Adams v. Watson Doc. 46

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

DOMINIQUE HERMAN ADAMS,

Petitioner,

 \mathbf{v}_{ullet}

<u>ORDER</u>

BRYAN WATSON, Respondent. By: Hon. Michael F. Urbanski

Civil Action No. 7:10-cv-00383

United States District Judge

On October 14, 2010, the court dismissed Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 as untimely filed. On March 9, 2011, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit dismissed Petitioner's appeal, and it has since repeatedly denied

Petitioner leave to file a successive petition.

Presently before the court is Petitioner's motion for reconsideration. After reviewing the

record, the court finds that none of the arguments in the motion warrant reopening this action.

Accordingly, Petitioner's motion for reconsideration is **DENIED**.

The court takes notice of Petitioner's repeated, meritless filings in this action. Federal

courts may issue prefiling injunctions when vexatious conduct hinders the court from fulfilling

its constitutional duty. Cromer v. Kraft Foods N. Am., Inc., 390 F.3d 812, 817 (4th Cir. 2004).

Before enjoining the filing of motions in this action, the court will afford Petitioner notice and an

opportunity to be heard. Accordingly, Petitioner is notified of the court's intention to enter a

prefiling injunction against him, and he may submit opposing argument by July 28, 2015.

The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to the parties.

It is so **ORDERED**.

Entered: July 17, 2015

Michael F. Urbanski

United States District Judge

(s/ Michael F. Urbanski