Barnes v. Young et al Doc. 40

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

PORFIRO BARNES, Plaintiff,) Civil Action No. 7:12cv0000	67
v.	ORDER	
S.K. YOUNG, et al.,) By: Norman K. Moon	
Defendants.) United States District Judg	e

In accordance with the memorandum opinion entered this day, it is hereby **ORDERED** that defendants' motion to dismiss (docket no. 24) is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part; the motion is granted as to Barnes's Americans with Disabilities Act and Rehabilitation Act claims against Dr. Hopkins; however, the motion is denied as to Barnes's retaliation claim against Nurse Osborne and Barnes's Eighth Amendment claims against Dr. Hopkins and Nurse Yates. In accordance with Standing Order No. 2011-18, defendants Osborne, Hopkins and Yates are **DIRECTED** to **FILE** a motion for summary judgment, supported by affidavits, addressing the merits of Barnes's retaliation and Eighth Amendment claims within thirty days of the entry of this order.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to send a copy of this order and accompanying memorandum opinion to the parties.

ENTER: This 18th day of March, 2013.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE