Sterling v. Unknown Doc. 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

**Richmond Division** 

WESLEY STERLING, Plaintiff,

v.

Civil No. 3:23CV651 (RCY)

UNKNOWN.

Defendant.

**MEMORANDUM OPINION** 

Plaintiff, a Virginia inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this 42 U.S.C.

§ 1983 action. In order to state a viable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege that

a person acting under color of state law deprived him or her of a constitutional right or of a right

conferred by a law of the United States. See Dowe v. Total Action Against Poverty in Roanoke

Valley, 145 F.3d 653, 658 (4th Cir. 1998) (citing 42 U.S.C. § 1983). Plaintiff's current allegations

fail to provide each defendant with fair notice of the facts and legal basis upon which his or her

liability rests. Accordingly, by Memorandum Order entered on March 12, 2024, the Court directed

Plaintiff to submit a particularized complaint within thirty (30) days of the date of entry thereof.

The Court warned Plaintiff that the failure to submit the particularized complaint would result in

the dismissal of the action.

More than thirty (30) days have elapsed since the entry of the March 12, 2024

Memorandum Order. Plaintiff failed to submit a particularized complaint or otherwise respond to

the March 12, 2024 Memorandum Order. Accordingly, the action will be DISMISSED

WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

An appropriate Final Order will accompany this Memorandum Opinion.

Roderick C. Young

United States District Judge

Richmond, Virginia

Dated: April 18, 2024