

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

FILED IN THE  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
  
NOV 13 2006  
  
JAMES R. LARSEN, CLERK  
DEPUTY  
RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT  
OF WASHINGTON AT RICHLAND

James S. Gordon, Jr., Plaintiff,

vs.

Impulse Marketing Group, Inc.,  
Defendant

Impulse Marketing Group, Inc., Third-  
Party Plaintiff,

v.

Robert L Pritchett,  
Third-Party Defendant

Case No.: CV-04-5125-FVS  
} THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT'S  
} MOTION FOR SUMMARY  
} JUDGMENT – RULE 56.

TO: Clerk of the Court

AND TO: Floyd E. Ivey, Attorney for Third-Party Plaintiff

1  
2 **Background**

3 Third party plaintiff, Impulse Marketing Group (IMG) filed its second  
4 amended third party plaintiff complaint against me on or about November 18,  
5 2005. IMG filed five counterclaims – alleging:

- 6  
7 1. Indemnification and Contribution  
8 2. Fraud and Deceit  
9 3. Tortious Interference with Business Relationships  
10 4. Breach of Contract  
11 5. Injunctive Relief

12 During a fall 2005 teleconference with this court, defendant’s attorneys  
13 requested and were granted time to conduct discovery from third party defendants.  
14 On information and belief, IMG has yet to depose or send interrogatories to any of  
15 the third party defendants.

16 I did not use any “gordonworks.com” email address to subscribe to any offer  
17 from any vendor – including IMG and its so-called marketing partners.

18 **Argument**

19 Third Party Plaintiff, Impulse Marketing Group (IMG) is a victim of its own  
20 predatory commercial email practices. Any and all liability, which it attributes to  
21 third party defendants, it created via pernicious and predatory practices, e.g.:

- 22  
23 • Obfuscating its identity behind a maze of false business fronts  
24 • Using identity cloaking apparatus to hide from entities and individuals who  
25 would complain about its predatory practices.

- 1 • Obtaining personally identifying, which it would sell for profit to “all
- 2 comers” – including potential identity thieves.
- 3 • Falsifying email headers and more

4  
5 Liability was “self-inflicted by the foregoing, I am not responsible for nor did I  
6 play a part in the alleged scheme that IMG has created out of “whole cloth”.

7  
8 **IMG’s First Cause of Action**  
9 **Indemnification and Contribution**

10 I have aligned my responses to the numbered allegations in the second amended  
11 complaint of IMG.

12  
13 17. This allegation is false. Defendant’s refusal to conduct any discovery is a likely  
14 result of its falsity.

15 18. This allegation is false.

16 19. This allegation is false.

17 20. This allegation is false. I have engaged in no behaviors nor have I had any  
18 knowledge of such a scheme – hence no intent.

19  
20 **IMG’s Second Cause of Action**  
21 **Fraud and Deceit**

22 IMG’s own scheme to defraud email recipients via fraudulent prize schemes and  
23 identity theft is, in fact, the only instances of fraud and deceit in this matter.

24  
25 22. This allegation is false.

1 23. This allegation is false.

2 24. This allegation is false.

3 25. This allegation is false.

4 26. This allegation is false.

5 27. This allegation is false.

6 28. This allegation is false.

7 29. This allegation is false.

8 30. This allegation is false.

9 31. This allegation is false.

10 32. This allegation is false.

11 33. This allegation is false.

12 34. This allegation is false.

13 35. This allegation is false.

14 36. This allegation is false.

15 37. This allegation is false.

16 38. This allegation is false.

17 39. This allegation is false.

18 40. This allegation is false.

19 41. This allegation is false.

20 **IMG's Third Cause of Action**

21 **Tortious Interference with Business Relationships**

22 No actual business relationship existed between me and IMG. IMG has failed to  
23 name the false business fronts, which it claims are marketing affiliates. The only  
24 known affiliates are other predatory marketers, e.g. Virtumundo, Azoogle, and  
25 other well-known email abusers and predatory spam gangs.

1 45. This allegation is false.

2 46. This allegation is false.

3 47. This allegation is false.

4 48. This allegation is false.

5 49. This allegation is false.

6 50. This allegation is false.

7 51. This allegation is false.

8 **IMG's Fourth Cause of Action**

9 **Breach of Contract**

10  
11 Internal IMG documents to, from, and/or between Jeff Goldstein, Phillip Huston,  
12 and Ken Adamson prove knowledge that gordonworks.com domain was or should  
13 have been off-limits to its marketing ads.

14 54. This allegation is false.

15 55. This allegation is false.

16 56. This allegation is false.

17 57. This allegation is false.

18 58. This allegation is false.

19 59. This allegation is false.

20 60. This allegation is false.

21 61. This allegation is false.

22 62. This allegation is false.

23 63. This allegation is false.

24 64. This allegation is false.

25 65. This allegation is false.

**IMG's Fifth Cause of Action  
Injunctive Relief**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Not one element of IMG's counterclaims has withstood the "light of day" in terms of scrutiny. IMG's failure to obtain a word from me in regards to the discovery process during the last twelve months belies any need to do so as IMG represented to this court.

- 67. This allegation is false.
- 68. This allegation is false.
- 69. This allegation is false.
- 70. This allegation is false.
- 71. This allegation is false.
- 72. This allegation is false.
- 73. This allegation is false.

**Conclusion**

Impulse Marketing Group is a predatory commercial email marketing firm. It is a serial offender in terms of refusing to honor valid unsubscribe requests. It is a serial offender in terms of surreptitiously appropriating personally identifying information from unsuspecting users of web sites it controls or owns. And it is a serial liar in terms of representations it has made to this court.

Request for Relief

I trust that this court will put an end to the painful year of litigation initiated by IMG versus third party defendants via summary judgment wherein the IMG counterclaims are dismissed with prejudice.

I also request that the court consider an appropriate means of precluding such future behaviors by this defendant.

Robert L Pritchett, Pro Se  
1952 Thayer Drive  
Richland, WA 99354  
509-210-0217

EXECUTED this 13th day of November, 2006

*Robert L Pritchett*

Certificate of Service

I, hereby, certify that on November 13, 2006, I filed this Order on Third Party Defendant's Motion to for Summary Judgment with this Court. I have served Bob Siegel, Douglas McKinley, Peter J. Glantz, Sean A. Moynihan, Floyd E. Ivey, James Gordon III, Jonathan Gordon, Emily Abbey, Bonnie Gordon, and Jamila Gordon by other means.

*Robert L Pritchett*