

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN
DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT RICHLAND

James S. Gordon, Jr., Plaintiff,
vs.
Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,
Defendant

Case No.: CV-04-5125-FVS

ORDER ON THIRD PARTY
DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT – RULE
56

Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,
Third-Party Plaintiff,
v.
James. S. Gordon III,
Third-Party Defendant

PROPOSED

TO: Clerk of the Court

AND TO: Floyd E. Ivey, Attorney for Third-Party Plaintiff

RECEIVED

NOV 13 2006

CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT
RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

1 The Court having considered Third Party Defendant's Motion for
2 Summary Judgment – said Order is hereby (granted) _____
3 (denied) _____. The complaint of Impulse Marketing Group versus
4 the undersigned is hereby (dismissed with prejudice) _____ (not
5 dismissed) _____.

6
7 Dated this _____ day of _____, 2006/2007.

8
9 _____

10 JUDGE VAN SICKLE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Certificate of Service

I, hereby, certify that on November 13, 2006, I filed this Order on Third Party Defendant's Motion to for Summary Judgment with this Court. I have served Bob Siegel, Douglas McKinley, Peter J. Glantz, Sean A. Moynihan, Floyd E. Ivey, James Gordon III, Jonathan Gordon, Emily Abbey, Bonnie Gordon, and Robert Pritchett by other means.


