Harvey v. Large et al Doc. 79

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

TERRENCE A. HARVEY,)
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No. 7:15cv00401
v.) ORDER
T.D. LARGE, et al.,) By: Norman K. Moon
Defendants.) United States District Judge

In accordance with the memorandum opinion entered this day, it is hereby **ORDERED** that defendants Mullins and Kelly's motion for summary judgment (Docket No. 68) is **GRANTED**, defendants Large, Sykes, Collins, Franklin, Miller, Woliver, Gibson, Addington, Still, McCowan, and Dockery's motion for summary judgment (Docket No. 66) is **DENIED** in **part** as to Harvey's claims concerning the use of five-point restraints against defendants Franklin, Collins, Gibson, Addington, Woliver, and Miller, and **GRANTED** in **part** as to all the remaining claims and against all the remaining defendants. The Clerk shall **TERMINATE** Large, Sykes, Still, McCowan, Dockery, Mullins, and Kelly as defendants to this action. Pursuant to Standing Order 2013-6, defendants Franklin, Collins, Gibson, Addington, Woliver, and Miller are directed to **FILE** a motion for summary judgment addressing, *inter alia*, the merits of Harvey's excessive force and living conditions claims as they relate to the use of five-point restraints.

The Clerk is directed to send copies of this order and the accompanying memorandum opinion to the parties.

ENTER: This 31st day of January, 2017.

NORMAN K. MOON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE