Defendant's Memorandum Opposing Personal Appearance - 1. Z:\IPClient\ImpulseMarketingGroupv.
Gordon\Pleadings\DefendantMotionToDismiss\MemoOpposingPersonalAppearan ce.050328.wpd

P.O. Box 6125 Kennewick, Washington 99336-0125 (509) 735-3581

1	determination of action(s) has been widely considered and is the subject of
2	Local Rules in many jurisdictions, e.g., Civ. L.R. 3-12(~)(2)-(3) for the
3	Northern District of California and S.D. FLA. E.R. 3.9C for the Southern
4	District of Florida. The court in International Beauty Exchange, Inc. v. Tony
5	Dollar Kingdom Inc., 199 F.R.D. 700, 701 (S.D.Fla., 2001) addressed steps,
6	relative to assignment of cases to judges, "To assist Judges in avoiding the
7	duplication of judicial resources, S.D.FLA.L.R. 3.9D requires "attorneys of
8	record in every action or proceeding to bring promptly to the attention of the
9	Court and opposing counsel the existence of other actions or proceedings"
10	Courts have the power to manage cases. The processes available are
11	"designed to promote efficiency and conserve judicial resources". Berkovitz v.
12	Home Box Office, Inc. 89 F.3d 24, 29 (C.A.1 (Mass.) 1996).
13	Counsel for the Defendant has found only a single instance where a hearing
14	by telephonic conference was found to be improper and where personal
15	appearance was required by statute. Purba v. I.N.S. 884 F.2d 516, 517(C.A.9
16	1989). There is no suggestion of such a requirement relative to the present motion
17	Defendant's respectfully request the Court to conduct the argument of
18	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss by telephonic conference with all parties
19	participating by telephone.
20	DATED this 28th day of March, 2005.
21	LIEBLER, IVEY, CONNOR, BERRY & ST. HILAIRE
22	By/S/FLOYD E. IVEY
23	By <u>/S/FLOYD E. IVEY</u> FLOYD E. IVEY, WSBA #6888 Local Counsel for Defendant
24	Local Counsel for Defendant
25	
26	
27	LIEBLER, IVEY, CONNOR, BERRY & ST. HILAIRE
28	Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 6125 Defendant's Memorandum Opposing Kennewick, Washington 99336-0125 (500) 735 3581

Personal Appearance - 2.

Z:\IPClient\ImpulseMarketingGroupv.

Gordon\Pleadings\DefendantMotionToDismiss\MemoOpposingPersonalAppearance.050328.wpd

(509) 735-3581

	Case 2:04-cv-05125-FVS
1	KLEIN, ZELMAN, ROTHERMEL & DICHTER, LLP
2	D ₁₁ /C/CICNED DV DEDMICCION DV IVEV
3	By <u>/S/SIGNED BY PERMISSION BY IVEY</u> PETER J. GLANTZ Attorneys for Defendant
4	1 Morneys for Defendant
5	
6	I hereby certify that on March 28, 2005, I electronically filed the
7	I hereby certify that on March 28, 2005, I electronically filed the Defendant's Memorandum Opposing Plaintiff's Motion Requiring Personal Appearance at Motion to Dismiss with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send notification of such filing to the following: Douglas E. McKinley, Jr., and I hereby certify that I have sent via internet email the document to the following non-CM/ECF participants: David O. Klein, Peter J. Glantz, Sean
8	McKinley, Jr., and I hereby certify that I have sent via internet email the document
9	A. Moynihan.
10	S/ FLOYD E. IVEY FLOYD E. IVEY
11	TLOTD E. IVE I
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	LIEBLER, IVEY, CONNOR, BERRY & ST. HILAIRE
28	Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 6125
	Defendant's Memorandum Opposing Kennewick, Washington 99336-0125 (509) 735-3581

Personal Appearance - 3.

Z:\IPClient\ImpulseMarketingGroupv.

Gordon\Pleadings\DefendantMotionToDismiss\MemoOpposingPersonalAppearance.050328.wpd