

1 Liebler, Ivey, Conner, Berry & St. Hilaire
 2 By: Floyd E. Ivey
 3 1141 N. Edison, Suite C
 4 P.O. Box 6125
 5 Kennewick, WA 99336
 6 *Local Counsel for Defendants Impulse*
 7 *Marketing Group, Inc., Jeffrey Goldstein*
 8 *and Kenneth Adamson*

Hon. Fred Van Sickle

9 Klein, Zelman, Rothermel & Dichter, L.L.P.
 10 By: Sean A. Moynihan
 11 485 Madison Avenue, 15th Floor
 12 New York, NY 10022
 13 (212) 935-6020
 14 *Attorneys for Defendant Impulse*
 15 *Marketing Group, Inc., Jeffrey Goldstein*
 16 *and Kenneth Adamson*

17 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 18 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**
 19 **AT RICHLAND**

20 James S. Gordon, Jr.,
 21 Plaintiff,
 22 v.
 23 Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,
 24 Jeffrey Goldstein, Phillip Huston,
 25 and Kenneth Adamson,
 26 Defendants.

Case No.: CV-04-5125-FVS

[PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING
 FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
 UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(1), (2)
 & (6) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE
 FOR A MORE DEFINITE
 STATEMENT UNDER FED. R. CIV.
 P. 12(e)

27 Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,
 28 Third-Party Plaintiff,
 v.
 Bonnie F. Gordon, Jamila Gordon,
 James Gordon, III, and Jonathan
 Gordon,
 Third-Party Defendants.

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint Under Fed. R. Civ. 12(b)(1), (2) and (6) or, in the Alternative, for

PROPOSED ORDER, DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
 OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR A MORE
 DEFINITE STATEMENT - 1
 00080078;1

KLEIN, ZELMAN, ROTHERMEL & DICHTER, L.L.P.
 485 MADISON AVENUE, 15TH FLOOR
 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022
 (212) 935-6020

1 a More Definite Statement Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e) filed by Defendants Impulse
2 Marketing Group, Inc. (“Impulse”), Jeffrey Goldstein (“Goldstein”) and Kenneth
3 Adamson (“Adamson”) (collectively, “Defendants”), the Court hereby finds as
4 follows:

5 1. The Plaintiff failed to comply with this Court’s order denying his request
6 to add new plaintiffs to the action.

7 2. The Plaintiff lacks standing to assert any causes of action on behalf of
8 unregistered d/b/a Gordonworks.com.

9 3. The Plaintiff, an individual, lacks standing to assert the matters
10 complained of in his First Cause of Action.

11 4.. The Plaintiff is neither an “interactive computer service” as defined in
12 RCW § 19.190, *et seq.*, nor an “internet access service” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 7701,
13 *et seq.*, and therefore lacks standing as an interactive computer service or internet access
14 service to assert the matters complained of in his First and Second Causes of Action.

15 5. After due deliberation, this Court has determined that it lacks jurisdiction
16 over the persons of Jeffrey Goldstein and Kenneth Adamson.

17 6. After due deliberation, this Court has determined that the First Amended
18 Complaint fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted

19 WHEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that:

20 a. The motion to dismiss is GRANTED.

21 b. The clerk will enter a judgment dismissing this action with prejudice and
22 awarding Defendants their costs.

23 DATED this ____ day of _____, 2006.

24
25 _____
26 Hon. Fred Van Sickle
United States District Court Judge