AT ROANOKE, VA FILED

SEP 1 3 2016

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

JULIA C. BUDYEY, CLERK BY: DEPUTY CLERK

FRANKIE JAE LORDMASTER, Plaintiff,) Civil Action No. 7:16-cv-00256
v.) <u>MEMORANDUM OPINION</u>
CLARKE, et al., Defendants.	 By: Hon. Michael F. Urbanski United States District Judge

Frankie Jae Lordmaster, ¹ a Virginia inmate proceeding <u>prose</u>, commenced this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in May 2016 and did not prepay the filing fee. Under certain circumstances, a prisoner may bring a civil action without fully prepaying the filing fee. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2), (b). However, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) provides: "In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action proceeding [without prepayment of the filing fee] . . . if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal . . . that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). ² To fall within the "imminent danger" exception, the prisoner's injury "must be imminent or occurring at the time the complaint is filed." <u>Ciarpaglini v. Saini</u>, 352 F.3d 328, 330 (7th Cir. 2003) (citing <u>Abdul-Wadood v. Nathan</u>, 91 F.3d 1023 (7th Cir. 1996)). Allegations of "only a past injury that has not recurred" are insufficient. <u>Id.</u> .

Plaintiff has had at least three non-habeas civil actions or appeals dismissed as frivolous, as malicious, or for failing to state a claim before he commenced this action. See, e.g.,

Lordmaster v. Davis, No. 1:15cv319 (E.D. Va. May 15, 2015) (dismissed for failing to state a claim); Lordmaster v. Epps, No. 1:14cv1351 (E.D. Va. May 19, 2015) (dismissed for failing to

¹ Lordmaster was formerly known as Jason Robert Goldader.

² Section 1915(g), often referred to as the "three strikes" provision, is part of the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-134, 110 Stat. 1321-71 (1986). <u>McLean v. United States</u>, 566 F.3d 391, 393 (4th Cir. 2009).

state a claim); <u>Lordmaster v. Sussex II State Prison</u>, No. 1:14-cv-507 (E.D. Va. May 11, 2015) (dismissed for failing to state a claim); <u>Goldader v. Woodson</u>, No. 7:12cv505 (W.D. Va. Dec. 22, 2012) (dismissed without prejudice as frivolous); <u>see also Coleman v. Tollefson</u>, __ U.S. __, 135 S. Ct. 1759, 1765 (2015) (holding a "strike" dismissal is counted regardless to the timing of a subsequent appeal); <u>McLean</u>, 566 F.3d at 399 (dismissals without prejudice for frivolousness should not be exempted from 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)). Furthermore, the complaint does not allege that Plaintiff is under any imminent threat of serious physical injury within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Instead, the complaint concerns access to courts, grievances, and disability accommodations; past uses of force and conditions of confinement; a medical bracelet; marriage to a fellow prisoner; a transfer to another prison; and legal mail. Accordingly, the court dismisses the action without prejudice because Plaintiff did not pay the filing fee when he filed the complaint. See, e.g., <u>Dupree v_Palmer</u>, 284 F.3d 1234, 1237 (11th Cir. 2002).

ENTER: This 3 day of September, 2016.

United States District Judge

Is/ Michael F. Urbanski