## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division



## DAVID MEYERS,

Petitioner,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:13CV546

## JEFFERY L. NEWTON,

Respondent.

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Petitioner, a Virginia state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, submitted a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. By Memorandum Order entered on September 24, 2013, the Court directed Petitioner within eleven (11) days of the date of entry thereof to show good cause why the present petition should not be dismissed without prejudice to Petitioner's litigation of his claims currently pending in *Meyers v. Newton*, No. 3:12CV857 (E.D. Va.). The Court warned Petitioner that the failure to comply with the Court's directive would result in summary dismissal of the action.

More than eleven (11) days have elapsed since the entry of the September 24, 2013 Memorandum Order and Petitioner has not responded. Accordingly, the action will be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

An appeal may not be taken from the final order in a § 2254 proceeding unless a judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue unless a prisoner makes "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). This requirement is satisfied only when "reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were 'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (quoting *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 & n.4 (1983)). No law or evidence suggests that Petitioner is entitled to further consideration in this matter. The Court will deny Petitioner a COA.

An appropriate Order shall accompany this Memorandum Opinion.

mes h strict Judge

Date: 1/-++-{} Richmond, Virginia