UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) *1

Pakootas, et al v. Teck Cominco Metals, et al

Doc. 2642

Before the Court is Defendant's Motion for Certification Under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) of Orders on Ripeness and for Stay, ECF No. 2636. The motion was considered without oral argument. Defendant moves to certify two Orders for interlocutory appeal that address whether Plaintiffs' claims for natural resource damages are ripe. A district court has discretion under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) to certify a ruling for interlocutory appeal when it (1) "involves a controlling question of law"; (2) "there is substantial ground for difference of opinion"; and (3) "an immediate appeal from the order may materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation." 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). In this case, the Court finds the § 1292(b) factors are not met, and certification of either Order for interlocutory appeal is not warranted.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Defendant's Motion for Certification Under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) of Orders on Ripeness and for Stay, ECF No. 2636, is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Clerk is hereby directed to enter this Order and to provide copies to counsel.

DATED this 9th day of August 2023.



Stanley A. Bastian

Chief United States District Judge