

AUG 09 2018

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ROANOKE DIVISION

JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK
BY: *A. Geeson*
DEPUTY CLERK

| | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| RANDY MORINGS, |) | Civil Action No. 7:16-cv-00139 |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | <u>MEMORANDUM OPINION</u> |
| |) | |
| MS. D. WELLS, et al., |) | By: Hon. Michael F. Urbanski |
| Defendants. |) | Chief United States District Judge |

Randy Morings, a Virginia inmate proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, naming staff of the River North Correctional Center as defendants. On June 12, 2018, the remaining defendant filed a motion for summary judgment. On the next day, the Clerk issued a Notice, advising Plaintiff that a motion for summary judgment was filed and that he had twenty-one days from the Notice to file a response. The Notice further advised:

If Plaintiff does not respond to Defendant's pleadings, the Court will assume that Plaintiff has lost interest in the case, and/or that Plaintiff agrees with what the Defendant states in their [sic] responsive pleading(s). If Plaintiff wishes to continue with the case, it is necessary that Plaintiff respond in an appropriate fashion However, if Plaintiff does not file some response within the twenty-one (21) day period, the Court may dismiss the case for failure to prosecute.

Notice (ECF no. 76) (original emphasis).

Plaintiff did not respond to the Notice or the motion for summary judgment, the Notice was not returned to the court as undeliverable, and Plaintiff has not written to the court since April 2018. Pursuant to the Notice entered on June 13, 2018, the court finds that Plaintiff has failed to prosecute this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) due to Plaintiff's failure to prosecute, and all pending motions are denied without prejudice as moot. See Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962) ("The authority of a court to dismiss sua sponte for lack of prosecution has generally been considered

an 'inherent power,' . . . necessarily vested in courts to manage their own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases.”).

ENTER: This 8th day of August, 2018.

1st Michael F. Urbanski

Chief United States District Judge