Robinson v. Doctor Moramo et al

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division



Doc. 10

LESTER ROBINSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:19CV76

DOCTOR MORAMO, et al.,

Defendants.

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Plaintiff, a federal inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. In order to state a viable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege that a person acting under color of state law deprived him or her of a constitutional right or of a right conferred by a law of the United States. See Dowe v. Total Action Against Poverty in Roanoke Valley, 145 F.3d 653, 658 (4th Cir. 1998) (citing 42 U.S.C. § 1983). In his current Complaint, Plaintiff's current terse and conclusory allegations fail to provide each defendant with fair notice of the facts and legal basis upon which his or her liability rests. See Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (quoting Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 47 (1957)). Accordingly, by Memorandum Order entered on July 1, 2019, the Court directed Plaintiff to submit a particularized complaint within fourteen (14) days of the date of entry thereof. The Court warned Plaintiff that the failure to submit the particularized complaint would result in the dismissal of the action.

More than fourteen (14) days have elapsed since the entry of the July 1, 2019 Memorandum Order. Plaintiff failed to submit a particularized complaint or otherwise respond to the July 1,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court mailed this Memorandum Order to an updated address provided by Petitioner on May 8, 2019: 12912 Day Break, Newport News, VA 23602.

2019 Memorandum Order. Accordingly, the action will be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

An appropriate Order will accompany this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: & Argust 2019 Richmond, Virginia