uise iv	arketing Group Inc			Doc.	
	Case 2:04-cv-05125-FVS	Document 36	Filed 05/20/2005		
1					
2	Floyd E. Ivey				
3	Liebler, Ivey & Connor, P.S. 1141 N. Edison, Suite C P.O. Box 6125				
4	Kennewick, WA 99336 Telephone (509) 735-3581				
5	Fax (509) 735-3585				
6					
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
8					
9	JAMES S. GORDON, JR., an in residing in Benton County, Wash	dividual) nington,)	NO. CV-04-5125-FVS		
10	Plaintiffs	Ş	DEFENDANT'S ADDITIONAL		
11	VS.	Į	SUPPLEMENTAL RESPO RE: DEFENDANT'S MOT		
12 13	IMPULSE MARKETING GROUT a Nevada Corporation,	UP, INC.,	TO DISMISS		
14	Defendants	Ş			
15	Defendant Impulse Marketing Group, Inc. now submits Additional				
16	Supplemental Response regarding Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.				
17	On or about March 31, 2005, the Court directed the parties to provide it with				
18	Supplemental Memoranda of Law with respect to whether Federal Rule of Civil				
19	Procedure §9(b) applies to Washington's Commercial Electronic Mail Statute,				
20	RCW §19.190 et seq. and the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW §19.86				
21 22	et seq. (collectively, the "Washington Statutes"). Both parties briefed the Court on				
22	this issue.				
23 24	The Defendant respectfully submits this Additional Supplemental Response				
24 25	to further clarify Defendant's Supplemental Memorandum of Law.				
23 26	Defendant continues to maintain that Plaintiff's allegations arising out of				
20 27					
27			LIEBLER, IVEY, CONNOR, BERRY & ST. HII Attorneys at Law BO, Boy 6125	LAIRE	
20	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Pursua 12(b)(6) - 1.	ant to FRCP	P.O. Box 6125 Kennewick, Washington 99336-0125 (509) 735-3581		
	12(0)(0) - 1. Z: \ I P C l i e n t \ I m p u l s e M a r k e t i n g Gordon\Pleadings\DefendantMotionToDismiss\Defendant.Adc al.Response.Motion to Dismiss 051520.wpd				
	antesponsentionon to Distinss 051520.wpa		Destate	1.00	

Dockets.Justia.com

Defendant's violations of the Washington Statutes are preempted by the CAN SPAM Act of 2003 ("CAN-SPAM"). There are only two (2) limited exceptions
 where CAN-SPAM would not arguably bar Plaintiff's Complaint. Said exceptions
 are found in Sections 8(b)(2)(A)&(B) of CAN-SPAM wherein the express
 language provides:

(A) This Act shall not be construed to preempt the applicability of State laws that are not specific to electronic mail, including State trespass, contract, or tort law; or (B) other State laws to the extent that those laws relate to acts of **fraud** or computer crime (emphasis added).

In the above-referenced matter, Section 8(b)(2)(A) is inapplicable because
Plaintiff's Complaint specifically alleges that his damages arise from Defendant's
transmission of electronic mail. Further, none of Plaintiff's causes of action sound
in trespass, contract, or tort law.

Should the Court hold that Plaintiff's allegations arising out of Defendant's 15 violations of the Washington Statutes are not barred by CAN-SPAM then any and 16 all of Plaintiff's allegations must necessarily relate to acts of fraud or computer 17 crime by definition. As Plaintiff does not have standing to allege that Defendant 18 committed any computerized criminal act whatsoever, the allegations with respect 19 to Defendant's violations of the Washington Statutes must necessarily relate to acts 20 of fraud, thus triggering the applicability of the heightened pleading requirement 21 under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure §9(b). 22

23 The Defendant submits that are novel and have, to Defendant's knowledge,24 never before been adjudicated.

DATED this 20th day of May, 2005.

6

7

8

9

10

25

26

27

28

LIEBLER, IVEY, CONNOR, BERRY & ST. HILAIRE

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6) - 2. Z: \1PClient\ImpulseMarketingGroupv. Gordon\Pleadings\DefendantMotionToDismiss\Defendant.Additional.Supplement al.Response.Motion to Dismiss 051520.wpd LIEBLER, IVEY, CONNOR, BERRY & ST. HILAIRE Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 6125 Kennewick, Washington 99336-0125 (509) 735-3581

	Case 2:04-cv-05125-FVS Document 36 Filed 05/20/2005
1	By /s/ FLOYD E_IVEY
2	By /s/ <u>FLOYD E. IVEY</u> FLOYD E. IVEY, WSBA #6888 Local Counsel for Defendant
3	
4	
5	KLEIN, ZELMAN, ROTHERMEL & DICHTER, LLP
6	
7	By PETER J. GLANTZ by telephone authority by /S/FLOYD E. IVEY
8	PETER J. GLANTZ Attorneys for Defendant
9 10	I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was sent via facsimile and electronically filed EFS on this
10	20 th day of May, 2005, to:
12	Douglas E. McKinley, Jr. P.O. Box 202
13	Richland, WA 99352
14	Secretary to Floyd E. Ivey
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	LIEBLER, IVEY, CONNOR, BERRY & ST. HILAIRE Attorneys at Law
28	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6) - 3. Z: \1PClient\1mpulseMarketingGroupv. Gordon\Pleadings\DefendantMotionToDismiss\Defendant.Additional.Supplement al.Response.Motion to Dismiss 051520.wpd