IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT ROANOKE, VA
FILED

SEP 2 7 2006

JOHN F. CORCORAN, CLERK BY: Junda Brushf DEPUTY CLERK

GAMILE LEE YOUNGER,		
Petitioner,) Civil Action No. 7:06-ev-00572	
v.) <u>MEMORANDUM OPINION</u>	
GENE JOHNSON, DIRECTOR,) By: Hon. James C. Turk	
Respondent.) Senior United States District Judge	
)	

Petitioner Gamile Lee Younger, a Virginia inmate proceeding <u>pro se</u>, brings this action as a petition for writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner Younger challenges the validity of his January 2006 sentence in the Circuit Court for the City of Waynesboro for possession of a firearm as a convicted felon. He argues that the predicate felony, committed in 1989, was improperly used to enhance his sentence under Va. Code § 17.1-805. Upon consideration of the petition, the court is of the opinion that it should be dismissed summarily pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing §2254 Cases. A petition may be dismissed under this rule if it is clear from the petition that the petitioner is not entitled to relief.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b), a federal court cannot grant a habeas petition unless the petitioner has exhausted the remedies available in the courts of the state in which he was convicted.

Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475 (1973). If the petitioner has failed to exhaust state court remedies, the federal court must dismiss the petition. Slayton v. Smith, 404 U.S. 53 (1971).

The exhaustion requirement is satisfied by seeking review of the claim in the highest state court with jurisdiction to consider the claim. See O'Sullivan v. Boerckel, 526 U.S. 838 (1999). In Virginia, that court is the Supreme Court of Virginia. Va. Code. § 8.01-654. A non-death row felon

in Virginia can exhaust his state remedies in one of three ways, depending on the nature of the claims

he is raising. First, he can file a direct appeal to the Virginia Court of Appeals, see § 17.1-406(A),

with a subsequent appeal to the Supreme Court of Virginia if the Court of Appeals rules against him.

Second, he can attack his conviction collaterally by filing a state habeas petition with the circuit court

where he was convicted, with an appeal of an adverse decision to the Supreme Court of Virginia.

Va. Code $\S 8.01-654(A)$; $\S 17.1-406(B)$. Finally, he can exhaust his remedies by filing a state habeas

petition directly with the Supreme Court of Virginia. Va. Code § 8.01-654(A). Whichever route the

inmate chooses to follow, it is clear that he ultimately must present his claims to the Supreme Court

of Virginia and receive a ruling from that court before a federal district court can consider them.

In this case, the petition clearly shows that petitioner has not presented his current claim to

the Supreme Court of Virginia as required. Petitioner's failure to exhaust his state remedies

mandates summary dismissal of his petition by this court. An appropriate final order will be entered

this day.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to send certified copies of this memorandum opinion and

final order to petitioner.

ENTER:

This 26 day of September, 2006.

Cames & Jung Senior United States District Judge

2