1		
1		
2 2		
С Л		
4 5		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
0		
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8		
9		
10	GARY ALLEN WINTERS,	1:15-cv-03123-SAB
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	ORDER DISMISSING
13		COMPLAINT WITHOUT
14	SERGEANT JOSEPH VANICEK and	PREJUDICE
15	UNION GAP POLICE CHIEF,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	By Order filed September 2, 2015, the Court instructed Mr. Winters to file a	
19	new application to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff had initiated this action	
20	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and indicated on the complaint form that he had	
21	been released from incarceration. ECF No. 1. The transmittal envelope indicated	
15 16 17 18	UNION GAP POLICE CHIEF, Defendants. By Order filed September 2, 2015, the Court instructed Mr. Winters to file new application to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff had initiated this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and indicated on the complaint form that he had	

a residential address in Yakima, Washington. ECF No. 1-2. He sought leave toproceed in forma pauperis.

Under the Prison Litigation Reform Act, there is no mechanism for
collecting the filing fee in partial payments as mandated by the statute once a
prisoner has been released from incarceration. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). Therefore,
Plaintiff was told to file a new in forma pauperis application within thirty (30)
days. A copy of the Order was mailed to Plaintiff, but returned on September 16,

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE -- 1

2015, as undeliverable. Plaintiff has not kept the court informed of his current
 address and has filed nothing further in this action.

On September 30, 2015, Attorney Kirk A. Ehlis, entered a notice of
appearance on behalf of the named Defendants. The Court did not direct a
response to the complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(g)(1), and no answer has been
filed. Indeed, this action has not yet commenced as the filing fee was not paid as
required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914, and an appropriate application to proceed in forma
pauperis by a non-prisoner has not been filed.

9 Therefore, IT IS ORDERED the Complaint is DISMISSED without
10 prejudice for want of prosecution.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is directed to enter
this Order, forward a copy to Plaintiff at his last known address, and close the file.
Defendants' counsel shall receive notice electronically in the ordinary course of
business.

DATED this 5^{th} day of October 2015.



Stankey a. Sestran

Stanley A. Bastian United States District Judge

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE -- 2