## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

| AT DANVILLE, VA |
|-----------------|
| C ASED (        |
| torkoanoke      |
| JUL 2 3 2009    |

| DONALD W. GOFF, Petitioner, | ) | Civil Action No. 7:09-cv-00280BY: DEPUTY CUERK |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| v.                          | ) | MEMORANDUM OPINION                             |
| TENDA CARRIES               | j |  |
| TERRY O'BRIEN, et al.,      | ) | By: Hon. Jackson L. Kiser                      |
| Respondents.                | ) | Senior United States District Judge            |

Donald W. Goff, a federal prisoner proceeding <u>prose</u>, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Goff alleges that the military judge presiding over his court martial failed to property instruct the jury to vote on the mandatory life sentence. Goff names as defendants Terry O'Brien, the warden of his present place of confinement; the Warden of the United States Disciplinary Barracks at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas; and the United States Secretary of the Army.

"In accord with the statutory language [of § 2241] and [the] Wales[v. Whitney, 114 U.S. 564, 574 (1885),] immediate custodian rule, longstanding practice confirms that in habeas challenges to present physical confinement . . . the default rule is that the proper respondent is the warden of the facility where the prisoner is being held, not the Attorney General or some other remote supervisory official." Rumsfeld v. Padilla, 542 U.S. 426, 435-36 (2004). See 28 U.S.C. §§ 2242, 2243. Therefore, Goff improperly added a warden in Kansas and a Department of Defense official as respondents and fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted against them. Accordingly, I terminate the Warden of the United States Disciplinary Barracks at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, and the United States Secretary of the Army as respondents to this action. A separate order will issue this day.

The Clerk is directed to send copies of this memorandum opinion and the accompanying order to the petitioner.

ENTER: This day of July 2009

Senior United States District Judge