3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

"supporting factual assertions and legal authority," and failed to comply with Local Rule 56.1 which states:

(a) Any party filing a motion for summary judgment shall set forth separately from the memorandum of law, and in full, the specific facts relied upon in support of the motion. The specific facts shall be set forth in serial fashion and not in narrative form. As to each fact, the statement shall refer to the specific portion of the record where the fact is found (i.e., affidavit, deposition, etc.). The specific portions of the record relied upon shall be attached to the statement of material facts.

Defendants filed a motion to continue based on Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e) and 54(d) which provide a court with authority to issue appropriate orders to allow a responding party a meaningful opportunity to properly respond to an opposing party's motion for summary judgment. Defendants contend that Mr. Smith's failure to comply with Local Rule 56(a) prejudices Defendants because Mr. Smith has failed to provide a factual basis for his motion. In addition, Defendants' counsel explains that Mr. Smith has failed to produce disclosures as required by the Court's trial scheduling order and by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1). Defendants note that they are attempting to schedule Mr. Smith's deposition for mid-December at which time they hope to obtain some of the needed information for a response to his motion for summary judgment. Defendants submitted an additional declaration explaining that defense counsel was able to discuss Defendants' continuance of Plaintiff's motion with Plaintiff and that Plaintiff objects to any continuance. ECF No. 17.

8

10 11 12

9

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

The Court understands that Mr. Smith is proceeding pro se. However, Mr. Smith is still required to adhere to the Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of this Court regarding motion practice. All of those rules are readily available via the internet. In this case, requiring the Defendants to respond to Mr. Smith's vague and unsupported motion for summary judgment without the supporting memorandum and statement of material facts that Mr. Smith is required to file would prejudice Defendants and deprive the Court of an opportunity to make a fully informed decision on Mr. Smith's motion.

Therefore, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d) and 56(e), the Court strikes Mr. Smith's Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 12, the January 9, 2017, hearing date, and all associated filing deadlines. Mr. Smith is directed to file an amended motion for summary judgment, if he so chooses, but to assure that any amended motion is in conformance with this Court's Local Rule 56 and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. He must include a factual basis for his motion with supporting documentation. Mr. Smith must authenticate any exhibits on which he relies and adhere to the Federal Rules of Evidence.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Defendant's Motion to Continue, ECF No. 14, and Motion to Expedite, ECF No. 16, are GRANTED.

JUDGMENT HEARING ~ 4