

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

FILED IN THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

MAR 10 2006

JAMES R. LARSEN, CLERK DEPUTY
RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN
DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT RICHLAND

James S. Gordon, Jr., Plaintiff,
vs.
Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,
Defendant, John Doe spammers 1-
300, Jeffrey P. Goldstein, Kenneth
Adamson, Phillip Huston

Case No.: CV-04-5125-FVS
THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT'S
ANSWER AND
COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST
THIRD-PARTY PLAINTIFF
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,
Third-Party Plaintiff,
v.
Jonathan K. Gordon, Third-Party
Defendant

TO: Clerk of the Court
AND TO: Floyd E. Ivey, Attorney for Third-Party Plaintiff
AND TO: Peter J. Glantz and Sean A. Moynihan

Introduction

1
2 I am a party to this action, because third-party plaintiff has created a
3 mis-direction or ruse designed to intimidate me from testifying on my
4 dad's behalf. Other than a statement in another case (rule 26 discovery)
5 there is no evidence of any scheme or involvement by me in terms of
6 submitting names or information to web sites.

Answer to Complaint

7
8
9 Third-party defendant denies each and every counterclaim in its
10 entirety, except for publicly available information as to my name,
11 residence, and similar data.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

12
13
14 Third-party plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, for failure
15 to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

16
17
18 Third-party plaintiff has engaged in overtly criminal acts, i.e. violations
19 of state and federal laws in furtherance of the fraud as evidenced via its
20 specious counterclaims.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

21
22
23 Any loss, injury, or damage incurred by third-party plaintiff was caused
24 by third-party plaintiff rather than third party defendant as third-party
25 plaintiff hired and provided oversight for its agents – having the power

1 to hire and fire its agents, at will, as well as to change the terms of any
2 contract extant between third-party plaintiff and its agents.

3
4 **FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

5 The relief sought by Plaintiff in this action would violate the WA state's
6 anti-slapp statute – thus rewarding the plaintiff for malicious
7 prosecution.

8
9 **FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

10 Any loss, injury, or damage incurred by third-party plaintiff was caused
11 by third-party plaintiff's deliberate or negligent acts as principal for the
12 email marketing enterprise in which it is engaged.

13
14 **SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

15 Third-party plaintiff has not suffered any damages due to third-party
16 defendant's alleged actions in the Complaint. All alleged damages are
17 speculative and prospective.

18
19 **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

20 Third-party plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the
21 doctrine of unclean hands and third-party plaintiff should be estopped
22 from bringing this lawsuit.

23

24

25

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

1
2 Impulse has continued to send illegal, unwanted emails sixteen months
3 after the initial lawsuit was filed against it – negating its claim of res
4 judicata as new claims are established with each new email.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

5
6 Third-party plaintiff has Vicarious Liability for the acts of agents, if
7 any.
8

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

9
10
11 **Source: U.S. CODE - TITLE 18, PART I, CHAPTER 73—1514 (c)**
12 **et seq - OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE**

13 The matter before this Court is a civil not a criminal matter. However,
14 the behavior of the third-party plaintiff appears to be grounded in an
15 attempt to harass and intimidate potential witnesses – behavior which
16 would typically prompt a U.S. Attorney to investigate and perhaps file
17 criminal charges against the offending party – if this were a criminal
18 proceeding.

19
20 Having no true basis on which to file counterclaims, third-party
21 plaintiff created a fictitious scenario wherein it became the victim of
22 some scheme. Initially, a Richland Police Officer, Lew Reed was alleged
23 to have been a part of this alleged scheme.

24 A deposition or interrogatories to each third-party defendant would
25 have been the civil and more productive route to information which may

1 or may not have been useful to third-party plaintiff. At this point, third-
2 party plaintiff has no more knowledge of third-party defendant's alleged
3 culpability than before the counterclaims were filed.

4 5 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

6 **Source: U.S. CODE - TITLE 18, PART I, CHAPTER 47 § 1037**

7 **Fraud and related activity in connection with electronic mail.**

8 **(a) In General.** — Whoever, in or affecting interstate or foreign
9 commerce, knowingly—

10 **(3) materially falsifies header information in multiple commercial**
11 **electronic mail messages and intentionally initiates the**
12 **transmission of such messages,**

13 **(4) registers, using information that materially falsifies the**
14 **identity of the actual registrant, for five or more electronic mail**
15 **accounts or online user accounts or two or more domain names,**
16 **and intentionally initiates the transmission of multiple**
17 **commercial electronic mail messages from any combination of**
18 **such accounts or domain names,**

19 In addition to violating RCW 19.190 et seq, third-party plaintiff
20 simultaneously violates the instant federal statute.

21 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **RCW 4.24.510** – The instant action by third-party plaintiff was filed in
23 violation of Washington State's Anti-Slapp statute, which prevents civil
24 defendants from filing lawsuits to punish those who have contacted,
25 reported, or engaged a government official regarding the alleged civil or
criminal misdeeds of a defendant or prospective defendant in a lawsuit.

1 Armed with only a suspicion or hint that there could be information
2 helpful to its case, third-party plaintiff sued prospective witnesses to
3 the underlying lawsuit.

4 5 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

6 **RCW 9.35.005 – Identity Theft**

7 (3) "Means of identification" means information or an item that is not
8 describing finances or credit but is personal to or identifiable with an
9 individual or other person, including: ... an electronic address, or
10 identifier of the individual or a member of his or her family...

11 A Whois look-up of the Internet domain, "gordonworks.com" indicates
12 that the owner of the domain is James S. Gordon, Jr., at the address,
13 9804 Buckingham Drive, Pasco, Washington 99301. Therefore, the
14 electronic address is personal to or identifiable with an individual.
15

16 Third-party plaintiff and its agents appropriated our intellectual or
17 personal property, the electronic address and domain, which it used
18 without our permission. Our email addresses are bought and sold on the
19 Internet by spammers – most of whom use the addresses illegally to
20 spam the general public. Impulse has admitted to buying and selling
21 "profiles", a euphemism for someone else's identity.
22

23 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **RCW 10.14 - Harassment**

25

1 My dad, James S. Gordon, Jr. (as our ISP) has sent over ten thousand
2 separate and distinct email complaints to various public and private
3 entities to stop the flow of illegal spam to our mailboxes. However,
4 making an email address known to spammers only increases the spam
5 that one receives as the communication with the spammer only serves
6 to validate the existence of a live email address. Third-party plaintiff
7 and similar spammers have rendered our family mailboxes unusable.

8 9 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **Chapter 19.170 RCW -Promotional advertising of prizes**

11 Impulse and its agents violated this statute by falsely advertising prizes
12 without meeting the statutory requirements for such ads and by not
13 fulfilling its obligations of providing the prizes claimed to have been
14 won for Plaintiff and Third Party Defendant(s).

15 16 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **RCW 18.86.090 - Vicarious liability.**

18 (1) A principal is not liable for an act, error, or omission by an agent or
19 subagent of the principal arising out of an agency relationship:

20 (a) Unless the principal participated in or authorized the act, error, or
21 omission; or

22 (b) Except to the extent that: (i) The principal benefited from the act,
23 error, or omission; and (ii) the court determines that it is highly
24 probable that the claimant would be unable to enforce a judgment
25 against the agent or subagent.

1 Third-party plaintiff both participated in and authorized the sending of
2 emails by its sub-contractors, if any. Third-party contractor is in the
3 business of sending emails (marketing). And third-party plaintiff
4 benefited, financially, from the sending of emails and the buying and
5 selling of email addresses, aka subscriber profiles.

6 Professor Reinier H. Kraakman, *Professor of Law, Harvard Law School*
7 In an article entitled "Vicarious and Corporate Civil Liability" (1999),
8 said, "principals are jointly and severally liable for the wrongs
9 committed within the 'scope of employment' by agents whose behavior
10 they have the legal right to control". These agents did what they
11 contracted to do - send emails. However, the structure of the emails in
12 terms of the transmission path, valid return addresses, etc. violated
13 both state and federal law. **Impulse has Vicarious Liability for the**
14 **acts of agents.**

15 EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

16 **RCW 9A.60.040 - Criminal impersonation in the first degree.**

17 (1) A person is guilty of criminal impersonation in the first degree if the
18 person:

19 (a) Assumes a false identity and does an act in his or her assumed
20 character with intent to defraud another or for any other unlawful
21 purpose; or

22 (b) Pretends to be a representative of some person or organization or
23 a public servant and does an act in his or her pretended capacity with
24 intent to defraud another or for any other unlawful purpose.
25

1 Impulse and its marketing agents, if any, have melded their respective
2 identities before this Court. As such, Impulse has defrauded me by
3 offering free products and enticing my sign-up at web sites, which were
4 brought to my attention via illegal email. These false identities are the
5 "aliases" used in the "From" field of the email – scores were used to
6 deceive recipients of email from discovering the sender's true identity.

7
8 Impulse and/or its agents, if any, subsequently failed to send me any of
9 the many free gifts that I supposedly had won. The illegal email, the
10 subject of the underlying lawsuit, was part of a ruse or scam to secure
11 email addresses by Impulse - that it could sale to others including
12 pornographers, illegal drug purveyors, and other illegal schemes.

13 NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

14 **Third-party plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by**
15 **the doctrine of unclean hands and third-party plaintiff should**
16 **be estopped from bringing this lawsuit.**

17
18 Third-party plaintiff's culpability in fraud and deceit had its origin in
19 fraudulent offers for prizes made to third-party defendant. As a result of
20 these fraudulent offers, my dad, with my foreknowledge used an email
21 address "assigned" to me to test the validity of the offer. The fraudulent
22 offers with the falsified headers were sent by third-party plaintiff
23 and/or its agents, if any. These fraudulent and deceitful acts have also
24 manifested in terms of possible perjury by third-party plaintiff.
25

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**RCW 9.58.010 - Libel, what constitutes.**

Every malicious publication by writing, printing, picture, effigy, sign[,] radio broadcasting or which shall in any other manner transmit the human voice or reproduce the same from records or other appliances or means, which shall tend: --

(1) To expose any living person to hatred, contempt, ridicule or obloquy, or to deprive him of the benefit of public confidence or social intercourse; or ...

(3) To injure any person, corporation or association of persons in his or their business or occupation, shall be libel. Every person who publishes a libel shall be guilty of a gross misdemeanor.

Documents and commentary regarding the caption case above have appeared on web sites in American and Britain. The false allegations of Impulse have no bases in fact – no evidence has been presented to this Court pertaining to the veracity of said allegations. Nonetheless, these false statements have been circulated on two continents, and thus injuring plaintiff and third-party defendants in impermissible ways as to the violations of the instant libel statute.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

U.S. CODES TITLE 18, PART I, CHAPTER 96 - RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS (RICO).

1
2 As a third-party defendant, pro se, I lack the legal wherewithal to make
3 a case against Impulse Marketing Group for violations of the RICO
4 statute above. However, I have seen indications that through the many
5 Internet Protocol (IP) addresses and domains that they own or control,
6 pornography is being promoted, fraudulent offers for goods are made to
7 secure email addresses which can then be bought and sold for profit –
8 even though these addresses are personally identifying information as
9 indicated in the Identity Theft – RCW 9.35 statute in Washington
10 State. Impulse has hired at least five criminal spam gangs (according to
11 the International Spamhaus database) – these criminal spam gangs are
12 well-known in the Internet community because legitimate Internet and
13 Network Service Providers terminate their abusive operations a
14 minimum of three consecutive times before the spam operation is listed
15 in the Spamhaus database. The best known illegal spammer is Scott
16 Richter, recently sued by Microsoft for \$7 million. Impulse has hired
17 Mr. Richter's criminal spam operation to send some of its email.

18
19 If there is a concept of "ill-gotten gains", that concept applies to the
20 profits made by Impulse and its myriad co-conspirators, if any. See the
21 scam which separates unsuspecting Internet users of their email
22 addresses in the causes above.
23
24
25

1 Further, Impulse fails to acknowledge requests to unsubscribe to its
2 illegal mailing schemes – thus illegally harassing the public with untold
3 millions of illegal emails.

4
5 **Impulse emails have become – an offer you can't refuse (to**
6 **receive).**

7 **TWELTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

8 **Violations of the Can-Spam Act of 2003 [15 U.S.C. §7705]**

9 Impulse, through its illegal network of alleged identity appropriators,
10 has begun to appropriate an email address at my personal domain,
11 “jaykaysplace.com”. Please take note that the last time that an address
12 bearing my name was submitted to Impulse, the spam that was
13 received increased more than twice what it was prior to disclosure.

14 **THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **RCW 19.190 et seq**

16
17 Impulse is sending spam to my new domain in violation of this statute.

18
19 Impulse and/or its agents continue to 1) use a third party's internet
20 domain name without permission of the third party 2) or otherwise
21 misrepresents or obscures any information in identifying the point of
22 origin or the transmission path of a commercial electronic mail message
23 3) or send email which contains false or misleading information in the
24 subject line.

25 **FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

1 **RCW 19.86** – Violations of RCW 19.190 et seq are per se violations of
2 RCW 19.86, the Consumer Protection Act.

3
4 **FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **Permanent injunction** – According to Internet watchdog, Spamhaus,
6 Impulse Marketing Group, Inc. is a “criminal spam gang”. Impulse is
7 responsible for millions of spam via a network of “fly by night”
8 operators. These operators rob web sites of email addresses and sell
9 same on the open market - an enterprise that Impulse has admitted to
10 engaging in. As these behaviors are contrary to the public good and are
11 injurious to me, personally, a permanent injunction enjoining the use of
12 unverified opt-in email addresses, and the buying and selling personal
13 profiles.

14 **PRAYER**

15 **WHEREFORE**, Third-Party Defendant prays that this Court:

- 16 1. Dismiss all counterclaims by third-party plaintiff.
- 17 2. Sanction Impulse Marketing Group for the waste of judicial
18 resources.
- 19 3. Award an equal amount to (the sanctioned amount) third-party
20 defendant for the time and distress of having to defend a specious
21 lawsuit.
- 22 4. Award statutory damages of \$500 for each email that was sent to
23 my personal domain, “jaykaysplace.com”.
- 24 5. Enjoin Impulse and its marketing partners from using unverified
25 email addresses.

