

circuit court where the inmate was convicted, with an appeal of an adverse decision to the Supreme Court of Virginia. See Va. Code §§ 8.01-654(A)(1); 17.1-411. Finally, the inmate can exhaust the remedies by filing a state habeas petition directly with the Supreme Court of Virginia. Id. Whichever route the inmate chooses to follow, it is clear that the inmate ultimately must present his/her claims to the Supreme Court of Virginia and receive a ruling from that court before a federal district court can consider them. A petitioner can not have exhausted state if a petitioner has the right under the law of the State to raise, by any available procedure, the question presented. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(c).

In this case, the petition clearly shows that petitioner has not yet presented claims to the Supreme Court of Virginia as required. Petitioner acknowledges in his petition that he exhausted his direct appeals and filed a state habeas petition. A review of the applicable state court records shows petitioner filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus with the Circuit Court for the City of Waynesboro and has not yet presented his claims to the highest state court.

Petitioner's direct appeal to the Supreme Court of Virginia concerned his presentence report calculation.¹ The only claim presented in this petition possibly related to that claim is a coerced guilty plea.² If petitioner exhausted direct review of the same claim, however described in the instant petition, petitioner filed a "mixed petition" that includes claims that have and have not yet been presented to the highest state court. State court records indicate that petitioner filed his state habeas

¹Petitioner described this claim as "presentence report of time to serve. Did not plead guilty for previous events that prosecuting attorney brought into court." (Pet. 2.)

²Petitioner describes this claim as "guilty plea entered April 15, 2000 on sentencing guidelines recommended 6 yr 11 mo to approx[imately] 15 years" and also states his attorney "requested 6 yr. 11 mo. in accord with guidelines and offered to me. Prosecutor said if I did not plead guilty there would be a jury trial and he would go for life sentence. That is why I entered [a] guilty plea." (Pet. 5.)


petition within ninety days of the Supreme Court of Virginia's dismissal of his direct appeal. Therefore, it appears that less than two months have passed since the circuit court dismissed petitioner's state habeas petition.

“Staying a [mixed] federal habeas petition frustrates AEDPA's objective of encouraging finality by allowing a petitioner to delay the resolution of the federal proceedings. It also undermines AEDPA's goal of streamlining federal habeas proceedings by decreasing a petitioner's incentive to exhaust all his claims in state court prior to filing his federal petition.” Rhines v. Weber, 544 U.S. 269, 277 (2005). It is not apparent from the petition that he already presented the same claim to the Supreme Court of Virginia, petitioner does not indicate any good cause for his failure to exhaust his state court remedies, and petitioner may still file a habeas petition within one year from the expiration of time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Supreme Court following the Supreme Court of Virginia's denial of his direct appeal. Accordingly, I decline to stay these proceedings and dismiss the petition without prejudice for petitioner's failure to exhaust the state remedies.³ Based upon the finding that the petitioner has not made the requisite substantial showing of denial of a constitutional right as required by 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1), a certificate of appealability is denied.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to send certified copies of this memorandum opinion and order to petitioner.

³ Petitioner may refile his federal habeas petition if he is still unsuccessful in obtaining relief after presenting his claims to the Supreme Court of Virginia through one of the three routes described. Petitioner is advised, however, that his time to file state or federal habeas petitions is now limited. See 28 U.S.C. §2244(d); Virginia Code §8.01-654(A)(2). Petitioner may also refile a second federal habeas petition for me to consider a stay and abeyance if the statute of limitations is close to expiring, a habeas petition or appeal is pending in the Supreme Court of Virginia, and petitioner can explain good cause to excuse his failure to exhaust his claims in state court. Petitioner may also re-file a federal habeas petition that does not include any unexhausted claims, assuming that petitioner can actually establish that any remaining claim was already presented to the Supreme Court of Virginia.

ENTER: This 12th day of February, 2010.


Senior United States District Judge