

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Richmond Division**

**STANLEY L. ROSS,**

Petitioner,

v.

Civil Action No. **3:10CV713**

**DAVID B. EVERETT,**

Respondent.

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Stanley L. Ross, a Virginia prisoner, brings this 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition challenging his convictions in the Circuit Court for the City of Richmond for armed robbery, attempted armed robbery, possession of a sawed off shotgun in the commission of a robbery and possession of a firearm by a convicted felon (“the Richmond Convictions”). By Memorandum Opinion and Order entered on February 25, 2000, the Court denied a prior 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition by Ross challenging these convictions. *Ross v. Angelone*, No. 3:99cv355 (E.D. Va. Feb. 25, 2000).

The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 restricted the jurisdiction of the district courts to hear second or successive applications for federal habeas corpus relief by prisoners attacking the validity of their convictions and sentences by establishing a “‘gatekeeping’ mechanism.” *Felker v. Turpin*, 518 U.S. 651, 657 (1996). Specifically, “[b]efore a second or successive application permitted by this section is filed in the district court, the applicant shall move in the appropriate court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider the application.” 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A). Because Ross has not obtained authorization from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit to file a successive § 2254 petition challenging the Richmond Convictions, this Court lacks jurisdiction

