

1 DOUGLAS E. MCKINLEY, JR.  
 Attorney At Law  
 2 P.O. Box 202  
 Richland, Washington 99352  
 3 Phone 628-0809 Fax (509) 628-2307

THE HONORABLE FRED VAN  
 SICKLE

4  
 5  
 6  
 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
 AT RICHLAND

9 JAMES S. GORDON, JR,

NO. CV-04-5125-FVS

10 Plaintiff,

MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO  
 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO  
 RESCHEDULE OR STRIKE  
 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR  
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT

11 v.

12 IMPULSE MARKETING GROUP,  
 INC.,

13 Defendant

Jury Trial Demanded

14 IMPULSE MARKETING GROUP,  
 15 INC.,

16 Third Party Plaintiff

17 v.

18 BONNIE GORDON, JAMES S.  
 19 GORDON, III, JONATHAN  
 GORDON, JAMILA GORDON,  
 20 ROBERT PRITCHETT, EMILY  
 ABBEY, and LEW REED

21 Third Party Defendants

22  
 23  
 24 COMES NOW the Plaintiff, James S. Gordon, Jr., and hereby objects to

25  
 26 MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO  
 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO  
 27 RESCHEDULE OR STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S  
 MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 1 of 5

DOUGLAS E. MCKINLEY, JR.  
 Attorney At Law  
 P.O. Box 202  
 Richland, Washington 99352  
 Phone 628-0809 Fax (509) 628-2307

28 - No. CV-04-5125-FVS

1 Defendant's motion to reschedule or strike Plaintiff's motion for summary  
2 judgment.

3  
4 Beginning with the Plaintiff's original filing of its motion to dismiss and  
5 continuing through all of the subsequent skirmishes between the Parties related to  
6 this motion, the Plaintiff has asserted that the Defendant had no good faith basis to  
7 bring its claims against the Third Party Defendants, and had done so purely to  
8 punish the Plaintiff by suing his family and friends. In this latest filing, the  
9 Defendant has essentially conceded the point. The Defendant now admits that it  
10 cannot articulate facts that would support its claims, and thus wants the Court to  
11 strike the Plaintiff's motion for Summary Judgment. The Plaintiff therefore agrees  
12 with the Defendant that the Court should strike the Plaintiff's pending motion.  
13 However, the Court should do so not to allow the Defendant to go on an evidentiary  
14 fishing expedition. Rather, the Court should do so because the Court has first  
15 dismissed the Defendant's counterclaims and Third Party claims, thereby rendering  
16 the pending motion for summary judgment moot.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23 The Court must bear in mind that the Plaintiff's motion before the Court does  
24 not seek judgment on the *Plaintiff's* claims. Rather, the Plaintiff's motion before  
25 the Court seeks to dismiss the *Defendant's* counterclaims and Third Party claims.

26  
27 MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO  
28 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO  
RESCHEDULE OR STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 2 of 5

DOUGLAS E. MCKINLEY, JR.  
Attorney At Law  
P.O. Box 202  
Richland, Washington 99352  
Phone 628-0809 Fax (509) 628-2307

1 The Defendant had an obligation under FRCP 11(b)(3) not to bring those claims  
2 unless “the allegations and other factual contentions had evidentiary support.” The  
3 Defendant now admits that it cannot articulate any such evidentiary support.  
4 Accordingly, the Defendant has admitted that its claims cannot survive the  
5 Plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment, and that it did not meet its obligation  
6 under FRCP 11(b)(3). As such, the hearing set for the Plaintiff’s motion is  
7 unnecessary. The Defendant has now admitted that the Plaintiff is entitled to an  
8 entry of judgment dismissing the Defendant’s counterclaims and Third Party claims  
9 as a matter of law, and the hearing would therefore be superfluous.  
10  
11  
12

13 Having made this startling admission, the Defendant lacks the decency to  
14 dismiss its claims on its own motion. Instead, (incredibly), the Defendant seeks the  
15 Court’s permission to add further injury to the Plaintiff and the Third Party  
16 Defendants by forcing them to incur the significant legal expenses that accompany  
17 formal discovery, while the Defendant casts about for some unspecified evidence  
18 that will somehow support its claims. The Defendant has made no attempt  
19 whatsoever to articulate any basis that might lead the Court to believe that any such  
20 facts actually exist, or that if they existed that any such facts could be uncovered by  
21 discovery. The Defendant has failed to provide an example of even a single fact  
22 that the Defendant believes would support its claims. The Defendant has further  
23  
24  
25  
26

1 failed to identify even a single witness, document, or other item that has even the  
2 potential to establish such a fact. Instead, the Defendant has asked the Court's  
3 permission to use the discovery process for an open-ended search for indeterminate  
4 evidence from unidentified individuals for unarticulated reasons. The Court should  
5 decline this request.  
6

7  
8 If the Court is unwilling to grant the Plaintiff judgment purely on the basis of  
9 the Defendant's admission that it cannot articulate sufficient evidentiary support to  
10 survive the Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, the Court should nevertheless  
11 allow the matter to proceed as scheduled, as the pending hearing establishes  
12 undisputed facts sufficient to allow the Court to rule in the Plaintiff's favor. Also,  
13 the Plaintiff's motion further includes a motion to dismiss the Defendant's  
14 counterclaims and Third Party claims under FRCP 12(b)(6) for failing to state a  
15 claim upon which relief can be granted. Since no amount of discovery will rectify  
16 the deficiencies of the Defendant's pleading, the motion should proceed on that  
17 basis, if for no other reason.  
18  
19  
20  
21

### 22 CONCLUSION

23  
24 The Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court deny the Defendant's  
25 motion to reschedule or strike Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment.  
26

27 MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO  
28 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO  
RESCHEDULE OR STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 4 of 5

DOUGLAS E. MCKINLEY, JR.  
Attorney At Law  
P.O. Box 202  
Richland, Washington 99352  
Phone 628-0809 Fax (509) 628-2307

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED this 20th day of October, 2005

S/ DOUGLAS E. MCKINLEY, JR.  
WSBA# 20806  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
P.O. Box 202  
Richland, Washington 99352  
Phone (509) 628-0809  
Fax (509) 628-2307  
Email: doug@mckinleylaw.com

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on October 20, 2005, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send notification of such filing to the following: Floyd Ivey, Peter J. Glantz, Sean Moynihan. I hereby certify that I have served the forgoing to the following non-CM/ECF participants by other means: Bonnie Gordon, Jonathan Gordon, James S. Gordon, III, Robert Prichett, Emily Abbey and Jamila Gordon.

S/ DOUGLAS E. MCKINLEY, JR.  
WSBA# 20806  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
P.O. Box 202  
Richland, Washington 99352  
Phone (509) 628-0809  
Fax (509) 628-2307  
Email: doug@mckinleylaw.com

MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO  
RESCHEDULE OR STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT