	2005-2008	95+%	49,636	4	\$0	\$1,985
045	CRAIG	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1984-2008	98%	73,572	4	\$2,943	\$0
045	CRAIG	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	13,401	4	\$536	\$0
045	CRAIG	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1984-2008	98%	98,664	3.35	\$3,305	\$0
047	CULPEPER	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1986-2007	95+%	628,864	4	\$25,155	\$0
047	CULPEPER	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1986-2008	98%	35,461	4	\$1,419	\$0
047	CULPEPER	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	75,848	4	\$3,034	\$0
049	CUMBERLAND	FY07	Mid	ILS	backfile	1993-2006	99%	116,920	3	\$0	\$3,508
049	CUMBERLAND	FY08	Budget	ILS	dayforward	2007	99%	13,900	3	\$0	\$417
051	DICKENSON	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2000-2007	95+%	102,615	4	\$320	\$3,785
051	DICKENSON	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	2000-2008	98%	8,134	4	\$0	\$325
051	DICKENSON	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	17,934	4	\$0	\$717
053	DINWIDDIE	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2006-2007	95+%	24,963	4	\$999	\$0
053	DINWIDDIE	FY08	Mid	SCV	backfile	1975-2008	95+%	485,959	4	\$19,437	\$0
054	DINWIDDIE	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1989-2008	98%	625,000	4	\$0	\$25,000
053	DINWIDDIE	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	39,800	4	\$0	\$1,592
057	ESSEX	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2006-2007	95+%	32,574	4	\$1,303	\$0
057	ESSEX	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	2006-2008	98%	9,706	4	\$388	\$0
057	ESSEX	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	27,547	4	\$1,102	\$0
059	FAIRFAX COUNTY										
061	FAUQUIER	FY08	Mid	Cott	backfile	1976-2007	95-99%	1,771,737	3.25	\$15,033	\$42,548
061	FAUQUIER	FY08	Mid	Cott	dayforward	????	95-99%	155,000	3.25	\$0	\$5,038
061	FAUQUIER	FY09	Budget	Cott	backfile	1976-2007	95-99%	563,256	3.25	\$10,306	\$0
063	FLOYD	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1983-2007	95+%	279,592	4	\$11,184	\$0
063	FLOYD	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1980-2008	98%	16,729	4	\$0	\$669
063	FLOYD	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	34,838	4	\$0	\$1,394
065	FLUVANNA	FY07	Mid	Logan	backfile	1967-2007	99%	605,000	4	\$0	\$24,200
065	FLUVANNA	FY08	Budget	Logan	backfile	1935-1966	99%	43,750	4	\$0	\$1,750
065	FLUVANNA	FY08	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY08	99%	59,000	4	\$0	\$2,360
065	FLUVANNA	FY09	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY09	99%	46,000	4	\$0	\$1,840
067	FRANKLIN COUNTY	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2007	95+%	382,167	4	\$15,287	\$0
067	FRANKLIN COUNTY	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2008	98%	44,198	4	\$1,768	\$0
067	FRANKLIN COUNTY	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	97,613	4	\$3,905	\$0
069	FREDERICK	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1983-2007	95+%	2,236,775	4	\$89,471	\$0
069	FREDERICK	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1983-2008	98%	126,987	4	\$5,079	\$0
069	FREDERICK	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	165,787	4	\$6,632	\$0
071	GILES	FY07	Mid	ILS	backfile	1977-2006	99%	253,194	3	\$0	\$7,596
073	GLOUCESTER	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1994-2007	95+%	696,964	4	\$27,879	\$0
075	GOOCHLAND	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2001-2007	95+%	267,557	4	\$10,702	\$0
075	GOOCHLAND	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1994-2008	98%	17,591	4	\$704	\$0
075	GOOCHLAND	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	38,157	4	\$1,526	\$0
077	GRAYSON	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1984-2007	95+%	287,439	4	\$0	\$11,497
077	GRAYSON	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1984-2008	98%	12,974	4	\$519	\$0
077	GRAYSON	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	2008-2009	98%	25,465	4	\$1,019	\$0
079	GREENE										
081	GREENSVILLE	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1975-2007	95+%	121,428	4	\$4,857	\$0
081	GREENSVILLE	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1975-2008	98%	10,580	4	\$423	\$0
081	GREENSVILLE	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	17,831	4	\$713	\$0
081	GREENSVILLE	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1975-2008	98%	132,112	4	\$4,426	\$0
083	HALIFAX	FY08	Bud/Mid	SCV	backfile	1985-2007	95+%	366,485	4	\$14,659	\$0
083	HALIFAX	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1996-2008	98%	29,651	4	\$1,186	\$0
083	HALIFAX	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	62,179	4	\$2,487	\$0
083	HALIFAX	FY09	Budget	Logan	backfile	1971-1995	99%	251,500	4	\$0	\$10,060
085	HANOVER	FY08	Budget	AmCad	backfile	1935-2007	95%	2,500,000	4	\$100,000	\$0

FY08 TTF Progress Report

FIPS	Locality										
085	HANOVER	FY08	Mid	AmCad	dayforward	10 years forward	95%	1,893,988	1.69	\$12,000	\$0
085	HANOVER	FY09	Budget	AmCad	backfile	1935-2008	99%	2,576,978	3.7	\$95,348	\$0
085	HANOVER	FY09	Budget	AmCad	backfile	Apr-Aug 2008	99%	42,230	3.7	\$1,563	\$0
085	HANOVER	FY09	Budget	AmCad	dayforward	Aug08-May09	95.5%	105,735	3.7	\$3,912	\$0
087	HENRICO	FY08	Budget	ILS	backfile	1935-2007	99%	8,123,613	3	\$184,713	\$0
087	HENRICO	FY09	Budget	ILS	backfile	1970-2008	99%	7,623,804	3.3	\$201,268	\$0
089	HENRY	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2001-2007	95+%	390,629	4	\$15,626	\$0
089	HENRY	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1997-2008	98%	33,304	4	\$1,332	\$0
089	HENRY	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	67,587	4	\$2,704	\$0
091	HIGHLAND	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2007	95+%	39,249	4	\$1,570	\$0
091	HIGHLAND	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2008	98%	1,560	4	\$62	\$0
091	HIGHLAND	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	4,076	4	\$163	\$0
093	ISLE OF WIGHT	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1970-2007	95+%	770,676	4	\$30,828	\$0
093	ISLE OF WIGHT	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1970-2008	98%	26,194	4	\$1,048	\$0
093	ISLE OF WIGHT	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	51,603	4	\$2,064	\$0
095	JAMES CITY CO	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1980-2008	98%	2,334,504	3.35	\$78,206	\$0
095	JAMES CITY CO	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1980-2008	98%	90,044	4	\$3,602	\$0
095	JAMES CITY CO	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	179,598	4	\$7,184	\$0
097	KING AND QUEEN	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2005-2007	95+%	25,964	4	\$1,039	\$0
097	KING AND QUEEN	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	Apr-Aug 2008	98%	11,144	4	\$446	\$0
097	KING AND QUEEN	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	Aug08-Jul-09	98%	27,434	4	\$1,097	\$0
099	KING GEORGE	FY07	Mid	AmCad	backfile	1930-2006	96%	427,417	4	\$0	\$17,097
099	KING GEORGE	FY08	Budget	AmCad	backfile	1930-2006	100%	247,700	4	\$0	\$9,908
101	KING WILLIAM	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1999-2007	95+%	26,212	4	\$1,048	\$0
101	KING WILLIAM	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1999-2008	98%	14,286	4	\$571	\$0
101	KING WILLIAM	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	27,063	4	\$1,083	\$0
101	KING WILLIAM	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1999-2008	98%	154,775	4	\$0	\$6,191
103	LANCASTER	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1989-2007	95+%	244,019	4	\$0	\$9,761
103	LANCASTER	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1994-2008	98%	11,061	4	\$442	\$0
103	LANCASTER	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	22,837	4	\$914	\$0
105	LEE	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1969-2007	95+%	484,590	4	\$19,384	\$0
105	LEE	FY08	Mid	SCV	backfile	1966-2008	95+%	37,964	4	\$0	\$1,519
105	LEE	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1954-2008	98%	49,717	4	\$0	\$1,989
105	LEE	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	97,066	4	\$0	\$3,883
107	LOUDOUN	FY07	Mid	DTS	backfile	1966-1986 Jan-June 2003	99%	2,800,000	4	\$0	\$112,000
107	LOUDOUN	FY09	Budget	DTS	dayforward	2006-2007	99%	1,210,000	4	\$48,400	\$0
107	LOUDOUN	FY09	Budget	AmCad	backfile	1985-2000 Court Case Files (F)	95%	1,641,791	4	\$65,672	\$0
109	LOUISA	FY08	Mid	Cott	backfile	1984-2007	95-99%	815,939	3.25	\$26,518	\$0
111	LUNENBURG	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1976-2008	98%	82,703	4	\$3,308	\$0
111	LUNENBURG	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	22,433	4	\$897	\$0
113	MADISON	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2007	95+%	168,640	4	\$1,483	\$5,263
113	MADISON	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1991-2008	98%	20,610	4	\$824	\$0
113	MADISON	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	43,440	4	\$1,738	\$0
115	MATHEWS										
117	MECKLENBURG	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2007	95+%	524,148	4	\$20,966	\$0
117	MECKLENBURG	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2008	98%	23,933	4	\$958	\$0
117	MECKLENBURG	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	2008-2009	98%	48,600	4	\$1,944	\$0
119	MIDDLESEX	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2006-2007	95+%	40,226	4	\$1,609	\$0
119	MIDDLESEX	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	2005-2008	98%	24,647	4	\$986	\$0
117	MIDDLESEX	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	62,139	4	\$2,485	\$0
121	MONTGOMERY	FY07	Mid	ILS	backfile	1976-2006	99%	1,388,857	3	\$32,082	\$0
121	MONTGOMERY	FY08	Budget	ILS	backfile	1976-2007	99%	1,622,500	3	\$48,675	\$0
125	NELSON	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1992-2007	95+%	386,509	4	\$15,460	\$0
125	NELSON	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1990-2008	98%	26,777	4	\$1,980	\$0
125	NELSON	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	57,945	4	\$0	\$1,409

FY08 TTF Progress Report

FIPS	Locality										
127	NEW KENT	FY07	Mid	Logan	backfile	1967-2007	??	436,000	4	\$0	\$17,440
127	NEW KENT	FY08	Budget	Logan	backfile	1935-1986	99%	48,000	4	\$0	\$1,920
127	NEW KENT	FY08	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY08	99%	46,000	4	\$0	\$1,840
127	NEW KENT	FY09	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY09	99%	30,400	4	\$0	\$1,216
131	NORTHAMPTON	FY07	Mid	AmCad	backfile	1997-2008	95%	490,909	4	\$0	\$19,636
131	NORTHAMPTON	FY08	Budget	AmCad	backfile	1997-2008	95%	490,909	4	\$19,636	\$0
131	NORTHAMPTON	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	2007-2008	98%	11,920	4	\$477	\$0
131	NORTHAMPTON	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	2008	98%	26,623	4	\$1,065	\$0
133	NORTHUMBERLAND	FY08	Budget	ILS	backfile	1987-2007	99%	337,460	3	\$10,124	\$0
133	NORTHUMBERLAND	FY09	Budget	ILS	backfile	1987-2008	99%	350,322	3	\$10,509	\$0
135	NOTTOWAY	FY08	Budget	ILS	backfile	1975-2007	99%	208,700	3	\$0	\$6,261
135	NOTTOWAY	FY09	Budget	ILS	backfile	1975-2008	98%	253,313	3	\$7,600	\$0
137	ORANGE	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1961-2007	95+%	943,496	4	\$0	\$37,740
137	ORANGE	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1983-2008	98%	215,858	4	\$0	\$8,956
137	ORANGE	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	60,608	4	\$2,103	\$0
139	PAGE	FY07	Mid	ILS	backfile	1977-2006	99%	459,039	3	\$0	\$12,271
141	PATRICK	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1999-2007	95+%	163,278	4	\$6,531	\$0
141	PATRICK	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1968-2008	98%	11,800	4	\$0	\$472
141	PATRICK	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	24,590	4	\$0	\$984
143	PITTSYLVANIA	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2004-2007	95+%	156,314	4	\$0	\$6,253
143	PITTSYLVANIA	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1995-2008	98%	54,512	4	\$2,180	\$0
143	PITTSYLVANIA	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	165,359	4	\$6,614	\$0
145	POWHATAN	FY07	Mid	ILS	backfile	1976-2006	99%	553,631	3	\$0	\$16,609
145	POWHATAN	FY08	Budget	ILS	backfile	1976-2007	99%	605,000	3	\$18,150	\$0
145	POWHATAN	FY09	Budget	ILS	backfile	1976-2008	99%	627,243	3	\$18,818	\$0
147	PRINCE EDWARD	FY07	Mid	ILS	backfile	1941-2006	99%	324,452	3	\$0	\$9,734
147	PRINCE EDWARD	FY08	Budget	ILS	dayforward	2007	99%	23,700	3	\$0	\$711
149	PRINCE GEORGE	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1996-2007	95+%	395,299	4	\$15,812	\$0
149	PRINCE GEORGE	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1977-1995	98%	25,003	4	\$1,000	\$0
149	PRINCE GEORGE	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	2008-2009	98%	49,367	4	\$1,975	\$0
153	PRINCE WILLIAM										
155	PULASKI	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	2007-2008	98%	15,852	4	\$0	\$634
155	PULASKI	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	59,960	4	\$0	\$2,399
157	RAPPAHANNOCK	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1987-2007	95+%	201,724	4	\$8,069	\$0
157	RAPPAHANNOCK	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1935-1986	98%	143,058	4	\$4,527	\$0
157	RAPPAHANNOCK	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	2008-2009	98%	14,215	4	\$0	\$1,764
159	RICHMOND COUNTY	FY07	Mid	ILS	backfile	1935-2006	99%	192,823	3	\$0	\$5,785
161	ROANOKE COUNTY	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2001-2007	95+%	1,019,239	4	\$40,769	\$0
161	ROANOKE COUNTY	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	???	98%	78,703	4	\$3,148	\$0
161	ROANOKE COUNTY	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	171,931	4	\$6,877	\$0
163	ROCKBRIDGE	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1992-2007	95+%	436,773	4	\$17,471	\$0
163	ROCKBRIDGE	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1992-2008	98%	19,236	4	\$770	\$0
163	ROCK	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	40,241	4	\$1,610	\$0
165	ROCKINGHAM	FY08	Mid	ACS	backfile	1944-2008	99%	2,649,374	3.53	\$93,403	\$0
167	RUSSELL	FY07	Mid	ILS	backfile	????	99%	516,134	3	\$0	\$15,484
167	RUSSELL	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	33,000	4	\$1,320	\$0
169	SCOTT	FY08	Budget	BIS	backfile	1985-2007	high 90s%	527,815	3	\$7,535	\$8,300
171	SHENANDOAH	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1999-2007	95+%	579,338	4	\$23,174	\$0
171	SHENANDOAH	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1975-2008	98%	52,870	4	\$2,115	\$0
171	SHENANDOAH	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	97,020	4	\$3,881	\$0
173	SMYTH	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1990-2007	95+%	153,369	4	\$0	\$6,135
173	SMYTH	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1990-2008	98%	82,838	4	\$0	\$3,314
173	SMYTH	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	154,733	4	\$6,189	\$0
175	SOUTHAMPTON	FY08	Mid	SCV	backfile	1953-2007	95+%	631,236	4	\$0	\$25,249
175	SOUTHAMPTON	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1953-2008	98%	20,038	4	\$34	\$0
175	SOUTHAMPTON	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	40,866	4	\$0	\$2,402
177	SPOTSYLVANIA										
179	STAFFORD	FY07	Mid	Logan	backfile	1996-2007	99%	3,418,000	4	\$0	\$134,093

FY08 TTF Progress Report

FIPS	Locality											
179	STAFFORD	FY09	Budget	Logan	backfile	FY08	99%	164,600	3.8	\$6,255	\$0	
179	STAFFORD	FY09	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY09	99%	187,700	3.8	\$7,132	\$0	
181	SURRY	FY07	Mid	Logan	backfile	1967-2007	99%	189,000	4	\$0	\$7,560	
183	SUSSEX											
185	TAZEWELL	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1984-2007	95+%	808,892	4	\$0	\$32,355	
185	TAZEWELL	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1984-2008	98%	37,077	4	\$1,483	\$0	
185	TAZEWELL	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	73,051	4	\$2,922	\$0	
187	WARREN	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1994-2007	95+%	812,655	4	\$32,507	\$0	
187	WARREN	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1994-2008	98%	37,805	4	\$1,512	\$0	
187	WARREN	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	79,397	4	\$3,176	\$0	
191	WASHINGTON	FY08	Bud/Mid	SCV	backfile	1984-2007	95+%	679,325	4	\$27,173	\$0	
191	WASHINGTON	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1988-1983	98%	376,717	4	\$15,069	\$0	
191	WASHINGTON	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	2008-2009	98%	427,546	4	\$17,102	\$0	
193	WESTMORELAND	FY08	Budget	M&W	backfile	1970-2007	98%	390,000	4	\$15,600	\$0	
193	WESTMORELAND	FY09	Budget	Cott	backfile	2002-2005	95-99%	245,246	3.25	\$0	\$7,970	
193	WESTMORELAND	FY09	Budget	TBD	dayforward	FY09	95-99%	140,144	4	\$0	\$5,606	
195	WISE	FY07	Mid	Mixnet	backfile	1945-2007	98%	854,501	4	\$0	\$34,180	
197	WYTHE	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1997-2007	98%	418,422	4	\$0	\$16,737	
197	WYTHE	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	2008-2009	98%	48,681	4	\$0	\$1,948	
199	YORK	FY08	Budget	Logan	backfile	1935-2007	99%	2,230,000	4	\$87,970	\$0	
199	YORK	FY08	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY08	99%	280,000	2.5	\$7,000	\$0	
199	YORK	FY09	Budget	County	backfile	1935-2008	95+%	2,387,000	4	\$95,480	\$0	
199	YORK	FY09	Budget	County	dayforward	FY09	95+%	147,750	4	\$5,910	\$0	
510	ALEXANDRIA	FY08	Mid	SCV	backfile	2003-2007	95+%	4,400,687	4	\$176,028	\$0	
510	ALEXANDRIA	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1970-2008 (Wills Only)	98%	3,617,690	3.35	\$121,193	\$0	
510	ALEXANDRIA	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09 (Not Wills)	98%	219,539	4	\$8,782	\$0	
510	ALEXANDRIA	FY09	Budget	CSI	backfile	2003-2008 (Not Wills)	99%	2,000,000	3.55	\$71,000	\$0	
510	ALEXANDRIA	FY09	Budget	CSI	dayforward	FY09 (Not Wills)	99%	250,000	3.55	\$43,605	\$0	
520	BRISTOL	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1974-2007	95+%	146,198	4	\$5,848	\$0	
520	BRISTOL	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1974-2008	98%	12,082	4	\$483	\$0	
520	BRISTOL	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	24,658	4	\$987	\$0	
530	BUENA VISTA	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2001-2007	95+%	55,226	4	\$0	\$2,209	
530	BUENA VISTA	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1995-2008	98%	8,057	4	\$543	\$0	
530	BUENA VISTA	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	21,191	4	\$0	\$627	
540	CHARLOTTESVILLE	FY09	Budget	ILS	backfile	1965-2008	99%	876,500	3	\$26,295	\$0	
550	CHESAPEAKE	FY07	Mid	Logan	backfile	1935-2007	99%	5,817,000	4	\$0	\$226,425	
550	CHESAPEAKE	FY08	Budget	Logan	backfile	1935-2007	99%	5,817,000	4	\$226,425	\$0	
550	CHESAPEAKE	FY08	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY08	99%	540,000	1.5	\$8,100	\$0	
550	CHESAPEAKE	FY09	Budget	Logan	dayforward	FY09	99%	453,000	3.5	\$7,315	\$0	
570	COLONIAL HEIGHTS	FY07	Mid	AmCad	backfile	1948-2007	96%	400,000	4	\$0	\$16,000	
590	DANVILLE	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1981-2007	95+%	731,788	4	\$29,271	\$0	
590	DANVILLE	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2008	98%	170,340	4	\$6,814	\$0	
590	DANVILLE	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	59,706	4	\$2,388	\$0	
630	FREDERICKSBURG	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2008	98%	12,805	4	\$0	\$512	
630	FREDERICKSBURG	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2008	98%	250,291	3.35	\$0	\$8,385	
630	FREDERICKSBURG	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	29,187	4	\$0	\$1,168	
650	HAMPTON	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1983-2007	95+%	2,301,775	4	\$92,071	\$0	
650	HAMPTON	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1983-2008	98%	125,377	4	\$5,015	\$0	
650	HAMPTON	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	240,145	4	\$9,606	\$0	
670	HOPEWELL	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1984-2007	95+%	416,366	4	\$16,655	\$0	
670	HOPEWELL	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1984-2008	98%	14,328	4	\$573	\$0	
670	HOPEWELL	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	29,738	4	\$1,190	\$0	
680	LYNCHBURG	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1985-2007	95+%	1,288,718	4	\$41,280	\$10,269	
680	LYNCHBURG	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1978-2008	98%	52,564	4	\$2,103	\$0	
680	LYNCHBURG	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	117,862	4	\$4,714	\$0	
690	MARTINSVILLE	FY08	Budget	City	backfile	1942-2007	99%	757,331	4	\$0	\$30,293	

FY08 TTF Progress Report

FIPS	Locality										
700	NEWPORT NEWS	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1985-2007	95+%	550,000	4	\$22,000	\$0
700	NEWPORT NEWS	FY08	Mid	SCV	backfile	1982-2007	95+%	2,926,080	2	\$36,814	\$0
700	NEWPORT NEWS	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1982-2008	98%	62,857	2.03	\$1,276	\$0
710	NORFOLK										
730	PETERSBURG	FY08	Budget	ILS	backfile	1970-2007	99%	551,440	3	\$15,895	\$648
730	PETERSBURG	FY09	Budget	ILS	backfile	1970-2008	99%	564,370	3	\$16,931	\$0
740	PORTSMOUTH	FY08	Mid	SCV	backfile	1984-2007	95+%	2,067,766	4	\$0	\$82,711
740	PORTSMOUTH	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1970-2008	98%	198,005	4	\$7,921	\$0
740	PORTSMOUTH	FY09	Budget	SCV	Dayforward	FY09	98%	380,422	4	\$15,217	\$0
750	RADFORD	FY07	Mid	ILS	backfile	1985-2006	99%	159,219	3	\$0	\$4,777
760	RICHMOND CITY	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2007	95+%	3,580,688	4	\$143,228	\$0
760	RICHMOND CITY	FY08	Budget	Cott	backfile	1935-1993	95-99%	2,214,555	3.25	\$71,973	\$0
760	RICHMOND CITY	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1993-2008	98%	3,793,156	3.35	\$127,071	\$0
760	RICHMOND CITY	FY09	Budget	Cott	backfile	1935-1993	98%	2,214,555	3.36	\$74,473	\$0
760	RICHMOND CITY	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	494,808	4	\$19,792	\$0
770	ROANOKE CITY	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1997-2008	98%	1,685,008	3.35	\$56,448	\$0
770	ROANOKE CITY	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	Apr-08	98%	96,317	4	\$3,853	\$0
770	ROANOKE CITY	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	Apr08-FY09	98%	190,953	4	\$7,638	\$0
775	SALEM	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	2002-2007	95+%	223,481	4	\$6,940	\$0
775	SALEM	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1992-2008	98%	18,417	4	\$737	\$0
775	SALEM	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	37,602	4	\$1,504	\$0
790	STAUNTON	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1954-2007	95+%	577,318	4	\$0	\$23,093
790	STAUNTON	FY08	Mid	SCV	backfile	50 years	95+%	111,213	4	\$0	\$4,449
790	STAUNTON	FY09	Budget	SCV	backfile	1986-2008	98%	181,443	4	\$0	\$7,258
790	STAUNTON	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	83,072	4	\$0	\$3,323
800	SUFFOLK	FY07	Mid	AmCad	backfile	1974-2007	96%	2,189,820	4	\$0	\$87,593
800	SUFFOLK	FY08	Mid	AmCad	dayforward	FY08	95%	300,000	4	\$0	\$12,000
810	VIRGINIA BEACH	FY07	Mid	AmCad	backfile	1981-2007	95%	11,381,468	4	\$0	\$455,259
820	WAYNESBORO	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1977-2007	95+%	311,882	4	\$12,475	\$0
820	WAYNESBORO	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	80,055	4	\$3,203	\$0
840	WINCHESTER	FY08	Budget	SCV	backfile	1983-2007	95+%	447,355	4	\$0	\$17,8974
840	WINCHESTER	FY09	Budget	SCV	Backfile	1983-2008	98%	19,999	4	\$800	\$0
840	WINCHESTER	FY09	Budget	SCV	dayforward	FY09	98%	41,622	4	\$1,664	\$0
	TOTAL							176,586,022		\$4,419,229	\$1,917,228

AmCad – American Cadastre
 CSI – Computing Systems Innovations
 Logan – Logan Systems
 SCV – Supreme Court of Virginia

BIS – Business Information Systems
 DTS – Document Technology Systems
 Mixnet – Mixnet Corporation

Cott – Cott Systems
 ILS – International Land Systems
 M&W – M&W Printers

Approvals for Redaction FY07 to FY09

Fiscal Year			
FY07	25	34,673,691	\$1,322,868
FY08	90	89,026,278	\$3,140,462
FY09	88	52,886,053	\$1,873,127
All Years	111	176,586,022	\$6,336,457

FY08 TTF Progress Report

In FY07, 25 requests for back-file redaction were approved for 25 Clerks who certified to currently providing SRA to land records. All approvals were for the method of OCR plus one manual pass (OCR+1X) with one exception. The total number of images approved for redaction was 34,673,691. Approvals for redaction in FY07 totaled \$1,322,868 (\$45,501 in \$4 money and \$1,277,367 from the \$1 Fund).

In FY08, 106 requests for redaction (back file and day forward) were approved for 90 Clerks. All approvals were for the method of OCR plus one manual pass with one exception. The total number of images approved for redaction in FY08 was 89,026,278. Approvals for redaction services in FY08 totaled \$3,140,462 (\$2,656,509 in \$4 money and \$483,953 from the \$1 Fund).

In FY09, as of November 1, 2008, 172 requests for SSN redaction (back file and day forward) were approved for 88 Clerks. All approvals were for the method of OCR plus one manual pass. The Compensation Board approved redaction in which the vendor will run the images through the OCR software and then perform the manual review. The Board also approved redaction in which the Clerk will purchase the OCR software only and perform the manual review in-house. To the date of this report, the total number of images funded for redaction in FY09 is 52,886,053. Approvals for redaction services in FY09 totaled \$1,873,127 (\$1,717,219 in \$4 money and \$155,908 from the \$1 Fund).

Total Approvals for SSN Redaction

FY07 – FY09	Number of Images	\$4 Money	\$1 Fund	TOTAL
303 Approvals for 111 Clerks	176,586,022	\$4,419,229	\$1,917,228	\$6,336,457

Note: Nine Clerks have not made a redaction request from TTF \$4 money or the \$1 Fund (highlighted in gray). Data presented is for redaction approvals by the Compensation Board and not for redaction money expended by Clerks. Therefore, the number of images and approved funding amounts listed could be duplicative (i.e., a Clerk may not expend funds in one fiscal year; those funds would carry over and the Clerk may re-request the same funds for the same images).