1 MERKLE SIEGEL & THE HONORABLE FRED VAN 2 FRIEDRICHSEN, P.C. SICKLE 1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 940 3 Seattle, Washington 98101-2509 4 Phone (206)-624-9392 Fax (206) 624-0717 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT RICHLAND 9 JAMES S. GORDON, JR, NO. CV-04-5125-FVS a married individual; 10 Plaintiffs, [SECOND AMENDED] 11 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES UNDER 12 v. THE CAN-SPAM ACT OF 2003 [15 U.S.C. §7701, et seq.]; WASHINGTON 13 **IMPULSE MARKETING CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT** GROUP, INC., a Nevada/Georgia corporation; JEFFREY GOLDSTEIN, 14 (RCW 19.86); THE WASHINGTON **COMMERCIAL ELECTRONIC MAIL** 15 individually and as part of his ACT (RCW 19.190); RCW 19.170 et seq., 16 marital community; PHILLIP and Injunctive Relief HUSTON, individually and as part of his marital community: 17 KENNETH ADAMSON, [JURY DEMAND] individually and as part of his 18 marital community; JOHN 19 DOES, I-X, 20 21 COMES NOW, Plaintiff James S. Gordon, Jr. and brings this COMPLAINT 22 against defendants named herein. Plaintiff alleges the following on information and 23 belief: 24 25 26 27 MERKLE SIEGEL & FRIEDRICHSEN, P.C. NO. CV-05-5079-FVS Page 1 of 19 1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 940 PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED Seattle, WA 98101-2509 28 **COMPLAINT** Phone: 206-624-9392 Fax: 206-624-0717

1. PARTIES

- Plaintiff James S. Gordon, Jr. ("Gordon") is a married individual who 1.1 is and was a resident of Benton and/or Franklin County, Washington, and who was doing business as an interactive computer service located on the internet at 'gordonworks.com', during the time of all acts complained of herein.
- 1.2 Defendant Impulse Marketing Group, Inc., ("Impulse") upon information and belief, is a Nevada corporation, with its principle place of business located in Georgia.
- Defendant Jeffrey Goldstein ("Goldstein") is an employee, officer, 1.3 director, and/or majority shareholder of Impulse, and as such controls its policies, activities, and practices, including those alleged herein on behalf of or as assistance to Impulse. All acts and practices undertaken by Goldstein on behalf of Impulse and/or on behalf of himself are and were for the benefit of his marital community. Defendant resides in the State of Georgia and transacts or has transacted business in the State of Washington and in the Eastern District of Washington.
- Defendant Phil Huston ("Huston") is or was an employee, officer, 1.4

25

26

27

28

Page 2 of 19

MERKLE SIEGEL & FRIEDRICHSEN, P.C. 1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 940 Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Phone: 206-624-9392 Fax: 206-624-0717

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
l	0
l	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

director, and/or majority shareholder of Impulse, and as such controls its policies, activities, and practices, including those alleged herein on behalf of or as assistance to Impulse. All acts and practices undertaken by Huston on behalf of Impulse and/or on behalf of himself are and were for the benefit of his marital community. Defendant resides in the State of Georgia and transacts or has transacted business in the State of Washington and in the Eastern District of Washington.

- Defendant Kenneth Adamson ("Adamson") is an employee, officer, 1.5 director, and/or majority shareholder of Impulse, and as such controls its policies, activities, and practices, including those alleged herein on behalf of or as assistance to Impulse. All acts and practices undertaken by Huston on behalf of Impulse and/or on behalf of himself are and were for the benefit of his marital community. Defendant resides in the State of Georgia and transacts or has transacted business in the State of Washington, and in the Eastern District of Washington.
- The actions alleged herein to have been undertaken by the defendants 1.6 were undertaken by each defendant individually, were actions of which each defendant had knowledge and that each defendant authorized, controlled,

26

27

28

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC. Page 3 of 19

MERKLE SIEGEL & FRIEDRICHSEN, P.C. 1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 940 Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Phone: 206-624-9392 Fax: 206-624-0717

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

directed, or had the ability to authorize, control or direct, and/or were actions each defendant assisted and/or participated in, and are actions for which each defendant is individually liable. Each defendant aided, abetted, assisted, and conspired with the actions of each other defendant herein in that each defendant had knowledge of those actions, provided assistance and benefited from those actions, in whole or in part. Each of the defendants was the agent of each of the other defendants, and in committing those acts herein alleged, was acting within the course and scope of such agency and with the permission and consent of other defendants.

II. **JURISDICTION**

- This Court has original jurisdiction of the causes of action herein 2.1 which are brought under the CAN-SPAM Act of 2003 – 15 U.S.C. §7701, et seq., 15 U.S.C. §7707(g)(1).
- The unlawful actions of the defendants were committed in the States of 2.2 Washington, Georgia, and in the judicial district of this Court.
- The Defendants regularly transact business within the State of 2.3 Washington by virtue of the fact that they regularly send, initiate the transmission of, or assist others in sending, or initiating the transmission of

25

24

26

27

28

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

Page 4 of 19

MERKLE SIEGEL & FRIEDRICHSEN, P.C. 1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 940 Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Phone: 206-624-9392

Fax: 206-624-0717

	1
	2
	3
,	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

26

27

28

commercial bulk emails into the State, which emails are received on computers and other electronic devices owned and maintained by residents of the State in the State. As a result of the Defendants' acts and transactions within the State of Washington, this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants under RCW 4.28.185(1)(a).

- The causes of action complained of herein include allegations that 2.4 commercial electronic messages sent, or initiated by or with the assistance of the Defendants to the Plaintiff violates RCW 19.190 et seq., the Washington Commercial Electronic Mail Act (CEMA) and RCW 19.86 et seq. the Washington State Consumer Protection Act (CPA).
- This Court has diversity jurisdiction over the parties named herein as 2.5 plaintiffs and defendants are residents of different states, and the complaint includes a prayer for relief in excess of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 2.6 Jurisdiction to commence this action is conferred by 15 U.S.C. §7701, et seg., 15 U.S.C. §7707(g)(1); RCW 19.86.080, 19.86.090, 19.86.160, RCW 19.190.030 and RCW 4.12.020-.025.

General Allegations Ш.

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL Page 5 of 19

MERKLE SIEGEL & FRIEDRICHSEN, P.C. 1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 940 Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Phone: 206-624-9392

Fax: 206-624-0717

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

3.1	Plaintiffs reallege ar	d incorporate	as though	fully set	forth	herein,	all
prie	or paragraphs herein.						

- 3.2 Plaintiff Gordon is the registrant of the internet domain "gordonworks.com".
- 3.3 Plaintiff Gordon is the registrant of the internet domain 'gordonworks.com', and is an interactive computer service as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. §7703(11); 47 USC 231(e)(4); and RCW 19.190.010 (7), and is the owner of an internet domain server, which, among others, hosts the 'Gordonworks.com' domain.
- Gordon provides or enables computer access by multiple users to a 3.4 computer server that hosts the "gordonworks.com" domain name and further provides electronic mail accounts to individuals utilizing their personal domain names for electronic messaging, including individuals residing within the Federal judicial district in which this case is brought.
- 3.5 Plaintiff Gordon is a user of the interactive computer service provided by 'gordonworks.com', and maintains electronic mail message accounts with 'gordonworks.com, including under the address jim@gordonworks.com as well as the domain name "rcw19190020.com".

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL Page 6 of 19

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
l	0	
l	1	
l	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1		
	0.	
2	1	
	2	
2	.3	
	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	27	

- 3.6 At all times relevant to this action Plaintiff status as Washington residents is and was public knowledge and available to defendants upon request from the Plaintiff, their domain registrar information, and other readily accessible sources.
- The Defendants have initiated the transmission of numerous commercial email messages directed to and through Plaintiff's interactive computer service, and/or to and through Plaintiff's domain 'gordonworks.com', and/or further addressed to Plaintiff Gordon's email addresses, including but not limited to jim@gordonworks.com.

IV. Causes of Action

4.1 First Cause of Action

Violations of the Can-Spam Act of 2003 [15 U.S.C. §7701 et seq.]

Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs and incorporates them herein as if set forth in full:

4.1.1 Plaintiff has received thousands of commercial electronic mail
messages initiated by defendants, and/or by others on behalf of defendants,
and sent to Plaintiff's electronic mail server located in Benton and Franklin
Counties, Washington, and/or to its registered domains, including

MERKLE SIEGEL & FRIEDRICHSEN, P.C.
1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 940

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

Page 7 of 19

1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 94
Seattle, WA 98101-2509
Phone: 206-624-9392
Fax: 206-624-0717

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

'gordonworks.com' in violation of the CAN-SPAM Act of 2003, 15 U.S.C. §7701 et seq.

- 4.1.2 Plaintiff Gordon further alleges that he received numerous items of electronic mail initiated by the defendants, and/or by others on defendants' behalf, and sent to the 'gordonworks.com' domain, and to email addresses served thereby, that were responded to with specific requests not to receive future commercial electronic mail messages, which requests went unheeded for a substantial amount of time during which defendants continued to send unlawful email to plaintiff in violation of 15 U.S.C. §7704(a)(4).
- 4.1.3 Plaintiff further alleges that the defendants initiated, or assisted others in the transmission of, at least one (1) separate item of electronic mail to the plaintiff to an address most likely harvested from domain name registration and/or by other means of anonymous internet information harvesting. Said conduct was in violation of 15 U.S.C. §7704(b)(1)(A)(i), and (ii).
- Plaintiff further alleges that defendants and/or others on behalf of 4.1.4 defendants initiated the transmission of commercial electronic mail to plaintiff at and through his 'gordonworks.com' domain, and to individual

27

28

FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC. GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Page 8 of 19

email accounts at that domain and on its server, which electronic mail included materially misleading subject lines, which constitutes a violation of 15 USC 7704(a)(2).

- Plaintiff further alleges that defendants initiated, and/or assisted others 4.1.5 in initiating the transmission of commercial electronic mail to plaintiff at and through the 'gordonworks.com' domain and to individual email accounts served thereby, which electronic mail failed to provide a functioning mechanism, clearly and conspicuously displayed, that a recipient may use, in a manner specified in the message, to request not to receive further messages from the sender, which constitutes violations of 15 USC 7704(a)(3)(A), and 7704(a)(4)(A)(ii).
- Plaintiff further alleges that defendants initiated and/or assisted others 4.1.6 in initiating the transmission of commercial electronic mail to plaintiffs at and through the 'gordonworks.com' domain to individual email accounts served thereby, which electronic mail failed to provide clear and conspicuous notice that the mail is an "advertisement", which constitutes a violation of 15 USC 7704(a)(4)(A)(i).
- As a proximate result of said unlawful conduct by said defendants, 4.1.7

25

20

21

22

23

24

26

27 28

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL Page 9 of 19

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

Plaintiff is entitled to damages for the actual monetary loss incurred or statutory damages in the amount of up to \$100.00 in the case of violation of Section 5(a)(1) or up to \$25.00 in the case of each violation of the other subsections of Section 5 in the form of statutory damages as set forth in 15 U.S.C. §7707(g)(1) and (3)(A).

- 4.1.9 Plaintiff furthermore seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction against the defendants for their current and future violations of the CAN-SPAM Act of 2003 as it and members of the general public will continue to incur damages as a result of the unlawful conduct of said defendants. The seeking of injunctive relief by the plaintiff is specifically authorized by 15 U.S.C. §7707(g)(1)(A).
- 4.1.6 Plaintiff furthermore seeks their attorney fees and costs against the defendants pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §7707(g)(4).

4.2 Second and Third Causes of Action

Violations of the Washington CEMA [RCW 19.190.020 et seq.]
and the Washington Consumer Protection Act [RCW 19.86 et seq.]

Plaintiffs reallege all preceding paragraphs and incorporates them herein as if set forth in full:

26

25

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

28 $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ GG \end{bmatrix}$

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL Page 10 of 19

4.2.1 It is a violation of RCW 19.190.020(1)(a)(b) and 19.190.030(1)(a)(b) to initiate the transmission, conspire with another to initiate the transmission, or assist the transmission, of a commercial electronic mail message from a computer located in Washington or to an electronic mail address that the sender knows, or has reason to know, is held by a Washington resident that uses a third party's internet domain name without permission of the third party, or otherwise misrepresents or obscures any information in identifying the point of origin or the transmission path of a commercial electronic mail message, or contains false or misleading information in the subject line.

- 4.2.2 Defendants initiated the transmission, or assisted and/or conspired to transmit numerous commercial electronic mail messages to Plaintiff's domain and server, and to Plaintiff Gordon's individual email account which defendants knew, or had reason to know were located in the state of Washington, which emails misrepresented or obscured information identifying the point of origin or the transmission path, and/or which contained false or misleading information in the subject line, which constitutes violations of RCW 19.190 et seq.
- 4.2.3 It is further a violation of RCW 19.190.080 to "solicit, request, or take

26 SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT 27 FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

28

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL Page 11 of 19

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

any action to induce a person to provide personally identifying information by means of a web page, electronic mail message, or otherwise using the internet by representing oneself, either directly or by implication, to be another person, without the authority or approval of such other person." Numerous emails sent by Defendants and received by Plaintiff violated this provision of the CEMA.

- Pursuant to RCW 19.190.020(1)(a)(b), each email sent in this Second 4.2.4 Cause of Action is a separate and distinct violation of RCW 19.190, and pursuant to RCW 19.190.030(1)(a)(b), (2), and (3) constitutes a separate and distinct violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.
- 4.2.5 Further, defendants' acts herein alleged, constitute separate and distinct violations of RCW 19.86 as they constitute unfair or deceptive acts and practices, occurring in the regular course of defendants' conduct of commerce and trade, and are unfair methods of competition, which acts have been, or are likely to be perpetrated against other residents of the State.

Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Defendants' statutory violations as set forth herein, in an amount to be proven at trial.

25

26 SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT 27 FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

28

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL Page 12 of 19

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

4.3 Fourth Cause of Action RCW 19.170 et seq.

Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs and incorporates them herein as if set forth in full:

- 4.3.1 RCW 19.170 et seq. makes it unlawful under Washington State law to deceptively advertise or promote "free" prizes, gifts, awards, travel coupons or certificate, free item, or any other item offered in a promotion that is different and distinct from the goods, service, or property promoted by a sponsor. The statute makes a violation of RCW 19.170 a per se violation of the State Consumer Protection Act (RCW 19.86 et seq.)
- 4.3.2 Numerous email advertisements, i.e., "spam" which Defendants transmitted to Plaintiff, as described herein, violated RCW 19.170 et seq., in the following ways: In violation of RCW 19.170.030:
 - (a) The offending emails contained offers, and promotions for prizes, gifts, and awards which failed to identify the name and address of the promoter and the sponsor of the promotion; and/or,
 - (b) failed to state the verifiable retail value of each prize offered in it; and/or,
 - (c) failed to disclose the verifiable retail value and odds for each prize which must be stated in immediate proximity on the same

26

27

28

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

-13

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL

Page 13 of 19

- page with the first listing of each prize in type at least as large as the typeface used in the standard text of the offer; and/or
- (d) failed to conspicuously disclose, if a person is required or invited to view, hear, or attend a sales presentation in order to claim a prize that has been awarded, may have been awarded, or will be awarded, the requirement or invitation must be conspicuously disclosed under subsection (7) of this section to the person in the offer in bold-face type at least as large as the typeface used in the standard text of the offer; and/or,
- (e) or failed to otherwise comply with RCW 19.170.030 which requires that "No item in an offer may be denominated a prize, gift, award, premium, or similar term that implies the item is free if, in order to receive the item or use the item for its intended purpose the intended recipient is required to spend any sum of money, including but not limited to shipping fees, deposits, handling fees, payment for one item in order to receive another at no charge, or the purchase of another item or the expenditure of funds in order to make meaningful use of the item awarded in the promotion. The payment of any applicable state or federal taxes by a recipient directly to a government entity is not a violation of this section."

In violation of RCW 19.170.040:

- included a prize in an offer when the promoter or sponsor knows (a) or has reason to know that the prize will not be available in a sufficient quantity based upon the reasonably anticipated response to the offer.
- failed to comply with subsection (5) which provides: "If the (b) prize is not available for immediate delivery to the recipient, the recipient shall be given, at the promoter or sponsor's option, a rain check for the prize, the verifiable retail value of the prize in cash, or a substitute item of equal or greater verifiable retail value."

26

27

28

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC. Page 14 of 19

MERKLE SIEGEL & FRIEDRICHSEN, P.C. 1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 940 Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Phone: 206-624-9392 Fax: 206-624-0717

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

26

27 28

-15 GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

Page 15 of 19

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

2 Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That the Court adjudge and decree that defendant has engaged in the conduct complained of herein.

That the Court adjudge and decree that the conduct complained of herein constitutes violations of the Federal Can-Spam Act of 2003, 15 U.S.C. §7705, and that Plaintiff are entitled to all damages provided for thereunder, as may be proved at trial;

That the Court adjudge and decree that the conduct complained of herein constitutes violations of the Washington Commercial Electronic Mail Act, RCW 19.190 et seq., and that Plaintiff is entitled to all damages provided for thereunder, as may be proved at trial, including but not limited to treble damages of up to three times the per statutory damages provided therein for each violation committed by the defendants, in an amount to be proven at trial; That the Court adjudge and decree that the conduct complained of herein constitutes violations of RCW 19.170 et seq. and that Plaintiff is entitled to all damages provided for thereunder, as may be proved at trial, including but not

limited to aggravated damages under RCW 19.170.060 of up to three times the

25

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL

MERKLE SIEGEL & FRIEDRICHSEN, P.C. 1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 940 Page 16 of 19

Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Phone: 206-624-9392 Fax: 206-624-0717

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	***************************************
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	1

amount of statutory damages for these violations committed by the defendants willfully and knowingly, and for defendants' unlawful activity.

That the Court adjudge and decree that the conduct complained of herein constitutes violations of the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86 et seq., and that Plaintiff is entitled to all damages provided for thereunder, as may be proved at trial;

That the Court assess civil penalties, pursuant to 19.190.040(1) of five hundred dollars (\$500) per violation against defendant for each and every one of the commercial electronic mail messages sent to plaintiff Gordon in violation of RCW 19.190.020.

That the Court assess civil penalties, pursuant to 19.190.040(1) one thousand dollars (\$1,000) per violation against defendant for each and every one of the commercial electronic mail messages sent through plaintiff Gordon's interactive computer service in violation of RCW 19.190.020.

That the Court assess civil penalties in the way of treble damages pursuant to RCW 19.86.140, of two thousand dollars (\$2,000) for each and every one of the violations of RCW 19.86 caused by the conduct complained of herein.

That the Court enter judgment pursuant to RCW 19.86.140 providing that

26 | SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT 27 | FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

 $\frac{1}{28}$

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL Page 17 of 19

I, hereby, certify that on September 11, 2006, we filed this pleading with this Court. The Clerk of the Court will provide electronic notification

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC.

Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Phone: 206-624-9392 Fax: 206-624-0717

-18 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL

1	system using the CM/ECF, which will send an electronic copy of this
2	
3	Notice to: Floyd E. Ivey, Peter Glantz, Sean Moynihan, and all counsel
4	of record herein.
5	
6	
7	/S/ Robert J. Siegel Robert J. Siegel, WSBA #17312
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9	
10	
11	·
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	MERKLE SIEGEL & FRIEDRICHSEN, P.C. 1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 940 1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 940
27	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, PENALTIES, ETC. Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Phone: 206-624-9392 Fax: 206-624-0717
28	-19 GORDON v. IMPULSE MARKETING, INC., ET AL