

Correctional Center, 788 F. Supp. 890, 893-94 (E.D. Va. 1992) (finding city jail immune from suit and not a person for purposes of § 1983). Because Matthews thus cannot prevail in a § 1983 claim against the only defendant he has named in this action, the court dismisses his complaint without prejudice, pursuant to § 1915A(b)(1), as legally frivolous.¹ An appropriate order will enter this day.

The Clerk is directed to send copies of this memorandum opinion and accompanying order to plaintiff.

ENTER: This 3rd day of January, 2014.



Chief United States District Judge

¹ The court also finds that Matthews' current allegations fail to state a constitutionally significant claim against anyone at the jail. Matthews does not indicate that he has suffered any ill effects whatsoever from the meals provided to him at the jail. See, e.g., Shakka v. Smith, 71 F.3d 162, 166 (4th Cir.1995) (finding that § 1983 claim regarding jail conditions requires "evidence of a serious or significant physical or emotional injury resulting from the challenged conditions," or evidence of "a substantial risk of such serious harm resulting from the prisoner's unwilling exposure to the challenged conditions").