Plaintiff did not file a LR 56.1 Statement of Material Fact Relied Upon when

27

28

Defendant's Memorandum in Support of Motion for Authority to Respond to Plaintiff's Statement of Material Fact and for Rescheduling of Telephonic Argument- 1. Z:\IPClient\ImpulseMarketingGroup v. Gordon\Pleadings\Plaintiff Motion for Judgment\DefendantMotionTelephonicOralArgument\MotionRespondStatement M a t e r i a l F a c t \ D e f e n d a n t RespondToStatementMaterialFact.051006.wpd

LIEBLER, IVEY, CONNOR, BERRY & ST. HILAIRE Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 6125 Kennewick, Washington 99336-0125 (509) 735-3581

Plaintiff filed its Motion to Dismiss and in the Alternative Motion for Summary
Judgment. When Defendant Responded to Plaintiff's Motion there was no
Plaintiff's Statement of Material Fact. Plaintiff has filed its "REPLY" and
additionally has filed "LR 56.1 STATEMENT OF FACTS RELATED TO
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS OR FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT" on
September 30, 2005.

This matter is set for argument October 12, 2005. Defendant moves for authority to file a Response to Plaintiff's STATEMENT OF FACTS and for the rescheduling of the Telephonic Argument set for October 12, 2005. This motion is based on LR 56.1 and Defendant's Memorandum in Support of the Motion for Authority to Respond.

LR 56.1(a) requires that:

Any party filing a motion for Summary Judgment shall set forth separately from the memorandum of law, and in full, the specific facts relied upon in support of the motion. The specific facts shall be set forth in serial fashion and not in narrative form. As to each fact, the statement shall refer to the specific portion of the record where the fact is found. ... The specific portions of the record relied upon shall be attached to the statement of material facts.

LR 56.1(b) requires the party opposing the Motion for Summary Judgment to set forth "...the specific facts which the opposing party asserts establishes a genuine issue of material fact precluding summary judgment...." LR 56.1(c)

allows the moving party to file a statement establishing the absence of genuine

25 material fact disputes.

Defendant requests hearing of this motion without oral argument on

Defendant's Memorandum in Support of Motion for Authority to Respond to Plaintiff's Statement of Material Fact and for Rescheduling of Telephonic Argument-2. Z:\PClient\ImpulseMarketingGroup v. Gordon\Pleadings\Plaintiff Motion for S u m a r y Judgment\DefendantMotionTelephonicOralArgument\MotionRespondStatement M a t e r i a l F a c t \ D e f e n d a n t M e m o r a n d u m RespondToStatementMaterialFact.051006.wpd

LIEBLER, IVEY, CONNOR, BERRY & ST. HILAIRE Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 6125 Kennewick, Washington 99336-0125 (509) 735-3581 Document 102

Filed 10/06/2005

Case 2:04-cv-05125-FVS

Material Fact \ Defendant RespondToStatementMaterialFact.051006.wpd