



preliminary relief, that the balance of equities tips in h[er] favor, and that an injunction is in the public interest.” *Winter v. Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008). To qualify as irreparable, the feared harm must be “neither remote nor speculative, but actual and imminent,” *Tucker Anthony Realty Corp. v. Schlesinger*, 888 F.2d 969, 975 (2d Cir. 1989) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted), such that it poses a real and immediate threat, *Dan River, Inc. v. Icahn*, 701 F.2d 278, 283 (4th Cir. 1983). Stout fails to show any likelihood that she will suffer irreparable harm in the absence of court intervention. Nor does she demonstrate how ordering Haight to leave FCCW would be fair to Haight in the balance of the equities or be in the public interest. Because Stout thus fails to make the four showings under *Winter*, she is not entitled to the relief she seeks.

For the reasons stated, it is **ORDERED** that the motion, ECF No. 36, is DENIED.

**ENTER:** February 6, 2019

/s/ James P. Jones  
United States District Judge