

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

FILED IN THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

OCT 27 2005

JAMES R. LARSEN, CLERK
DEPUTY
RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT RICHLAND

James S. Gordon, Jr., Plaintiff,

vs.

Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,
Defendant

Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,
Third-Party Plaintiff,

v.

Bonnie Gordon,
Third-Party Defendant

TO: Clerk of the Court

AND TO: Floyd E. Ivey, Attorney for Third-Party Plaintiff

Case No.: CV-04-5125-FVS

JOINT STATUS CERTIFICATE
AND RULE 26(f) DISCOVERY
PLAN

1 Pursuant to the request of the court, the parties to this action have
2 prepared unilateral status certifications. Third-party defendant phoned
3 Mr. Ivey for the purpose of conducting a joint status conference.
4 However, Mr. Ivey had already prepared his version of a joint status
5 certificate without consulting me. Therefore, the undersigned's input to
6 this process is as follows:

7 **(a) Service of process on parties:**

8 To the best of my knowledge all third-party defendants have been
9 served. Additionally, each third-party defendant has made her or his
10 own written request of Mr. Ivey to postpone the submission of an
11 answer to the complaint of third-party plaintiff until 20 days after the
12 Court has ruled on the motion of plaintiff to dismiss third party
13 defendants from this lawsuit. Mr. Ivey has not responded to any of the
14 third party defendant's request to stipulate to postponing her or his
15 answer. In fact, Mr. Ivey has advised Mr. McKinley that Impulse will be
16 filing motions for default judgment against third party defendants
17 without extending the courtesy of responding to each third party
18 defendant's good faith effort to provide third party plaintiff with an
19 answer. However, with the possibility of a dismissal of third party
20 defendants from the lawsuit, it is prudent to await the Court's decision
21 as it may preclude the need for an answer.

22 **(b) Jurisdiction and Venue:**

23 The parties to this action acknowledge that personal jurisdiction
24 is proper in this district, and that venue is not contested.
25

1 **(c) Anticipated motions:**

2 Each of the parties anticipates motions for summary judgment
3 motions on various issues in this case and Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss
4 and in the Alternative for Summary Judgment is pending for hearing
5 November 2, 2005. Third-party defendant may file a motion to dismiss.

6 **(d) Rule 26(f) Conference:**

7 Third party defendant will research the FRCP to determine her
8 obligations under this and other relevant rules. Parties have not met
9 nor teleconferenced regarding the specifics of this status certificate.

- 10 1. Third party defendant is not certain if changes should be
11 made in the timing, form, or requirement for disclosures
12 under subdivision (a) or local rule.
- 13 2. Discovery may be needed on all subjects contemplated under
14 the claims and defenses pled in this action. Discovery may
15 be needed on all of third party defendant's claims and
16 defenses as well. Discovery should not be conducted in
17 phases. However, it should be limited to or focused on
18 specific issues.
- 19 3. The undersigned is unaware of changes which may be
20 needed to limitations on discovery imposed under the
21 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or by local rules.
- 22 4. No other orders should be entered by the court under FRCP
23 16(c) or under Rule 16(b) at this time. Parties may seek
24 orders in the future based upon future discovery requests.
- 25 5. Third party defendant will not stipulate to Impulse's request
for a protective order.

1
2 **(e) Recommended Dates:**

3 1. **Discovery Cutoff:** The third party defendant request a
4 discovery cutoff date of January 28, 2006 or sooner. I will invoke
5 "spousal privilege" on any and all matters pertaining to my husband.

6 2. **Pretrial Conference:** The date of pretrial conference
7 should be set as the Court determines based upon the trial date.

8 3. **Length of Trial:** The trial could take 15-20 days or more
9 as third party defendant reserves rights to affirmative defenses and
10 counterclaims of her own.

11 4. **Trial Date:** The parties request a trial date of
12 approximately 12 weeks following the discovery cutoff, which would be
13 approximately April 28, 2006.

14 5. **Other deadlines:** Third party defendant is not aware of
15 any other deadlines besides the ones in this document.

16 **(f) Appropriateness of special proceedings:**

17 Third party defendant is not aware of special procedures, which
18 would be appropriate for this action.

19 **(g) Modification of standard pretrial procedure:**

20 All pleadings and motions must be served upon third party
21 defendant via U.S. mail.

22
23 **(h) Feasibility of Bifurcation/Structure of Sequence of Trial:**

24 Third party defendant prefers to (may move to) bifurcate these
25 third party proceedings from the plaintiff's cause of action.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

(i) Magistrate Judge:

The parties to this case do not consent to trial of this action by a full-time United States Magistrate Judge.

(j) Prospects for Settlement:

The parties have not engaged in any settlement negotiations.

(k) Other Matters Conducive to the Efficient Adjudication of the Action:

Other than the issues stated above, the parties do not at this time suggest any other matters conducive to the just, efficient, or economical adjudication of the action or proceeding.

Bonnie F. Gordon
9804 Buckingham Drive
Pasco, WA 99301
509-210-1069

Dated this 27th day of October, 2005


