

the risk of inconsistent adjudications of common factual and legal issues, the burden on parties, witnesses and available judicial resources posed by multiple lawsuits, the length of time required to conclude multiple suits as against a single one, and the relative expense to all concerned of the single-trial, multiple-trial alternatives.” *Arnold v. E. Air Lines, Inc.*, 681 F.2d 186, 193 (4th Cir. 1982). Here, the two cases are largely based on separate facts, involve distinct legal issues, and reside at different procedural stages. In light of these differences, I conclude that maintaining separate proceedings will expedite and economize the decisional process for all parties and mitigate the risk of prejudice. Henderson’s motion to consolidate will therefore be denied.

The clerk is directed to forward a copy of this Memorandum Opinion to Plaintiff and all other counsel of record.

ENTERED this 22nd day of September, 2020.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "A. Cullen". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style. The first letter "A" is large and prominent, followed by a period and the name "Cullen".

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE