# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

**Richmond Division** 

)
)
)
)
)
)
) Civil Action No. 3:10-cv-00188-HEH
)
)
)
)
)
)

## MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PARTICIPATE AS AMICUS CURIAE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

Physician Hospitals of America ("PHA") states as follows in support of its motion for leave to participate as amicus curiae in this action in opposition to Secretary Sebelius's motion to dismiss the Commonwealth of Virginia's Complaint.

Neither the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure nor the Local Rules of this Court specifically address the participation of amici curiae. Thus PHA has filed this memorandum consistent with the requirements of Local Rules 26.1 and 29(a) and (b) of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.<sup>1</sup>

Counsel for the Commonwealth of Virginia has consented to the participation of PHA as amicus curiae. Counsel for Secretary Sebelius, when contacted, advised that Defendant takes no position on PHA's motion.

### I. CORPORATE AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 of the Eastern District of Virginia, and to enable Judges and Magistrate Judges to evaluate possible disqualifications or recusal, the undersigned counsel for PHA in the above captioned action certifies that there are no parents, trusts, subsidiaries, and/or affiliates of PHA that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

Pursuant to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit Local Rule 26.1, PHA declares it is a 26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(6) organization formed to educate members of the 265 physician-owned hospital community about regulatory and legislative issues and to encourage PHA members to advocate for the rights of physician-owned hospitals. PHA states that it has no parent corporation and issues no stock. No publicly-held corporation has a direct financial interest in the outcome of this litigation due to PHA's participation.

### II. INTEREST OF MOVANT

PHA is a 26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(6) organization formed to educate members of the physician-owned hospital community about regulatory and legislative issues and to encourage PHA members to advocate for the rights of physician-owned hospitals. PHA has approximately 166 member hospitals in 34 different states, comprising both existing facilities and physician-owned hospitals in various stages of development. PHA member hospitals are typically enrolled as providers under Medicare and Medicaid programs, with up to 70% of their case mix stemming from Medicare and Medicaid patients. The physician owners of PHA member hospitals are also providers under the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

PHA is committed to the sanctity of private property as guaranteed by the Constitution, especially in relation to the rights of physicians to own and operate hospitals and to provide patients with expert, cost-effective, and efficient health care. In *Physician Hospitals of America*,

et al. v. Sebelius, Case No. 6:10-cv-00277-MHS, filed June 3, 2010, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division, PHA, along with a member hospital, is challenging the constitutionality of § 6001 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 ("PPACA"), which singles out for negative treatment physician-owned hospitals from among all other hospitals owned by persons of any other profession. Section 6001 retroactively prohibits planned, approved, and commenced service facility expansion at approximately 58 Medicare-certified hospitals solely because they are owned by physicians, and further prevents the development of an additional 84 physician-owned hospitals that would be otherwise eligible for Medicare certification.

PHA has an interest in protecting its members directly, and the public indirectly, from any unconstitutional healthcare legislation, and thus it has an interest in supporting the Commonwealth of Virginia in this action.

In the proffered brief filed with this motion, PHA addresses the Commonwealth of Virginia's standing to challenge the PPACA and the merits of its argument that the individual insurance mandate of the PPACA is unconstitutional.

## III. AN AMICUS BRIEF IS DESIRABLE AND THE MATTERS ASSERTED ARE RELEVANT TO THE DISPOSITION OF THE CASE.

PHA members are an integral part of the American health care system. PHA member hospitals are owned by physicians who have put their own personal capital at risk to ensure quality health care services for patients, and who dedicate their talent in management and medical skills to that end. Thus PHA members have a unique perspective on health care reform issues generally, and the PPACA specifically.

Speaking for its members, PHA agrees with the Commonwealth of the Virginia that the lynchpin of the PPACA, the individual insurance mandate, is unconstitutional. Specifically,

PHA agrees with Plaintiff that neither the Commerce Clause nor the General Welfare Clause serve to empower Congress to coerce an individual to purchase health insurance.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, PHA respectfully requests that its motion be granted and that the Court accept for filing its memorandum in opposition to Secretary Sebelius's motion to dismiss the Commonwealth's Complaint.

Dated: June 15, 2010 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Scott C. Oostdyk

Scott C. Oostdyk (VA Bar # 28512)
James L. Sanderlin (VA Bar # 05878)
J. Tracy Walker, IV (VA Bar # 31355)
H. Carter Redd (VA Bar # 34392)
Virginia L. Hudson (Nesbitt) (VA Bar # 73263)
Matthew D. Fender (VA Bar # 76717)
McGuireWoods LLP

One James Center 901 E. Cary Street Richmond, VA 23219 Tel: (804) 775-1000

Fax: (804) 775-1061 soostdyk@mcguirewoods.com jsanderlin@mcguirewoods.com twalker@mcguirewoods.com credd@mcguirewoods.com vnesbitt@mcguirewoods.com mfender@mcguirewoods.com

ATTORNEYS FOR AMICUS CURIAE PHYSICIAN HOSPITALS OF AMERICA

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 15th day of June, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Leave to Participate as Amicus Curiae in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notification of such filing (NEF) to the following:

Earle Duncan Getchell, Jr.
Charles E. James, Jr.
Stephen R. McCullough
Wesley Glenn Russell, Jr.
Office of the Virginia Attorney General
900 E. Main Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Jonathan Holland Hambrick Office of the U.S. Attorney 600 E. Main Stret, Suite 1800 Richmond, VA 23219

Erika Myers
Ian Gershengorn
Joel McElvain
Sheila M. Lieber
Department of Justice Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Room 7332
Washington, DC 20001

/s/ Scott C. Oostdyk

Scott C. Oostdyk (VA Bar # 28512) McGuireWoods LLP One James Center 901 E. Cary Street Richmond, VA 23219 Tel: (804) 775-1000

Fax: (804) 775-1061

soostdyk@mcguirewoods.com

\11827062.2