IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

BRANDON ARTIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:17CV707

K. THOMAS MENTALLY, et al.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, a federal inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this 42 U.S.C.

§ 1983 action. In order to state a viable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege that a person acting under color of state law deprived him or her of a constitutional right or of a right conferred by a law of the United States. *See Dowe v. Total Action Against Poverty in Roanoke Valley*, 145 F.3d 653, 658 (4th Cir. 1998) (citing 42 U.S.C. § 1983). Plaintiff's current allegations fail to provide each defendant with fair notice of the facts and legal basis upon which his or her liability rests. Accordingly, by Memorandum Order entered on March 2, 2018, the Court directed Plaintiff to submit a particularized complaint within fourteen (14) days of the date

More than fourteen (14) days have elapsed since the entry of the March 2, 2018 Memorandum Order. Plaintiff failed to submit a particularized complaint or otherwise respond to the March 2, 2018 Memorandum Order. Accordingly, the action will be dismissed without prejudice.

of entry thereof. The Court warned Plaintiff that the failure to submit the particularized

An appropriate order will accompany this Memorandum Opinion.

complaint would result in the dismissal of the action.

Date: 7/9/18
Richmond, Virginia

John A. Gibney, Jr.
United States District Judge